



**மனோன்மணியம் சுந்தரனார் பல்கலைக்கழகம்**

**MANONMANIAM SUNDARANAR UNIVERSITY**

**TIRUNELVELI-627 012**

**தொலைநிலை தொடர் கல்வி இயக்ககம்**

**DIRECTORATE OF DISTANCE AND  
CONTINUING EDUCATION**



**M.Sc. MATHEMATICS**

**II YEAR**

**COMPLEX ANALYSIS**

**Sub. Code: SMAM31**

**Prepared by**

**Dr. S. KALAISELVI**

**Assistant Professor**

**Department of Mathematics**

**Sarah Tucker College(Autonomous), Tirunelveli-7.**



**M.Sc. MATHEMATICS –II YEAR  
SMAM31: COMPLEX ANALYSIS  
SYLLABUS**

**UNIT-I:**

**ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS:** Analytic functions – Polynomials – Rational functions – Power Series.

**Chapter 1: Section 1: 1.1-1.4**

**UNIT-II:**

**CAUCHY'S INTEGRAL FORMULA and LOCAL PROPERTIES OF ANALYTICAL FUNCTIONS:** The Index of a point with respect to a closed curve – The Integral formula – Higher derivatives. Removable Singularities-Taylor's Theorem – Zeros and poles – The local Mapping – The Maximum Principle.

**Chapter 2: Section 2: 2.1 - 2.7**

**UNIT-III:**

**THE GENERAL FORM OF CAUCHY'S THEOREM and THE CALCULUS OF RESIDUES:** Chains and cycles- Simple Continuity - Homology - The General statement of Cauchy's Theorem - Proof of Cauchy's theorem - Multiply connected regions – The Residue theorem - The argument principle.

**Chapter 3: Section 3: 3.1 - 3.8**

**UNIT-IV:**

**EVALUATION OF DEFINITE INTEGRALS AND HARMONIC FUNCTIONS:** Evaluation of definite integrals - Definition of Harmonic function and basic properties – The Mean value property - Poisson formula.

**Chapter 4: Section 4: 4.1 - 4.4**

**UNIT-V:**

**HARMONIC FUNCTIONS AND POWER SERIES EXPANSIONS:**

Schwarz Theorem - The reflection principle Weierstrass's Theorem – The Taylor's Series – The Laurent series.

**Chapter 5: Section 5: 5.1 – 5.5**

**Recommended Text:**

Lars V. Ahlfors, *Complex Analysis*, (3<sup>rd</sup> edition) McGraw Hill Co., New York, 1979



**SMAM31: COMPLEX ANALYSIS**  
**CONTENTS**

**UNIT I**

<b>1.1.</b>	<b>Analytic Functions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.2.</b>	<b>Polynomials</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>1.3.</b>	<b>Rational Functions</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>1.4.</b>	<b>Power Series</b>	<b>30</b>

**UNIT II**

<b>2.1.</b>	<b>The index of the point with respect to closed curve</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>2.2.</b>	<b>The Integral formula</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>2.3.</b>	<b>Higher derivatives</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>2.4.</b>	<b>Local Properties of Analytic Function</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>2.5.</b>	<b>Zeros and Poles</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>2.6.</b>	<b>The Local Mapping</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>2.7.</b>	<b>Maximum Principle</b>	<b>98</b>

**UNIT III**

<b>3.1.</b>	<b>Chains and cycles</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>3.2.</b>	<b>Simple Connectivity</b>	<b>104</b>
<b>3.3.</b>	<b>Homology</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>3.4.</b>	<b>General Statement of Cauchy's Theorem:</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>3.5.</b>	<b>Proof of Cauchy's Theorem</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>3.6.</b>	<b>Multiply Connected Regions</b>	<b>108</b>
<b>3.7.</b>	<b>The Residue Theorem</b>	<b>109</b>



<b>3.8.</b>	<b>The Argument principle</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>UNIT IV</b>		
<b>4.1.</b>	<b>Evaluation of Definite Integrals</b>	<b>132</b>
<b>4.2.</b>	<b>Definition and Basic Properties</b>	<b>165</b>
<b>4.3.</b>	<b>The Mean-value property</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Poisson's Formula</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>UNIT V</b>		
<b>5.1.</b>	<b>Schwarz's Theorem</b>	<b>182</b>
<b>5.2.</b>	<b>The Reflection principle</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>5.3.</b>	<b>Weierstrass's Theorem</b>	<b>187</b>
<b>5.4.</b>	<b>Taylor's Series</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>5.5.</b>	<b>Laurent Series</b>	<b>190</b>



## UNIT I

**ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS:** Analytic functions – Polynomials – Rational functions – Power Series.

### Chapter 1: Section 1: 1.1-1.4

#### 1. Introduction to the Concept of Analytic Function:

When stepping up to complex numbers we have to consider four different types of functions

- i) Real functions of real variable
- ii) Real functions of Complex variable
- iii) Complex functions of real variable
- iv) Complex functions of Complex variable

To indicate a complex function of a complex variable we use the notation  $w=f(z)$ .

The notation  $y=f(x)$  is used in a neutral manner with the understanding that  $x$  and  $y$  can be either real (or) complex.

#### Definition: Limit

The function  $f(x)$  is said to have limit  $A$  as  $x \rightarrow a$ .

(i.e.,)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = A$  iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

There exist a number  $\delta > 0$  with the property that  $|f(x)-a| < \varepsilon$  for all values of  $x$  such that  $|x-a| < \delta$  and  $x \neq a$ .

#### Note:

1.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = A$  iff  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \overline{f(x)} = \bar{A}$
2.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = A$  iff  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \operatorname{Re} f(x) = \operatorname{Re}(A)$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \operatorname{Im} f(x) = \operatorname{Im}(A)$



### Definition: Continuity

The function  $f(x)$  is said to be continuous at 'a' iff  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = f(a)$

### Note:

1. If  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are continuous then the sum  $f(x)+g(x)$  and the product  $f(x) g(x)$  are continuous.
2. Also, the quotient  $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$  is defines and continuous at a iff  $g(a) \neq 0$ .
3. If  $f(x)$  is continuous, the  $\text{Re } f(x)$   $\text{Im } f(x)$  and  $|f(x)|$  are also continuous.

### Definition:

$$\text{We define } f'(a) = \begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow a} \frac{f(x)-f(a)}{x-a} \\ \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h} \end{cases}$$

### Note:

1.A real function of a complex variable either has the derivative zero (or) the derivative does not exist.

### Proof:

Let  $f(z)$  be a real function of complex variable whose derivative exists at  $z=a$ .

Suppose,  $h \rightarrow 0$  through real values then  $f(a + h) - f(a)$  is real and  $h$  is real.

$\therefore$  The quotient  $\frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h}$  is real .

$\therefore f'(a)$  is real.

Suppose,  $h \rightarrow 0$  through purely imaginary values then  $f(a + h) - f(a)$  is real and  $h$  is purely imaginary.

$\therefore$  The quotient  $\frac{f(a+h)-f(a)}{h}$  is pure imaginary.



Hence,  $f'(a) = 0$  (Since, 0 is the only number which is real and purely imaginary).

$\therefore$  The real function of Complex Variable either has the derivative zero (or) the derivative does not exist.

2. The case of complex function of a real variable is reduced to the real case. If we write  $z(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$  and the existence of  $z'(t)$  is equivalent to the simultaneous existence of  $x'(t)$  and  $y'(t)$ .

### 1.1. Analytic Function:

#### Definition: Analytic function on holomorphic function:

Let  $f(z)$  be a complex function of a complex variable which possess a derivative wherever the function is defined. Then  $f(z)$  is called an analytic function. (holomorphic function)

#### Note:

1. The Sum and Product of two analytic functions are again analytic.
2. The quotient  $\frac{f(z)}{g(z)}$  of two analytic functions is analytic provided  $g(z) \neq 0$ .
3. If  $f(z)$  is analytic then  $f(z)$  is continuous.

#### Proof:

Consider,  $f(x + h) - f(z) = h \cdot \frac{f(z+h) - f(z)}{h}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(x + h) - f(z) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h \frac{f(z + h) - f(z)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h \left[ \lim_{h \rightarrow x} \frac{f(z + h) - f(z)}{h} \right] \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} h f'(z) \\ &= 0. f'(z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(z + h) - f(z) = 0$$

$$\therefore \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(z + h) = f(z)$$



$\therefore f(z)$  is continuous.

4.If  $f(z)$  is an analytic function then the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function are harmonic which satisfy the C.R (Cauchy Riemann) equation.

Let  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$  be an analytic function  $\Rightarrow f'(z)$  exist.

If we choose real values for  $h$ , then the imaginary part  $y$  is kept constant and the derivative becomes a partial derivative w.r. to  $x$ .

$$\therefore f'(z) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Similarly, if we choose imaginary values for  $h$ , (i.e.,)  $h = ik$ . we have,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + ik) - f(z)}{ik} \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + ik) - f(z)}{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \quad \dots\dots\dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f'(z) &= \frac{1}{i} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right] \\ &= -i \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$f'(z) = i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

from (1) & (2)

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ . which is known as  $C \cdot R$  equation in complex form.





Now, equating the real and imaginary part. we get,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$  &  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$ .

(i.e.,)  $U_x = V_y$  and  $U_y = -v_x$

which is known as C.R. equations in Cartesian form.

To prove:  $u$  and  $v$  are harmonic.

We know that, the derivative of an analytic function is itself analytic

By this fact,  $u$  and  $v$  will have continuous partial derivative of all order, and the mixed derivatives will be equal.

(i.e.,)  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y \partial x}$

Now,  $= \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y \partial x} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \Delta u = 0$

$\therefore$  The function  $u$  Satisfies the Laplace equation  $\Delta u = 0$  is said to be harmonic.

Similarly,  $\Delta V = 0$

$\therefore V$  is harmonic

Hence, the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function are harmonic which satisfies the C.R. equation.

5. |  $f'(z)$  |<sup>2</sup> is the Jacobian of  $u$  and  $v$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ .

Proof:  $f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$  · (by (1))



$$|f'(z)| = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^2}$$

$$|f'(z)|^2 = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^2$$

$$= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

$$= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$$

$$|f'(z)|^2 = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{vmatrix}$$

Hence,  $|f'(z)|^2$  is the Jacobian of  $u$  and  $v$  with respect to  $x$  and  $y$ .

**Definition: Conjugate Harmonic function:**

If two harmonic functions  $u$  and  $v$  satisfy the C.R equations  $U_x = V_y$  and  $U_y = -V_x$ . Then  $V$  is the conjugate Harmonic function of  $u$ .

**Note:**

C.R equations can be written as,

$\frac{\partial(-v)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  and  $\frac{\partial(-v)}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ . Then we say that  $u$  is the conjugate harmonic function of  $-v$ .

**Converse of Note (4)**

The function  $u+iv$  determined by a pair of conjugate harmonic functions is always analytic.  
(or) The harmonic function  $u$  and  $v$  Satisfies  $C \cdot R$  equations: Then  $u + iv$  is an analytic function.

**Proof:**

Let  $z = x + iy$  and  $f(z) = u + iv$ .

Given,  $u$  and  $v$  are harmonic functions of  $x$  and  $y$



∴  $u$  and  $v$  have continuous function first order Partial derivatives.

Applying mean Value theorem on two variable  $y$  we get,

$$u(x + h, y + k) - u(x, y) = h \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \varepsilon_1$$

$$v(x + h, y + k) - v(x, y) = h \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \varepsilon_2$$

where, the remainders  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2 \rightarrow 0$  more rapidly than  $h+ik$  in the sense that.

$\frac{\varepsilon_1}{h+ik}$  and  $\frac{\varepsilon_2}{h+ik} \rightarrow 0$  as  $h + ik \rightarrow 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{consider, } \frac{f(z+h+ik)-f(z)}{h+ik} &= \frac{f(x+iy+h+ik)-f(x+iy)}{h+ik} \\ &= \frac{f[x + h + i(y + k)] - f(x + iy)}{h + ik} \\ &= \frac{u(x + h, y + k) + iv(x + h, y + k) - [u(x, y) + iv(x, y)]}{h + ik} \\ &= \frac{u(x + h, y + k) - u(x, y) + i[v(x + h, y + k) - v(x, y)]}{h + ik} \\ &= \frac{h \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \varepsilon_1 + i \left[ h \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \varepsilon_2 \right]}{h + ik} \\ &= \frac{h \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + k \left( -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) + \varepsilon_1 + i \left[ h \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \varepsilon_2 \right]}{h + ik} \\ &= \frac{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (h + ik) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} (-k + ih) + \varepsilon_1 + i \cdot \varepsilon_2}{h + ik} \\ &= \frac{1}{h + ik} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (h + ik) + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} (i^2 k + ih) + \varepsilon_1 + i \varepsilon_2 \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{h + ik} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} (h + ik) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} (h + ik) + \varepsilon_1 + i \varepsilon_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(h + ik)}{h + ik} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\varepsilon_1 + i\varepsilon_2}{h + ik} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\varepsilon_1}{h + ik} + i \frac{\varepsilon_2}{h + ik} \\
 \lim_{h+ik \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + h + ik) - f(z)}{h + ik} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
 \end{aligned}$$

$= f'(z) \therefore f'(z)$  exists.

$\therefore f(z)$  is an analytic function.

**Note:**

Conjugate of a harmonic function can be found by integration.

For example:

Let  $u = x^2 - y^2$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -2y$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 2, \quad \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = -2$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 2 - 2 = 0$$

$$\therefore \Delta u = 0$$

$\therefore u$  is harmonic.

Let  $v$  be a conjugate harmonic function of  $u$ .

$\therefore u$  and  $v$  satisfy  $C.R$  equations.

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 2x \quad \dots\dots\dots (1) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -2y \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$



Integrating equation (1),  $\int \partial v = \int 2x \partial y$

$$\therefore V = 2xy + \phi(x)$$

Partially Diff with respect to  $x$ ,

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = 2y + \phi'(x)$$

$$\therefore 2y = 2y + \phi'(x)$$

$$\therefore \phi'(x) = 0$$

$$\phi(x) = \text{constant} = c$$

$$\therefore V = 2xy + c$$

The analytic function  $f(z) = u + iv$

$$= x^2 - y^2 + i[2xy + c]$$

$$= x^2 - y^2 + i2xy + ic$$

$$= (x + iy)^2 + ic$$

$$\therefore f(z) = z^2 + ic$$

### Problems:

1. Verify C.R equation for the function  $z^2$  and  $z^3$

#### Proof:

$$\text{i) Let } f(z) = z^2$$

$$= (x + iy)^2.$$

$$f(z) = x^2 - y^2 + 2ixy$$

$$u = x^2 - y^2; v = 2xy$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 2x, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 2y$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -2y, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 2x$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

$$\text{i.e., } U_x = V_y \text{ and } U_y = -V_x$$



ii) Let  $f(z) = z^3$

$$= (x + iy)^3$$

$$= x^3 + (iy)^3 + 3x^2iy + 3x(iy)^2$$

$$= x^3 - iy^3 + 3ix^2y - 3xy^2$$

$$f(z) = x^3 - 3xy^2 - i(y^3 - 3x^2y)$$

$$u = x^3 - 3xy^2, v = -y^3 + 3x^2y$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 3x^2 - 3y^2, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 6xy$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -6yx, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = -3y^2 + 3x^2$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 3x^2 - y^2 = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

Hence,  $u_x = v_y$  and  $u_y = -v_x$

Hence, the C.R equations for the functions  $z^2$  and  $z^3$ .

2. Show that an analytic function cannot have a constant absolute value without reducing to a constant.

i.e., An analytic function with constant modulus reduces to a constant.

**Solution:**

Let  $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  be an analytic function with constant modulus.

$$\therefore |f(z)| = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} = c$$

$$\therefore u^2 + v^2 = c^2$$



partially diff w. r, to  $x$

$$2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + 2v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

partially diff w.r.to  $y$

$$2u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + 2v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - v \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Eliminate  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  from (1) & (2)

$$(1) \text{ multiply } v \Rightarrow uv \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$(2) \text{ multiply } u \Rightarrow -uv \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + u^2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$(u^2 + v^2) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$u^2 + v^2 = 0 \text{ (or) } \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Eliminate  $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$  from (1) & (2)

$$(1) \text{ multiply } u \Rightarrow u^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + uv \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$(2) \text{ multiply } v \Rightarrow -v^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + uv \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$



$$(u^2 + v^2) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$u^2 + v^2 = 0 \text{ (or) } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

$$\text{From (3) \& (4), } u^2 + v^2 = 0 \text{ (or) } \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

Suppose,  $u^2 + v^2 = 0$

$$\therefore |f(z)| = 0$$

which is not possible.

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$$\text{Also, We know that } f'(z) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$\therefore f(z)$  is constant.

Hence, an analytic function with constant modulus reduces to a constant.

3. Prove that the function  $f(z)$  and  $\overline{f(\bar{z})}$  are simultaneously analytic.

**Proof:**

Let  $z = x + iy$  and  $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  be an analytic function

$\therefore u$  and  $v$  have continuous first order partial derivatives which satisfy  $C \cdot R$  equation

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \text{ \& } \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}$$

To prove:  $\overline{f(\bar{z})}$  is analytic.

Let  $\bar{z} = x - iy$





$$f(\bar{z}) = u(x, -y) + iv(x, -y)$$

$$\overline{f(\bar{z})} = u(x, -y) - iv(x, -y)$$

$$= u_1(x, y) + iv_1(x, y)$$

where  $u_1(x, y) = u(x, -y)$

$$v_1(x, y) = -v(x, +y)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

To prove  $\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y} = \frac{-\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}$$

$\therefore u_1$  &  $v_1$  Satisfy the C.R. equations.

Also,  $u_1$  and  $v_1$  have continuous first order partial derivatives (Since,  $u$  and  $v$  have continuous first order partial derivatives).

$\therefore \overline{f(\bar{z})}$  is analytic.

Conversely,

Let  $\overline{f(\bar{z})}$  be analytic.

using the first part we get  $f(\bar{z})$  is analytic.

(i.e.,)  $f(z)$  is analytic.

Hence, the function  $f(z)$  and  $\overline{f(\bar{z})}$  are simultaneously analytic.

4. Prove that the function  $u(z)$  &  $U(\bar{z})$  are Simultaneously harmonic.



**Proof:**

Let  $U(z) = U(x, y)$  be a harmonic function.

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 U(z)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U(z)}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

To prove:  $U(\bar{z})$  is harmonic.

(i) To prove:  $\frac{\partial^2 U(\bar{z})}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U(\bar{z})}{\partial y^2} = 0$ .

Now,  $U(\bar{z}) = U(x, -y)$

$$\frac{\partial U(\bar{z})}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial^2 u(\bar{z})}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial u(\bar{z})}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial^2 u(\bar{z})}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 u(\bar{z})}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u(\bar{z})}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

$\therefore U(\bar{z})$  is harmonic.

Conversely,

Suppose that,  $U(\bar{z})$  is harmonic using the first part,  $U(\bar{\bar{z}})$  is harmonic.

$\therefore U(z)$  is harmonic

Hence, the function  $U(z)$  and  $U(\bar{z})$  are Simultaneously harmonic.

**Note:**

1. Consider, a complex function  $f(x, y)$  of two real variables. Introduce two complex variable  $z$  and  $\bar{z}$  as follows.

$x = \frac{1}{2}(z + \bar{z})$  &  $y = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \bar{z})$  with this change of variable we can consider,  $f(x, y)$  as a function, of  $z$  &  $\bar{z}$ , which we will treat as independent variables. Suppose,  $f$  is analytic.



consider,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial \bar{z}}$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \left( \frac{-1}{2i} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \quad (\text{using C.R equation in complex form})$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$$

∴ The analytic functions are characterised by the condition  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}$$

$$= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \left( \frac{1}{2i} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \neq 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \neq 0$$

∴ An analytic Function is independent of  $\bar{z}$  and a function of  $z$  alone.

2. Without use of integration the analytic function  $f(Z)$  whose real part is given harmonic function  $U(x, y)$  can be formed as follows,

consider, the conjugate function  $\overline{f(z)}$

By the above note,  $\frac{\partial \overline{f(z)}}{\partial z} = 0$

i.e.,  $\overline{f(z)}$  can be considered as function of  $\bar{z}$  alone

$$U(x, y) = \frac{f(z) + \overline{f(z)}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{f(z) + \tilde{f}(\bar{z})}{2} \quad (\because \text{Denote the function } \overline{f(z)} \text{ by } \tilde{f}(\bar{z}))$$

$$= \frac{f(x + iy) + \tilde{f}(x - iy)}{2}$$

Put  $x = \frac{z}{2}$  &  $y = \frac{z}{2i}$



$$u\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2i}\right) = \frac{f\left(\frac{z}{2} + i\frac{z}{2i}\right) + \tilde{f}\left(\frac{z}{2} - i\frac{z}{2i}\right)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{f(z) + \tilde{f}(0)}{2}$$

$$u\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2i}\right) = \frac{f(z) + \tilde{f}(0)}{2} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Assume,  $\tilde{f}(0)$  is real. ( $\because f$  is real  $f = \tilde{f}$ )

$$\therefore u(0,0) = \frac{f'(0) + \tilde{f}(0)}{2} = \frac{\tilde{f}(0) + \tilde{f}(0)}{2}$$

$$= \tilde{f}(0)$$

Substitute in equation (1), we get

$$u\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2i}\right) = \frac{f(z) + u(0,0)}{2}$$

$$\therefore f(z) = 2u\left(\frac{z}{2}, \frac{z}{2i}\right) - u(0,0)$$

## 1.2. Polynomials

Every constant is an analytic function with derivative zero. The function  $z$  is also an analytic function with derivative one.

Since the sum and product of two analytic functions are analytic, it follows that every Polynomial.

$P(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_nz^n$  is an analytic function.

It's derivative is  $p'(z) = a_1 + 2a_2z + \dots + na_nz^{n-1}$

By the fundamental theorem of algebra. For  $n > 0$  the equation  $P(z) = 0$  has at least one root.

If  $P(\alpha_1) = 0$ , then we can write,  $P(z)$  as  $P(z) = (z - \alpha_1)P_1(z)$  where  $P_1(z)$  is a polynomial of degree  $(n - 1)$



Repeating this process,  $p(z)$  can be written as  $P(z) = (z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_2) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$  are not necessarily distinct. These  $\alpha_j$  's are called zeros of the polynomial  $P(z)$ .

If exactly  $h$  of  $\alpha_j$ 's are coincide then a common value is called the zero of the polynomial  $P(z)$  of order  $h$ .

**Note:**

The order of a zero ' $\alpha$ ' can be determined by consideration of the successive derivatives of  $p(z)$  for  $z = \alpha$ . Suppose,  $\alpha$  is a zero of order  $h$ .

Then we can write,  $P(z) = (z - \alpha)^h P_h(z)$  where  $P_h(\alpha) \neq 0$

Successive derivation yields.  $P(\infty) = p'(\alpha) = \dots = p^{(h-1)}(\alpha) = 0$ . while  $p^{(h)}(\alpha) \neq 0$ .

In other words, the order of a zero equals the order of the First non-vanishing derivative.

Definition: Simple zero

A zero of order one is called a simple zero and is characterized by the conditions  $p(\alpha) = 0$  and  $P'(\alpha) \neq 0$ .

**Theorem 1: (Lucas Theorem)**

If all zeros of a polynomial  $p(z)$  lie in a half plane, then all zeros of the derivative  $p'(z)$  lie in the same half plane.

**Proof:**

Let  $p(z) = a_n(z - \alpha_1)(z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_n)$

Taking log on both sides,

$$\therefore \log p(z) = \log a_n + \log(z - \alpha_1) + \cdots + \log(z - \alpha_n)$$

diff with respect to ' $z$ '



$$\frac{p'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{1}{z-\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{z-\alpha_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{z-\alpha_n} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Now,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$  are the zeroes of the polynomial  $p(z)$ .

Suppose  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$  lie in the half plane  $H$ . i.e., if  $\alpha_k \in H, \forall k = 1, 2, \dots n$

$$\text{Then } \text{Im} \left( \frac{\alpha_k - a}{b} \right) < 0$$

$$\text{Let } z \notin H, \text{ then } \text{Im} \left( \frac{z-a}{b} \right) \geq 0$$

$$\text{Now, } \text{Im} \left( \frac{z-\alpha_k}{b} \right) = \text{Im} \left( \frac{z-a+a-\alpha_k}{b} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Im} \left( \frac{z-a}{b} - \frac{\alpha_k - a}{b} \right) \\ &= \text{Im} \left( \frac{z-a}{b} \right) - \text{Im} \left( \frac{\alpha_k - a}{b} \right) \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Im} \left( \frac{z-\alpha_k}{b} \right) > 0$$

$$\therefore \text{Im} \left( \frac{b}{z-\alpha_k} \right) < 0 \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$\text{From (1)} \quad \frac{bp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{b}{z-\alpha_1} + \frac{b}{z-\alpha_2} + \dots + \frac{b}{z-\alpha_n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b}{z-\alpha_k}$$

$$\text{Im} \left( \frac{bp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) = \text{Im} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{b}{z-\alpha_k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \text{Im} \frac{b}{z-\alpha_k} \right) < 0 \quad (\text{by (2)})$$

$$\therefore \text{Im} \left( \frac{bp'(z)}{p(z)} \right) < 0$$

$$\frac{bp'(z)}{p(z)} < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p'(z) \neq 0$$

$$z \notin H \Rightarrow p'(z) \neq 0$$



Taking negation,  $\therefore p'(z) = 0 \Rightarrow z \in H$

### 1.3. Rational Functions:

Consider, a rational function  $R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$  given as a quotient of two polynomials. We assume  $P(z)$  &  $Q(z)$  have no common factors and hence no common zeros.

$R(z)$  will be given the value  $\infty$  at zero's of  $Q(z)$ .

$\therefore R(z)$  is considered as a function in the extended complex plane.

The zeros of  $Q(z)$  are called poles of  $R(z)$  and the order of the pole of  $R(z) =$  the order of corresponding zeros of  $Q(z)$

#### Note:

1. The derivative  $R'(z) = \frac{Q(z)P'(z) - P(z)Q'(z)}{Q(z)^2}$  exist only when  $Q(z) \neq 0$ .

We note that,  $R'(z)$  has the same poles as  $R(z)$  and the order of each pole being increased by one.

2. Let  $R(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} = \frac{a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_nz^n}{b_0 + b_1z + b_2z^2 + \dots + b_nz^n}$  be the given rational function.

Then there are exactly  $n$ -zeros and  $n$ -poles in the finite part of the plane.

#### Problem 1:

Find the order of the zero (or) a pole at  $\infty$

#### Solution:

Consider,  $R(1/z)$

We write as a rational function  $R_1(z)$

(i.e.,)  $R(1/z) = R_1(z)$



Set  $R(\infty) = R_1(0)$

IF  $R_1(0) = 0$  (or)  $\infty$ , the order of the zero (or) pole at  $\infty$  is defined as order of the zero (or) pole of  $R_1(z)$  at the origin.

[ Since, the behaviour of  $R(z)$  at  $\infty$  is Same as behaviour of  $R_1(z)$  at the origin].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } R_1(z) &= R(1/z) = \frac{P(1/z)}{Q(1/z)} \\ &= \frac{a_0 + a_1(1/z) + a_2(1/z^2) + \dots + a_n(1/z^n)}{b_0 + b_1(1/z) + b_2(1/z^2) + \dots + b_m(1/z^m)} \\ &= \frac{(a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n)/z^n}{(b_0z^m + b_1z^{m-1} + \dots + b_m)/z^m} \\ &= z^{m-n} \frac{(a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + \dots + a_n)}{b_0z^m + b_1z^{m-1} + \dots + b_m} \end{aligned}$$

i) If  $m > n$ , origin becomes a zero of  $R_1(z)$  with order  $m - n$ .

$\therefore \infty$  is a pole of  $R(z)$  with order  $m - n$ .

ii) If  $m < n$ , origin becomes a pole of  $R_1(z)$  with order  $n - m$ .

$\therefore \infty$  is a zero of  $R(z)$  with order  $n - m$ .

iii) If  $m = n$ ,  $R_1(z) = \frac{a_n}{b_m} \neq 0$  (or)  $\infty$ .  $\therefore \infty$  is neither zero nor pole of  $R(z)$

	No. of zeros in the finite part of the plane	No. of zeros at $\infty$	Total	No. of poles in the finite point of the plane	No. of poles at $\infty$	Total
$m > n$	$n$	$m - n$	$m$	$m$	-	$m$
$m < n$	$n$	-	$n$	$m$	$n - m$	$n$
$m = n$	$n$	-	$n$	$m$	-	$m$

The above table shows that, number of zeros and number of poles in the extended complex plane are the same and it is equal to greater  $m$  and  $n$ .





This common number of zeros and poles is called the order of the rational function  $R(z)$ .

**Note:**

1. A rational function  $R(z)$  with order  $p$  has  $p$  zeros and  $P$  poles and every equation  $R(z) = a$ , where 'a' is a constant has exactly  $p$  roots.
2. Every rational function has a representation by Partial fractions.

Assume that  $R(z)$  has a pole at infinity we Carryout the division of  $\frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$  until the degree of remainder is almost equal to that of the: denominator.

The result can be written in the form  $R(z) = G(z) + H(z)$  (1), where  $G(z)$  is a polynomial without constant term and  $H(z)$  is finite at infinity. The degree of  $G(z)$  is the order of the pole at infinity and the polynomial  $G(z)$  is called the Singular part of  $R(z)$  at infinity. Let the distinct Finite poles of  $R(z)$  be denoted by  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \dots \beta_q$ .

The function  $R\left(\beta_j + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$  is a rational function of  $\varepsilon$  with a pole at  $\varepsilon = \infty$ .

By use of the decomposition (1) we can write  $R\left(\beta_j + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) = G_j(\varepsilon) + H_j(\varepsilon)$  (on with a change of Variably

$$R(z) = G_j\left(\frac{1}{z - \beta_j}\right) + H_j\left(\frac{1}{z - \beta_j}\right)$$

Here,  $G_j\left(\frac{1}{z - \beta_j}\right)$  is a polynomial in  $\frac{1}{z - \beta_j}$  with out constant term, called the Singular port of  $R(z)$  at  $\beta_j$ .

The function  $H_j\left(\frac{1}{z - \beta_j}\right)$  is finite for  $z = \beta_j$ .

Consider, the expression  $R(z) - G(z) - \sum_{j=1}^q G_j\left(\frac{1}{z - \beta_j}\right)$ .

This is a rational function which cannot have poles other than  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \dots \beta_q \& \infty$ .



At  $z = \beta_j$  we find that the two terms which becomes  $\infty$  have a difference  $H_j \left( \frac{1}{2-\beta_j} \right)$  with a finite limit and the same is true at  $\infty$ .

$\therefore$  (2) has neither any finite poles nor a pole at infinity.

$\therefore$  The above rational Function reduces to a constant. If this constant is absorbed in  $G(z)$ .

we obtain,  $R(z) = G(z) + \sum_{j=1}^q G_j \left( \frac{1}{2-\beta_j} \right)$ .

### Problem 2:

Use the method of the text to developer

i)  $\frac{z^4}{z^3-1}$  in partial Fraction.

### Solution:

i) Let  $R(z) = \frac{z^4}{z^3-1}$

The poles are got by,  $z^3 - 1 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow z^3 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow z = 1, \omega, \omega^2$$

$$\therefore \beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = \omega, \beta_3 = \omega^2$$

$$\therefore G(z) = z$$

Consider,  $R(\beta_1 + 1/\varepsilon) = R(1 + 1/\varepsilon)$

$$= \frac{(1 + 1/\varepsilon)^4}{(1 + 1/\varepsilon)^3 - 1}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1 + 4(1/\varepsilon) + 6(1/\varepsilon^1) + 4(1/\varepsilon^3) + 1/\varepsilon^4}{1 + 3(1/\varepsilon) + 3(1/\varepsilon^2) + 1/\varepsilon^3 - 1} \\
 &= \frac{(\varepsilon^4 + 1 - \varepsilon^3 + 6\varepsilon^2 + 4\varepsilon + 1)/\varepsilon^4}{3\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon^3} \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon^4 + 4\varepsilon^3 + 6\varepsilon^2 + 4\varepsilon + 1}{\varepsilon(3\varepsilon^2 + 3\varepsilon + 1)} \\
 \therefore R(\beta_1 + 1/\varepsilon) &= \frac{\varepsilon^4 + 4\varepsilon^3 + 6\varepsilon^2 + 4\varepsilon + 1}{3\varepsilon^3 + 3\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore G_1(1 + 1/\varepsilon) &= 1/\varepsilon^2 \\
 \therefore G_1(z) &= \frac{1}{3(z-1)} \\
 &= \frac{(w + 1/\varepsilon)^4}{(w + 1/\varepsilon)^3 - 1} \\
 &= \frac{w^4 + 4w^3(1/\varepsilon) + 6w^2(1/\varepsilon^2) + 4(1/\varepsilon^3)w + (1/\varepsilon^4)}{w^3 + 3w^2(1/\varepsilon) + 3w(1/\varepsilon^2) + 1/\varepsilon^3 - 1} \\
 &= \frac{\omega + 4(1/\varepsilon) + 6\omega^2(1/\varepsilon^2) + 4\omega(1/\varepsilon^3) + 1/\varepsilon^4}{1 + 3\omega^2(1/\varepsilon) + 3\omega(1/\varepsilon^2) + 1/\varepsilon^3 - \gamma} \\
 &= \frac{\omega\varepsilon^4 + 4\varepsilon^3 + 6\omega^2\varepsilon^2 + 4\omega\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon^4}{3\omega^2\varepsilon^2 + 3\omega\varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$k(\beta_2 + 1/\varepsilon) = \frac{\omega\varepsilon^4 + 1\varepsilon^3 + 6\omega^2\varepsilon^2 + 4\omega\varepsilon + 1}{3\omega^2\varepsilon^3 + 3\omega\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon}$$

$$\therefore G_2(\omega + 1/\varepsilon) = \frac{1 \cdot \varepsilon}{3\omega}$$

$$z = w + 1/\varepsilon$$

$$= \frac{1}{3\omega(z - \omega)}$$

$$z - \omega = 1/\varepsilon.$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{z - \omega}.$$

consider,  $R(\beta_3 + 1/\varepsilon) = R(\omega^2 + 1/\varepsilon)$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(\omega^2 + 1/\varepsilon)^4}{(\omega^2 + 1/\varepsilon)^3 - 1} \left( \because R(z) = \frac{z^4}{z^3 - 1} \right) \\
 &= \frac{(\omega^2)^4 + 4\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)(\omega^2)^3 + 6\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)(\omega^2)^2 + 4\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\right)\omega^2 + 1/\varepsilon^4}{(\omega^2)^3 + 3(1/\varepsilon)(\omega^2)^2 + 3(1/\varepsilon^2)(\omega^2) + 1/\varepsilon^3 - 1} \\
 &= \frac{w^2 + 4\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) + 6(1/\varepsilon^2)w + 4\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\right) \cdot w^2 + 1/\varepsilon^4}{1 + 3(1/\varepsilon)w + 3\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)w^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}\right) - 1} \\
 &= \frac{\omega^2 \cdot \varepsilon^4 + 4\varepsilon^3 + 6\omega\varepsilon^2 + 4\varepsilon\omega^2 + 1/\varepsilon^4}{3\omega\varepsilon^2 + 3\omega^2 \cdot \varepsilon + 1/\varepsilon^3} \\
 R(\beta_3 + 1/\varepsilon) &= \frac{\omega^2\varepsilon^4 + 4\varepsilon^3 + 6\omega\varepsilon^2 + 4\varepsilon\omega^2 + 1}{3\omega\varepsilon^3 + 3\omega^2\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore G_3\left(\omega^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) = \frac{\omega}{3}$$

$$[\because z = \omega^2 + 1/\varepsilon$$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = z - \omega^2, \quad \varepsilon = \frac{1}{z - \omega^2}]$$

$$\therefore G_3(z) = \frac{\omega}{3(z - \omega^2)}$$

$$\therefore R(z) = G(z) + G_1(z) + G_2(z) + G_3(z)$$

$$R(z) = z + \frac{1}{3(z-1)} + \frac{1}{3\omega(z-\omega)} + \frac{w}{3(z-\omega^2)}$$

ii) Let  $R(z) = \frac{1}{z(z+1)^2(z+2)^3}$

The poles are got by,  $z(z+1)^2(z+2)^3 = 0$ .

$$\Rightarrow z = 0 \text{ \& } (z+1)^2 = 0 \text{ \& } (z+2)^3 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z = 0 \text{ \& } z = -1, -1, \text{ \& } z = -2, -2, -2$$

$$\therefore \beta_1 = 0, \beta_2 = -1, \beta_3 = -1, \beta_4 = -2, \beta_5 = -2, \beta_6 = -2$$

$$G(z) = \frac{1}{z(z+1)^2(z+2)^3}, \text{ Consider, } R(\beta_1 + 1/\varepsilon) = R(0 + 1/\varepsilon)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{(1/\varepsilon)((\frac{1}{\varepsilon}) + 1)^3 (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 2)^3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + 1)(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} + 3.2(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}) + 3.4(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}) + 8)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}) \cdot (\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{6}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{12}{\varepsilon} + 8)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2}) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}} (\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{6}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{12}{\varepsilon} + 8) \\
 &= \frac{1}{(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{6}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{12}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{8}{\varepsilon} + \frac{2}{\varepsilon^5} + \frac{12}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{24}{\varepsilon^3} + \frac{16}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^6} + \frac{6}{\varepsilon^5} + \frac{12}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{8}{\varepsilon^3})}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$R(\beta_1 + 1/\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^6}{1 + 8\varepsilon + 25\varepsilon^2 + 38\varepsilon^3 + 28\varepsilon^4 + 8\varepsilon^5}$$

$$\therefore G_1(1/\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon.$$

$$z = 1/\varepsilon$$

$$\therefore G_1(z) = \frac{1}{8z} \Rightarrow \varepsilon = 1/z.$$

$$\text{Consider, } R(\beta_1 + 1/\varepsilon) = R\left(-1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(-1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(-1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)^2 \left(-1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)^3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1\right)\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)^3}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^6} + \frac{3}{\varepsilon^5} + \frac{3}{\varepsilon^4} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^5} - \frac{3}{\varepsilon^4} - \frac{3}{\varepsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}} \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon^6}{1 + 3\varepsilon + 3\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^3 - \varepsilon - 3\varepsilon^2 - 3\varepsilon^3 - \varepsilon^4}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore R(\beta_2 + 1/\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^6}{1 + 2\varepsilon - 2\varepsilon^3 - \varepsilon^4}$$

$$\therefore G_2(- + 1/\varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2$$

$$\therefore G_2(z) = \frac{2}{z+1} - \left(\frac{1}{z+1}\right)^2$$

Consider,  $R(\beta_4 + 1/\varepsilon) = R(-2 + 1/\varepsilon)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{(-2 + 1/\varepsilon)(-2 + (\frac{1}{\varepsilon}) + 1)^2(-2 + (\frac{1}{\varepsilon}) + 2)^3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 2\right) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 2\right) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^3}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon} + 1\right)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^6} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^5} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^5} + \frac{4}{\varepsilon^4} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^3}\right)} \\
 &= \frac{\varepsilon^6}{1 - 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon + 4\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore R(\beta_4 + 1/\varepsilon) = \frac{\varepsilon^6}{1 - 4\varepsilon + 5\varepsilon^2 - 2\varepsilon^3}$$

$$G_4(-2 + 1/\varepsilon) = -\frac{\varepsilon^3}{2} - \frac{5\varepsilon^2}{4} - \frac{17\varepsilon}{8}$$

$$G_4(z) = \frac{-1}{2(z+2)^3} - \frac{5}{1(z+2)^2} - \frac{17}{18(z+2)}$$



$$\begin{aligned} \therefore R(z) &= G_1(z) + G_1(z) + 2G_2(z) + 3G_4(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z(z+1)^2(z+2)^3} + \frac{1}{8z} + 2\left(\frac{2}{z+1} - \frac{1}{(z+1)^2}\right) - 3\left(\frac{1}{2(z+2)^2} + \frac{5}{4(z+1)^2} + \frac{1}{8(z+2)}\right) \\ \therefore R(z) &= \frac{1}{z(z+1)^2(z+2)^3} + \frac{1}{8z} + \frac{4}{z+1} - \frac{2}{(z+1)^2} - \frac{3}{2(z+2)^2} - \frac{15}{4(z+2)^2} - \frac{51}{8(z+2)} \end{aligned}$$

#### 1.4. Power series:

**Definition:** (limit superior)

If  $A$  is finite where  $A = \lim \sup\{\alpha_n\}$  then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $n_0$

Such that  $|A_n - A| < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_0$ .

**Definition:** (absolutely convergent)

A series  $\sum a_n$  with the property that  $\sum |a_n|$  converges is called an absolutely convergent series.

(i.e.)  $\sum a_n$  converges and  $\sum |a_n|$  converges then  $\sum a_n$  is absolutely convergent

**Converges uniformly:**

The sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  converges uniformly on  $E \Leftrightarrow$  to every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist an  $n_0$

Such that  $|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| < \varepsilon, \forall m, n \geq n_0$  and  $\forall x \in E$

**Result:**

1. Consider the two series  $\sum f_n(x)$  and  $\sum a_n$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq Ma_n$ , for some constant  $M$  for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

The first series  $\sum f_n(x)$  is called the minorant and the 2<sup>nd</sup> series is called the majorant.

2. Weierstrass's -  $M$  test:

$$\text{If } |f_n(x) + f_{n+1}(x) + \dots + f_{m+p}(x)| \leq M(a_n + a_{n+1} + \dots + a_{n+p})$$



and if the majorant ( $2^{nd}$  series) converges then the minorant (1st series) converges uniformly.

3. Differentiable at  $z_0$  : (Derivative at  $z_0$  )

$$f'(z_0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$$

4.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = f(z) \Rightarrow$  for a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist an  $n$  such that

$$|f_n(z) - f(z)| < \varepsilon \quad \forall n.$$

### Power series:

- The general form of a power series is  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  where ' $a_n$ ' and ' $z^n$ ' are complex.
- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (z - z_0)^n$  represents the power series with respect to the center ' $z_0$ '.
- consider the geometric series,  $1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + \dots + z^n + \dots$ , the partial sum can be written in the form  $1 + z + z^2 + \dots + z^{n-1} = \frac{1-z^n}{1-z}$

a) If  $|z| < 1$ ,  $|z|^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and so  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \frac{1}{1-z}$

(i.e.) the geometric series converges if  $|z| < 1$

If  $|z| \geq 1$ ,  $|z|^n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and so  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$  diverges to  $\infty$ .

### Hadamard's formula:

$$\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \quad (\text{or}) \quad \frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right|$$

$R \rightarrow$  Radius of convergence ( *ROC* )

### Result:

- The power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  convergent if  $|z| < R$ . (Interior of a circle) and divergent if  $|z| > R$ . (Exterior of a circle)
- $|z| < 1 \Rightarrow$  bounded  $|z| > 1$ , unbounded.





**Theorem:1 (Abel's theorem)**

For every power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , there exists a number  $R, 0 \leq R \leq \infty$  called the Radius of Convergence, with the following properties:

- (i) The series converges absolutely for every  $z$  with  $|z| < R$ . If  $0 \leq \rho < R$ , the convergence is uniform for  $|z| \leq \rho$
- (ii) If  $|z| > R$ , the terms of the series are unbounded and the series is consequently divergent.
- (iii) In  $|z| < R$ , the sum of the series is an analytic function. The derivative can be obtained by term wise, differentiation and the derived series has the same radius of convergence:

**Proof:**

We know that Hadamard's formula is  $\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$  .....(1)

$R \rightarrow$  Radius of Convergence

Claim:  $R$  has the required properties

To prove. (i):

claim:1  $\sum a_n z^n$  converges absolutely if  $|z| < R$

(i.e.) To P:  $\sum |a_n z^n|$  converges.

given:  $|z| < R$

choose  $e$  r:  $|z| < \rho < R$ .

$$\Rightarrow |z| < \rho \text{ and } \rho < R.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|z|}{\rho} < 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\rho} > \frac{1}{R}$$

Now,  $\frac{1}{\rho} > \frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$  (by (1))

By the definition of limit superior,  $\exists$  an  $n_0$  such that

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < \frac{1}{\rho}$$

(i.e.)  $|a_n|^{1/n} < 1/e$

$$\Rightarrow |a_n| < \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^n$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_n z^n| < \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho}\right)^n \text{ (Multiply by } |z|^n \text{)}$$



Now  $\left(\frac{|z|}{\rho}\right)^n$  is convergent.

(i.e.) The geometric series majorant is convergent.

$$\begin{aligned} & |a_n z^n| < 1 \\ \Rightarrow & \sum |a_n z^n| \text{ converges} \\ \Rightarrow & \sum a_n z^n \text{ converges absolutely.} \end{aligned}$$

**claim:2**

If  $0 \leq \rho \leq R$  &  $|z| < R$ , then  $\sum a_n z^n$  converges uniformly in  $|z| \leq \rho$ .

$0 \leq \rho \leq R$  and  $|z| < R$ , also  $|z| \leq \rho$

choose  $\rho' \Rightarrow: 0 \leq \rho < \rho' < R$ .

$$\Rightarrow \rho < \rho' \text{ and } \rho' < R$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\rho}{\rho'} < 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\rho'} > \frac{1}{R}$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho'} > \frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \text{ (by eqn (1))}$$

By definition of limit superior,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{there exist an } n_0 &= \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} < \frac{1}{\rho'} \\ \Rightarrow |a_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} &< \frac{1}{\rho'} \\ \Rightarrow |a_n| &< \left(\frac{1}{\rho'}\right)^n \\ \Rightarrow |a_n z^n| &< \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho'}\right)^n < \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho'}\right)^n \quad (\because |z| \leq \rho) \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho'}\right)^n$  is convergent. The geometric series majorant is convergent

$$|a_n z^n| < 1$$

$\therefore$  The majorant converges



Hence By Weierstrass's -  $M$  test,  $\sum a_n z^n$  converges uniformly.

To prove (ii):

claim:3

If  $|z| > R$ , the terms of  $\sum a_n z^n$  are unbounded and so diverges.

given:  $|z| > R$

choose  $\rho \ni |z| > \rho > R$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |z| > \rho \text{ and } \rho > R \\ \Rightarrow \frac{|z|}{\rho} > 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{\rho} < \frac{1}{R} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{\rho} < \frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \text{ (by (1))}$$

By definition of limit superior, *their exist* an  $n_0 \ni$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} > 1/\rho \\ \Rightarrow |a_n|^{1/n} > 1/\rho \Rightarrow |a_n| > (1/\rho)^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |a_n z^n| > \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho}\right)^n > 1$$

The series  $\left(\frac{|z|}{\rho}\right)^n$  is unbounded.

$\Rightarrow \sum a_n z^n$  diverges to  $\infty$ .

To prove (iii):

Claim:4

We know that,  $\frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n}$

The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}$  has the same radius of convergence.

Let  $R'$  be the Radius of convergence of  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n a_n) z^{n-1}$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \frac{1}{R'} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \frac{1}{R'} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup n^{1/n} |a_n|^{1/n} \dots\dots\dots\text{(I)}$$



To prove:  $R = R'$

Now, To prove:  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1$

Let  $n^{1/n} = 1 + \delta_n$  where  $\delta_n > 0$

$$\Rightarrow n = (1 + \delta_n)^n$$

By the Binomial theorem,

$$\Rightarrow n = 1 + \binom{n}{1} \delta_n + \binom{n}{2} \delta_n^2 + \dots + \delta_n^n$$

$$> 1 + \binom{n}{2} \delta_n^2 \text{ (eliminating some term)}$$

$$\Rightarrow n - 1 > \binom{n}{2} \delta_n^2 \Rightarrow n - 1 > \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \delta_n^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{n} > \delta_n^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_n < \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}$$

$$\text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_n \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\therefore n^{1/n} = 1 + \delta_n = 1 + 0 = 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow n^{1/n} = 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} = 1$$

$$\therefore \text{(I)} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{R'} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |a_n|^{1/n}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{a_n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{R}$$

$\Rightarrow R = R'$ , convergent in  $|z| < R$ .

$\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$  is convergent in  $|z| < R$ .

Claim: 5

If  $|z| < R$ , the sum of the series is an analytic function and the derivative is obtained by term wise differentiation.



$$\text{Let } f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n = S_n(z) + R_n(z) \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}; \text{ where}$$

$$S_n(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} \quad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k z^k$$

$$\text{and } R_n(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

Consider the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}$ .

By claim: 4, this series is convergent in  $|z| < R$ .

$$\text{Let } f_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n z^{n-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow f_1(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S'_n(z) \rightarrow (II)$$

At  $z = z_0$ ,  $f$  an  $\varepsilon/3 > 0$

$$|S'_n(z_0) - f_1(z_0)| < \varepsilon/3, \forall n$$

$$\Rightarrow S_n(z_0) - f_1(z_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall n \quad \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

$$(\because |z| < a)$$

$$\Rightarrow -a < z < a)$$

consider  $\frac{f(z)-f(z_0)}{z-z_0} - f_1(z_0)$ , where

$|z| < \rho < R$  and  $|z_0| < \rho < R$ . choose  $\rho$ .

$$= \left\{ \frac{S_n(z) + R_n(z) - S_n(z_0) - R_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right\} - f_1(z_0) \text{ (by (2))}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{S_n(z) - S_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right\} + S'_n(z_0) - S'_n(z_0) + \left\{ \frac{R_n(z) - R_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right\} - f_1(z_0)$$



$$= \left\{ \frac{S_n(z) - S_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right\} + [S'_n(z_0) - f_1(z_0)] + \left[ \frac{R_n(z) - R_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right] \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

$$\text{Now, } \frac{R_n(z) - R_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z^k - \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k z_0^k}{z - z_0}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k (z^k - z_0^k)}{z - z_0}$$

$$= \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_k (z^{k-1} + z_0 z^{k-2} + z_0^2 z^{k-3} - z_0^{k-1})$$

$$\left| \frac{R_n(z) - R_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} \right| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k|$$

given  $|z| < \rho, |z_0| < \rho$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k| \left[ e^{k-1} + \rho^1 \rho^{k-2} + \rho^2 \rho^{k-3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \dots + \rho^{k-1} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k| [\rho^{k-1} + \rho^{k-1} + \rho^{k-1} + \dots + \rho^{k-1}] (k\text{-times}) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |a_k| k \rho^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  The series  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} k a_k \rho^{k-1}$  is absolutely Convergent at  $z = \rho$

$$\therefore \text{their exist an } n_0 \Rightarrow \frac{R_n(z) - R_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} < \varepsilon/3 \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

$\forall n \geq n_0$ .

By the definition of derivative,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{S_n(z) - S_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} = S'_n(z_0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{S_n(z) - S_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} - S'_n(z_0) \right| < \varepsilon/3 \dots\dots\dots (8)$$



$$(6) \Rightarrow \left| \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} - f_1(z_0) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} = f_1(z_0)$$

$\Rightarrow f'(z_0) = f_1(z_0)$  (by the definition of derivative) at  $z = z_0$

$\therefore f$  is differentiable at  $z = z_0$ .

since  $z_0$  is arbitrary,

$\Rightarrow f$  is analytic.

### Problems:

1. Find the radius of convergence for the following power series ( $\sum a_n z^n$ )

(i)  $\sum n! z^n$     (ii)  $\sum \frac{z^n}{n!}$     (iii)  $\sum n^p z^n$     (iv)  $\sum q^{n^2} z^n$     (v)  $\sum z^{n!}$  ( $|q| < 1$ )

### Solution:

(i)  $\sum n! z^n$

Here  $a_n = n!$

$$\text{We know that, } \frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} \right|$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)n!}{n!} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1) = \infty + 1 = \infty$$

$$1/R = 0 \Rightarrow R = \infty$$

(ii)  $\sum \frac{z^n}{n!}$

$$\text{Here } a_n = \frac{1}{n!}$$

$$\frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\frac{1}{(n+1)!}}{\frac{1}{n!}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \right|$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n!}{(n+1)n!} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{(n+1)} \right| = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0$$

$$\therefore 1/R = 0 \Rightarrow R = \infty$$

(iii)  $\sum n^p z^n$



Here  $a_n = n^p$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)^p}{n^p} \right| \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\left[ n \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p}{n^p} \right| \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n^p \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^p}{n^p} \right| \\
 &= (1 + 1/\infty)^p = (1 + 0)^p = 1 \\
 1/R &= 1 \Rightarrow R = 1
 \end{aligned}$$

(iv)  $\sum q^{n^2} z^n$  Here  $a_n = q^{n^2}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{R} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{q^{(n+1)^2}}{q^{n^2}} \right| \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{q^{n^2+2n+1}}{q^{n^2}} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{q^{2^2} \cdot a^{2n+1}}{q^{n^2}} \right) \\
 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (q^{2n+1}) \text{ converges to } 0
 \end{aligned}$$

if  $|q| < 1 \therefore \frac{1}{R} = 0 \Rightarrow R = \infty$ .

(v)  $z^{n!}$

We know that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n!} &= z^{0!} + z^{1!} + z^{2!} + \dots \\
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n &= n = 0 = z + z + z^2 + z^6 + \dots \\
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n &= 2z + z^2 + z^6 + \dots \\
 \Rightarrow a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + \dots &= 2z + z^2 + z^6 + \dots \\
 a_0 &= 0, a_1 = 1, a_2 = 1, a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = 0, a_6 = 1
 \end{aligned}$$





$$\therefore a_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = n! \\ \text{elsewhere} & \end{cases} \rightarrow (1) |a_k| = 1$$

$$\frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |a_n|^{1/n} = 1$$

2.  $\sum a_n z^n$  has radius of convergence  $R$ . What is the radius of Convergence of  $\sum a_n z^{2n}$  and

$$\sum a_n^2 z^n.$$

**Solution:**

Given  $\sum a_n z^n$  has radius of convergence  $R$ .

$$1. \sum a_n z^{2n} = \sum a_n \omega^n \text{ where } \omega = z^2$$

$$\sum a_n \omega^n = \begin{cases} \text{converges} & \text{if } |\omega| < R \\ \text{diverges} & \text{if } |\omega| > R \end{cases}$$

$$|\omega| < R \Leftrightarrow |z^2| < R$$

((e) converges if  $|z^2| < R$

diverges if  $|z^2| > R$

$\sum a_n z^{2n}$  converges if  $|z| < \sqrt{R}$

diverges if  $|z| > \sqrt{R}$

$\therefore$  The Radius of convergence  $R = \sqrt{R}$

$$2. \sum a_n^2 z^n$$

$$\text{we know that } \frac{1}{R} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{(|a_n|)^2}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup (|a_n|)^{2/n}$$

$$= \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |a_n|^{1/n} \right)^2 = (1/R)^2$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{R_1} = \frac{1}{R^2} \Rightarrow R_1 = R^2$$

If  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$  then what is the radius of convergence of  $\sum n^3 a_n z^n$  ?

**Solution:**



Method:1

Let  $R$  be the radius of convergence of  $f(z) = \sum a_n z^n$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{R} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Let  $R_1$ , be the radius of convergence of  $\sum n^3 a_n z^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{1}{R_1} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|n^3 a_n|} \\ \text{(i.e.) } \frac{1}{R_1} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^3 a_n|^{1/n} \end{aligned}$$

we know that  $|z_1 z_2| = |z_1| |z_2|$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{1}{R_1} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^3| |a_n|^{1/n} \\ &= \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n^{1/n}|^3 \right) \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \right) \\ w \cdot k \cdot t \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/n} &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{R_1} &= 1 \cdot \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} = 1/R \\ \therefore R_1 &= R \end{aligned}$$

Hence radius of convergence of  $\sum a_n z^n$  and  $\sum n^3 a_n z^n$  are same.

Method: 2

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \text{ and} \\ \text{Here } a_n &= n^3 a_n \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{R_1} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(n+1)^3 a_{n+1}}{n^3 a_n} \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{n^3 (1 + 1/n)^3 a_{n+1}}{n^3} \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^3 \right| \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \\ &= 1 \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{R_1} &= \frac{1}{R} \\ \Rightarrow R_1 &= R \end{aligned}$$



3. If  $\sum a_n z^n$  and  $\sum b_n z^n$  have radii of convergent  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ . Show that the radius of convergence of  $\sum_n a_n b_n z^n$  is atleast  $R_1 R_2$

**Solution:**

Let  $R_1$  be the radius of convergence of  $\sum a_n z^n$  and  $R_2$  be the radius of convergence of  $\sum b_n z^n$

$\therefore \frac{1}{R_1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$  and  $\frac{1}{R_2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{|b_n|}$  consider the series  $\sum a_n b_n z^n$ .

Let  $R$  be the radius of convergence of  $\sum a_n b_n z^n$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \frac{1}{R} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \sqrt[n]{|a_n b_n|} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |a_n|^{1/n} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |b_n|^{1/n} \\ \frac{1}{R} &= \frac{1}{R_1} \frac{1}{R_2} \\ R &= R_1 R_2 \text{ or } R \leq R_1 R_2 \end{aligned}$$

4. Expand  $\frac{2z+3}{z+1}$  in power of  $(z - 1)$ , what is its radius of convergence.

**Solution:**

$$\text{Let } f(z) = \frac{2z+3}{z+1}$$

$$\text{put } h = z - 1 \Rightarrow z = h + 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) = f(h+1) &= \frac{2(h+1)+3}{(h+1)+1} = \frac{(2h+2)+3}{h+2} = \frac{2(h+1)+2+1}{(h+1)+1} \\ &= \frac{2[h+1]+1}{(h+1)+1} + \frac{1}{(h+1)+1} = \frac{2(h+1)+1}{(h+1)+1} + \frac{1}{h+2} \\ &= 2 + \frac{1}{h+2} = 2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{h}{2}\right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{h}{2} + \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 \dots \dots \dots\right)$$

$$= 2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{h}{2} + \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 \dots \dots \dots\right)$$



$\therefore$  The radius of convergence is the large disc around 1 in which the function is analytic and -1 is the only point where the function is not analytic and the distance of -1 from 1 is 2.  $\therefore$  The required radius of convergence is  $R=2$ .



## UNIT-II:

### CAUCHY'S INTEGRAL FORMULA and LOCAL PROPERTIES OF ANALYTICAL

**FUNCTIONS:** The Index of a point with respect to a closed curve – The Integral formula – Higher derivatives. Removable Singularities-Taylor's Theorem – Zeros and poles – The local Mapping – The Maximum Principle.

#### Chapter 2: Section 2: 2.1 to 2.7

##### 2.1. The index of the point with respect to closed curve:

The index of the point (or) the winding point. The index of the point with respect to closed curve  $\Gamma$  by the equation  $n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a}$

##### Example:

We know that  $\int_c \frac{dz}{z-a} = 2\pi i$

Where  $c$  is a circle with center  $a$ , hence  $n(c, a) = 1$

Note: The bounded region is called the interior of  $c$ , other is called exterior of  $c$ .

##### Properties:

- i)  $n(\gamma, a)$  is an integer.
- ii)  $n(-\gamma, a) = -n(\gamma, a)$
- iii) When  $a$  lies outside the circle then  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$
- iv) When  $\gamma$  is any closed curve then  $n(\gamma, a)$  is constant in one region and zero in the un-bounded region. (or)  $n(\gamma, a) = n(\gamma, b)$  if  $a$  and  $b \in$  same region determined by  $\gamma$  and  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$  if  $a \in$  unbounded region determined by  $\gamma$ .

##### Proof:

- (i) We know that  $n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} = k$

Now  $k$  is an integer.

$\therefore n(\gamma, a)$  is an integer



(ii)  $n(-\gamma, a) = -n(\gamma, a)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } n(-\gamma, a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{+\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} = -n(\gamma, a) \end{aligned}$$

$n(-\gamma, a) = -n(\gamma, a)$

iii) Given a lies outside the circle  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$

To prove that  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$ . Let  $\gamma$  lies inside of the circle

Then we get,  $n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} = 0$

$\Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) = 0, \forall$  point a outside of the circle.

iv) Let a and b be two points in the bounded region  $\gamma$ , such that  $\gamma$  does not passes through a and b.

$$n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a}$$

$$n(\gamma, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-b}$$

$$n(\gamma, a) - n(\gamma, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-b}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-b} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log(z-a) - \log(z-b)]$$

$$n(\gamma, a) - n(\gamma, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \log \left[ \frac{z-a}{z-b} \right] \right] \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Since  $\log \left( \frac{z-a}{z-b} \right)$  is never real and less than or equal to zero ( $\leq 0$ ) but the index number should be positive  $\geq 0$



$$0 \leq \log \left[ \frac{z-a}{z-b} \right] \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \log \left[ \frac{z-a}{z-b} \right] = 0$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) - n(\gamma, b) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) = n(\gamma, b)$$

If  $a \in$  unbounded region determined by  $\gamma$ .

$$\therefore n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a}$$

when  $|a|$  is sufficiently large and  $\gamma$  lies inside of the disc.

$$\therefore |z| \leq \gamma < |a|$$

$$\therefore n(\gamma, a) = 0$$

**Note:**

As a point set  $\gamma$  is closed, bounded and its complements in the extended plane is open.

$\Rightarrow$  Union of disjoint region.

**Lemma :1**

Let  $z_1, z_2$  be two points on a closed Curve  $\gamma$ . which does not pass through the origin denote that sub arc is  $z_1$  to  $z_2$  in the direction of the curve by  $\gamma_1$  and sub arc from  $z_2$  to  $z_1$  by  $\gamma_2$ . Suppose that  $z_1$  lies in the lower half plane and  $z_2$  in the upper half plane. if  $\gamma_1$  does not meet the negative real axis and  $\gamma_2$  does not meet the positive real axis. Then  $n(\gamma, 0) = 1$ .

**Proof:**

draw the half line  $L_1, L_2$  from the origin through  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ .

Let  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2$  be the point in which  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  intersect. The circle  $c$  about the origin



IF  $C$  is described in the positive sense then arc  $c_1$  from  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  does not intersect the negative axis. and arc  $c_2$  from  $\zeta_2$  and  $\zeta_1$  does not intersect the positive axis.

Let the direct line segment  $z_1$  to  $\zeta_1$  and from  $z_2$  to  $\zeta_2$  be denote by  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$  respectively.

introducing the closed curves  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  by mathematical simple symbol by positive sign for positive direction and negative sign for negative direction (or) opposite direction

$$\therefore \sigma_1 = \gamma_1 + \nu_2 - c_1 - \delta_1$$

$$\& \sigma_2 = \gamma_2 + \delta_1 - c_1 - \delta_2$$

$$\text{We find that } n(2,0) = n(c, 0) + n(\sigma_1, 0) + n(\sigma_2, 0) \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$\therefore$  cancellation is opposite direction.

Note that,  $\sigma_1$  does not meet the negative axis.

Hence origin e unbounded region determined by  $\sigma_1$  and hence we up obtain  $n(\sigma_1, 0) = 0$  and for a similar reason  $n(\sigma_2, 0) = 0 \Rightarrow n(\gamma, 0) = n(c, 0) + 0 + 0$

$$\Rightarrow n(\gamma, 0) = n(c, 0) = 1 \Rightarrow n(\gamma, 0) = 1$$

**Problem:1**

Compute  $n(c, 0)$  where  $c$  is curve given by equation  $z = z(t) = e^{i2\pi nt}, 0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

**Solution:**

$$\text{We know that, } n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

To find:  $n(c, 0)$ .

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \gamma = c, a = 0, z = z(t) &= e^{i2\pi nt} \\ dz &= i2\pi ne^{i2\pi nt} dt \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ sub in (1)}$$





$$(1) \Rightarrow n(c, 0) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t=0}^1 \frac{i2\pi n e^{i2\pi n t} dt}{e^{i2\pi n t}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n(c, 0) &= \int_{t=0}^1 n dt \\ &= n[t]_0^1 \\ &= n(1 - 0) \\ n(c, 0) &= n. \end{aligned}$$

### Problem:2

Find  $n(\gamma, a)$ ,  $z = z(t) = e^{4\pi i t}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2$

### Solution:

Here  $a = 0$ ,  $z(t) = z = e^{4\pi i t}$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq 2$

$$dz = 4\pi i e^{4\pi i t} dt$$

We know that,  $n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a}$  .....(1)

$$(1) \Rightarrow n(\gamma, 0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{t=0}^2 \frac{4\pi i e^{4\pi i t} dt}{e^{4\pi i t}}$$

$$= 2 \int_0^2 dt = 2[t]_0^2$$

$$n(\gamma, 0) = 4$$

## 2.2. The Integral formula:

### Theorem 1: The Cauchy's integral Theorem

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic in an open disc  $\Delta$  and Let  $\gamma$  be a closed curve in  $\Delta$ . For any point

$a$  not on  $\gamma$ .  $n(\gamma, a) f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)} dz$ . Where  $n(\gamma, a)$  is the index of  $a$  with respect to  $\gamma$ .

### Proof:



Let  $f(z)$  be analytic function in open disc  $\Delta$

Let  $\gamma$  be a closed curve  $\Delta$ .

Let  $a \in \Delta$  but  $a$  does not, lie on  $\gamma$

consider the function  $F(z) = \frac{f(z)-f(a)}{z-a}$

This function is analytic at all points except at  $z = a$ . does not pole whole

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z-a)F(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z-a) \frac{f(z)-f(a)}{z-a} \\ &= f(a) - f(a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z-a)F(z) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow z = a$  is an exceptional point of  $F(z)$

$\therefore$  By Cauchy theorem [Let  $F(z)$  be a function which is analytic inside and on a simple closed curve  $C$ . Then  $\int_C f(z)dz = 0$ .]

$$\therefore \int_{\gamma} F(z)dz = 0$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)-f(a)}{z-a} dz = 0.$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz - f(a) \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z-a} = 0$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz = f(a) 2\pi i n(\gamma, a)$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz = f(a) \cdot n(\gamma, a)$$

When  $n(\gamma, a) = 1$

$$\text{we get, } f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz$$



**Problem 3:**

compute  $\int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z^2+1}$

**Solution:**

By Cauchy's Integral Formula,

$$h(\gamma, a) f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$f(z) = 1, \Rightarrow z - a = z^2 + 1 = (z + i)(z - i)$$

$$= z^2 - i^2 = z^2 + 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{dz}{(z+i)(z-i)} = \int \frac{A}{z+i} dz + \int \frac{B}{z-i} dz$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(z+i)(z-i)} = \frac{A}{z+i} + \frac{B}{z-i}$$

$$\frac{1}{(z+i)(z-i)} = \frac{A(z-i) + B(z+i)}{(z+i)(z-i)}$$

$$1 = A(z-i) + B(z+i)$$

put  $z = i$

$$1 = 0 + 2Bi$$

$$\Rightarrow B = \frac{1}{2i}$$

$$\text{Put } z = -i \Rightarrow 1 = A(-2i) + 0 \Rightarrow 1 = -2Ai$$

$$\Rightarrow A = -1/2i.$$

$$\frac{1}{z^2 + 1} = -\frac{1}{2i} \frac{1}{(z+i)} + \frac{1}{2i} \int \frac{1}{(z-i)}$$



$$\therefore \int \frac{dz}{z^2+1} = \frac{-1}{2i} \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z+i} + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z-i} \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$f(z) = 1, \quad z+i = z - (-i) \\ \Rightarrow a = -i$$

$\therefore a = -i$  lies inside  $c: |z| = 2$

$$\therefore n(c, -i) = 1 \\ f(a) = f(-i) = 1$$

$\therefore$  By Cauchy Integral Formula,

$$= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{2i} \right) \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z - (-i)} \\ -4\pi i^2 = \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z - (-i)} \\ \Rightarrow 4\pi = \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z - (-i)} \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$a = i \Rightarrow -4\pi = \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z-i} \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Sub equation (3) (4) in (2).

$$\int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z^2 + 1} = 4\pi - 4\pi \\ \Rightarrow \int_{|z|=2} \frac{dz}{z^2 + 1} = 0.$$

**Problem 4:**

compute  $\int_{|z|=1} \frac{\cos z}{z(z-4)} dz$

**Solution:**

By Cauchy's Integral formula,



$$n(\gamma, a)f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(z)}{z-a} dz$$

$$f(z) = \cos z, z-a = z(z-4)$$

$$\frac{a}{2} = 0,4.$$

$$\frac{1}{(z-0)(z-4)} = \frac{A}{z-0} + \frac{B}{z-4}$$

$$\frac{1}{(z-0)(z-4)} = \frac{B(z-4) + A(z-0)}{(z-0)(z-4)}$$

put  $z = 4$

$$1 = 0 + 4B$$

$$\Rightarrow B = 1/4$$

$$z = 0$$

$$1 = 4A$$

$$\Rightarrow A = -1/4$$

$$n(c, 0)f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{|z|=1} -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\cos z}{z} dz + 1/4 \int \frac{\cos z}{z-4} dz \right] \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\Rightarrow n(c, 0) = 0$$

$$f(0) = \cos 0 = 1$$

$$(1) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} - \frac{1}{4} \int_{|z|=1} \frac{\cos z}{z} dz$$

$$-8\pi i = \int \frac{\cos z}{z} dz$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \Rightarrow \int \frac{\cos z}{(z-4)} dz = 8\pi i$$

from (3), (4) Sub in (2)



$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{\cos z}{z(z-1)} dz = 8\pi i - 8\pi i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int \frac{\cos z}{(z-4)} dz = 0.$$

**Problem 5:**

What is the value of  $\int_c \frac{dz}{z-a}$  if  $a$  lies outside  $c$ .

**Solution:**

Given  $a$  lies outside  $c$ .

$$\therefore n(c, a) = 0$$

We know that, By Cauchy Integral formula.

$$n(c, a)f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{df(z)}{z-a}$$

from given,  $f(z) = 1$ .

$$n(c, a) = 0$$

$$f(a) = 1$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{dz}{z-a}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_c \frac{dz}{z-a} = 0$$

**Problem 6:**

$$\int_{|z|=\rho} \frac{|dz|}{|z-a|^2}, |a| \neq \rho.$$

**Solution:**

given  $|z| = \rho$



$$|z|^2 = e^2 \Rightarrow z\bar{z} = \rho^2$$

$$\text{Let } z = \rho e^{i\theta}$$

$$dz = \rho e^{i\theta} \cdot i d\theta \Rightarrow d\theta = \frac{dz}{iz}$$

$$|dz| = |\rho e^{i\theta} i d\theta|$$

$$= |\rho| |e^{i\theta}| |i| |d\theta|$$

$$= z(1)(1)|d\theta|$$

$$\Rightarrow |dz| = \rho \frac{dz}{iz}$$

$$|dz| = -i\rho \frac{dz}{z}$$

$$|z - a|^2 = (z - a)(\bar{z} - \bar{a})$$

$$= (z - a)(\bar{z} - \bar{a})$$

$$|z - a|^2 = (z - a) \left( \frac{\rho^2}{z} - \bar{a} \right)$$

$$\therefore \int_{|z|=\rho} \frac{-i\rho \frac{dz}{z}}{(z - a) \left( \frac{\rho^2}{z} - \bar{a} \right)} = \int_{|z|=e} \frac{-i\rho dz}{z(z - a) \left( \frac{\rho^2 - \bar{a}z}{z} \right)}$$

$$\int_{|z|=\rho} \frac{-i\rho dz}{(z-a)(\rho^2-\bar{a}z)} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

We know that,

The Cauchy's Integral Formula,

$$n(\gamma, a)f(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - a)} dz$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\pi i n(\gamma, a)f(a) = \int_C \frac{f(z)}{z - a} dz \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

From (1),

$$\text{Let, } f(z) = \frac{-ie}{\rho^2 - \bar{a}z}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(a) = \frac{-ie}{\rho^2 - \bar{a} \cdot a} = \frac{-ie}{\rho^2 - a^2}$$

$n(c: |z| = \rho, a) = 1$ . Sub in (2),



$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\pi\rho}{\rho^2 - a^2} = \int_{|z|=\rho} \frac{|dz|}{|z - a|^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{|z|=\rho} \frac{|dz|}{|z - a|^2} = \frac{2\pi\rho}{\rho^2 - a^2}$$

**Problem 7:**

$$\int_{|z|=1} |z - 1| |dz|$$

**Solution:**

given  $c: |z| = 1$       $|z| = \gamma$   
     $z = re^{i\theta}$ .

$$z = (1)e^{i\theta}$$

$$dz = e^{i\theta} \cdot i d\theta$$

$$|dz| = |e^{i\theta}| |i| |d\theta| = |1| |1| |d\theta|$$

$$|e^{i\theta}| = |\cos \theta + i \sin \theta| \Rightarrow |dz| = |d\theta|$$

$$= \sqrt{\cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta} \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

$$= 1$$

$$|i| = \sqrt{0 + 1} = \sqrt{i} = 1$$

$$(z - 1)^2 = (z - 1)(\overline{z - 1})$$

$$= (z - 1)(z - 1)$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} - 1)(e^{-i\theta} - 1)$$

$$= (e^{i\theta} e^{-i\theta} - e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta} + 1)$$

$$= (1 - \cos \theta - i \sin \theta - \cos \theta + i \sin \theta + 1)$$

$$= (2 - 2 \cos \theta)$$

$$= 2(1 - \cos \theta) = 2[2 \sin^2 \theta / 2]$$





$$\begin{aligned}
 |z - 1|^2 &= 4\sin^2 \theta/2 \\
 \Rightarrow |z - 1| &= 2\sin \theta/2 \\
 \int_{|z|=1} |z - 1| |dz| &= 2 \int_0^{2\pi} \sin \theta/2 d\theta \\
 &= 2 \left[ \frac{-\cos \theta/2}{1/2} \right]_0^{2\pi} \\
 &= -4 [\cos \theta/2]_0^{2\pi} \\
 &= -4 [\cos \pi - \cos 0] \\
 &= -4 [-1 - 1] \\
 &= -4 [-2] = 8
 \end{aligned}$$

### 2.3. Higher derivatives:

#### Theorem: 1 (Cauchy's representation formula for the derivative)

An analytic function  $f(z)$  in a region has derivatives of all orders which are also analytic in the same region  $\Omega$ .

#### Proof:

We know that, Cauchy's Integral formula,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Choose,  $|\Delta z|$  is small.

$$\text{Such that } f'(z) = \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z}$$

$z + \Delta z$  lies between  $v$ .

$$\text{Now, } f(z + \Delta z) - f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - (z + \Delta z)} d\zeta$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z - \Delta z} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{1}{\zeta - z - \Delta z} - \frac{1}{\zeta - z} \right] d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{\zeta - z - z + z + \Delta z}{(\zeta - z - \Delta z)(\zeta - z)} \right] d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{\Delta z}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} \right] d\zeta
 \end{aligned}$$

( $\div$ ) $\Delta z$  on both sides,

$$\therefore \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} d\zeta$$

Sub,  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta$  on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)^2} \right] d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{\zeta - z - \zeta + z + \Delta z}{(\zeta - z)^2(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} \right] d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{\Delta z}{(\zeta - z)^2(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} \right] d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{\Delta z}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} d\zeta
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking modules on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \left| \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \right| \\
 = \left| \frac{\Delta z}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2(\zeta - z - \Delta z)} d\zeta \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{|\Delta z|}{2\pi} \int \frac{|f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2 |\zeta - z - \Delta z|} d\zeta \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$



Let  $M = \max$  of  $f(z)$

Let  $\delta =$  the mean distance of points  $\zeta$ , on  $\gamma$  from  $z$

Since  $f(z)$  is an analytic on  $\gamma$ .

It should be continuous on  $\gamma$ .

$$\Rightarrow |f(\zeta)| \leq M \text{ on } \gamma \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$|\zeta - z| > \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|^2} \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

$$\geq |\zeta - z - \Delta z| = |(\zeta - z) - \Delta z|$$

$$\geq |(\zeta - z)| - |\Delta z| \geq \delta - |\Delta z|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{|\zeta - z - \Delta z|} \leq \frac{1}{\delta - |\Delta z|} \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

$$\text{We know that, } \int_{\gamma} |dr| = l = \text{length of a curve } \gamma \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

Sub (3), (4), (5) & (6) in (2).

$$\therefore \left| \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \right| \leq \frac{|\Delta z|}{2\pi} \frac{ml}{\delta^2(\delta - |\Delta z|)}$$

$\rightarrow 0$  as  $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$

$$\therefore \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta \right] \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \Delta z \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{(i.e.,)} f'(z) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^2} = 0$$

1<sup>st</sup> derivative

$$\Rightarrow f'(z) = \frac{1!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^2}$$



$$f''(z) = \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^3}$$

.....

$$f^n(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}}$$

**Evaluate 1:**

$$\int_{|z-1|=2} \frac{\sin z}{(z-1)^2} dz.$$

**Solution:**

We know that,  $f^n(a) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \cdot \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)dz}{(z-a)^{n+1}}$  .....(1)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2\pi i}{n!} f^n(a) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)dz}{(z-a)^{n+1}}$$

$$n + 1 = 2 \Rightarrow n = 1$$

$$C: |z - 1| = 2 \quad (\because c: |z - a| = r)$$

$$a = 1$$

$$f(z) = \sin z$$

$$f'(z) = \cos z$$

$$f'(1) = \cos 1 = f'(a)$$

$$\therefore 0 \Rightarrow \frac{f'(a)2\pi i}{1!} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sin z dz}{(z-1)^2}$$

$$= \frac{(\cos 1)(2\pi i)}{1!} = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sin z dz}{(z-1)^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\gamma} \frac{\sin z dz}{(z-1)^2} = 2\pi i(\cos 1)$$



**Lemma 1:**

Suppose that  $\phi(\zeta)$  is continuous on an arc  $\gamma$ . Then the function  $F_n(z) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)^n}$  is analytic  $F_n(z)$ .

In each of the region determined by  $\gamma$  and the its derivatives is  $F'_n(z) = nF_{n+1}(z)$ .

**Proof:**

To prove that  $F(z)$  is continuous

Let  $z_0$  be a point not on  $\gamma$ . and Choose neighbourhood  $|z - z_0| < \delta$ .

So that it does not meet  $\gamma$ .

By restricting  $z$  to the smaller neighborhood  $|z - z_0| < \delta/2$ .

$\therefore$  we find that  $|\zeta - z| > \delta/2 \forall \zeta \in \gamma$

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(z) - F_1(z_0) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)} - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)} \\
 \text{Now,} \qquad \qquad &= \int_{\gamma} \phi(\zeta) \left[ \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)} - \frac{1}{(\zeta - z_0)} \right] ds \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \phi(\zeta) \left[ \frac{\zeta - z_0 - \zeta + z}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z_0)} \right] ds
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking modulus on both sides,

$$|F_1(z) - F_1(z_0)| = \left| \int_{\gamma} \phi(\zeta) \left[ \frac{z - z_0}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z_0)} \right] d\zeta \right|$$

$$|F_1(z) - F_1(z_0)| \leq |z - z_0| \int_{\gamma} \frac{|\phi(\zeta)||d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z||\zeta - z_0|} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

given  $\phi(\zeta)$  is continuous  $\Rightarrow |\phi(\zeta)| \leq M \dots\dots (2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\& |\zeta - z| = \frac{\delta}{2} \\
 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} &< \frac{2}{\delta} \dots\dots\dots (3)
 \end{aligned}$$



and Let  $\int_{\gamma} |d\zeta| = l \dots\dots\dots(4)$

$l =$  length of the arc  $\gamma$ .

Already we know that,

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta - z_0| &> \delta \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{|\zeta - z_0|} &< \frac{1}{\delta} \dots\dots\dots(5) \end{aligned}$$

Sub (2)(3)(4) & (5) in (1).

$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$

$$|F_1(z) - F_1(z_0)| \leq 2|z - z_0| \frac{ml}{\delta^2} < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{Take, } \eta = \frac{\varepsilon \delta^2}{2ml}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\eta 2ml}{\delta^2} = \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |F_1(z) - F_1(z_0)| < \varepsilon$$

$\Rightarrow F_1(z)$  is continuous of  $z_0$ .

From (1) we get,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z) - f(0)}{z - 0} = f'(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F_1(z) - F_1(z_0)}{z - z_0} &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z_0)} \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{F_1(z) - F_1(z_0)}{z - z_0} &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\tau}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z_0)} \\ \Rightarrow F_1'(z_0) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)(\zeta - z_0)} \\ &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^2} \\ F_1'(z_0) &= F_2(z_0) \end{aligned}$$



The General case by induction on  $n$ . we have already proved for  $n = 1$ .

We shall assume that the result is true for  $n = (n - 1)$ .

(i.e.,) We assume that  $F'_{n-1}(z) \stackrel{(n-1)}{=} F_{n-1}(z)$

To prove that:  $(2) \cdot F'_n(z) = nF_{n+1}(z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_n(z) - F_n(z_0) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n} - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^n} \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n-1}(\zeta - z_0)} \cdot \frac{(\zeta - z_0)}{(\zeta - z)} d\zeta = - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)dz}{(\zeta - z_0)^n} \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)^{n-1}(\zeta - z_0)} \left\{ \frac{(\zeta - z) + (z - z_0)}{(\zeta - z)} \right\} d\zeta - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^n} \\
 F_n(z) - F_n(z_0) &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z)\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} + \int_{\gamma} \frac{(z - z_0)\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^n} \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z)\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^n} + \int_{\gamma} \frac{(z - z_0)\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{[(\zeta - z)(\zeta - z_0)^{n-1} - (\zeta - z)^n]}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)^n} \phi(\zeta)d\zeta + (z - z_0) \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z)[(\zeta - z_0)^{n-1} - (\zeta - z)^{n-1}]}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)^n} \phi(\zeta)d\zeta + (z - z_0) \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} \\
 &= \int_{\gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z_0)^{n-1} - (\zeta - z)^{n-1}}{(\zeta - z)^{n-1}(\zeta - z_0)^n} \phi(\zeta)d\zeta + (z - z_0) \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)} \\
 &[a^3 - b^3 = (a - b)(a^2 + ab + b^2) \\
 &(\zeta - z_0)^{n-1} - (\zeta - z)^{n-1} = (\zeta - z_0)(\zeta - z)[(\zeta - z_0)^{n-2} + (\zeta - z_0)^{n-3}(\zeta - z) \\
 &+ (\zeta - z_0)^{n-1} + (\zeta - z)^2 \dots (\zeta - z)^{n-2}] \\
 &= \frac{\int_{\gamma} (\zeta - z_0)[(\zeta - z_0)^{n-2} + (\zeta - z_0)^{n-3}(\zeta - z) + (\zeta - z_0)^{n-1}(\zeta - z)^2 \dots (\zeta - z)^{n-2}] \phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{n-1}(\zeta - z_0)^n} + (z - z_0) \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n(\zeta - z_0)}
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\frac{F_n(z) - F_n(z_0)}{z - z_0} = \frac{\int_{\gamma} [(\zeta - z_0)^{n-2} + (\zeta - z_0)^{n-3}(\zeta - z) + \dots + (\zeta - z)^{n-2}] \phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{n-1} (\zeta - z_0)^n} + \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^n (\zeta - z_0)}$$

getting  $\lim z \rightarrow z_0$ . Both side we get,

$$F'_n(z_0) = \int_{\gamma} \frac{(\zeta - z_0)^{n-2} + (\zeta - z_0)^{n-2} + \dots + (n-1) \text{ time } \phi \zeta d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^{2n-1}} + \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\gamma} \frac{(n-1) (\zeta - z_0)^{n-2}}{(\zeta - z_0)^{2n-1}} \phi(\zeta) d\zeta + \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}} \\ &= (n-1) \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^{n+1}} + \int_{\gamma} \frac{\phi(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z_0)^{n+1}} [\because z_0 = z] \\ &= (n-1) F_{n+1}(z_0) + F_{n+1}(z_0) \\ &\Rightarrow F'_n(z) = (n-1+1) F_{n+1}(z). \\ &F'_n(z) = n F_{n+1}(z) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2: (Morera's Theorem (or) Converse part of Cauchy's Theorem)**

If  $f(z)$  is defined and cts in region  $\Omega$ . and if  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0, \forall$  closed curves  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$ . Then  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  analytic in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

Let us closed curve  $\gamma$  in the region  $\Omega$ .

By hypothesis

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \int_{AMB}^{AMBNA} f(z) dz + \int_{ANA} f(z) dz &= 0 \\ \int_{AMB} f(z) dz &= - \int_{BNA} f(z) dz \\ &= \int_{ANB} f(z) dz \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  The value of the integral  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$  independent of path joining  $A$  to  $B$

Let  $z_0$  represent  $A$  and  $z$  represent Let us choose the straight line segment joining  $A$  to  $B$ .





∴ we write.  $\int_{z_0}^z f(z)dz = F(z) \dots\dots\dots (1)$

Now,  $F(z + \Delta z) = \int_{z_0}^{z+\Delta z} f(z)dz \dots\dots\dots(2)$

Such that.

$z + \Delta z$  lies in side the region  $\Omega$ .

$$(2) - (1) \Rightarrow F(z + \Delta z) - F(z) = \int_{z_0}^{z+\Delta z} f(z)dz - \int_{z_0}^z f(z)dz$$

$$\begin{aligned} F(z + \Delta z) - F(z) &= \int_{z_0}^{z+\Delta z} f(z)dz + \int_z^{z_0} f(z)dz \\ &= \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(\zeta)d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

∴ (2) - (1) ⇒

∴  $\Delta Z$  on both side

$$\frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} = \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(\zeta)d\zeta$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} - f(z) &= \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(\zeta)d\zeta - f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(\zeta)d\zeta - \frac{\Delta z}{\Delta z} f(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(\zeta)d\zeta - \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} f(z)d\zeta \\ &= \int_z^{z+\Delta z} \left[ \frac{f(\zeta) - f(z)}{\Delta z} \right] d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

Taking modulus on both sides,



$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} - f(z) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} [f(\zeta) - f(z)] d\zeta \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} |f(\zeta) - f(z)| d\zeta \quad \because |z - \zeta| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(z) - f(\zeta)| < \varepsilon \\
 &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta z} \int_z^{z+\Delta z} d\zeta \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta z} [\zeta]_z^{z+\Delta z} \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta z} [z + \Delta z - z] \\
 &< \frac{\varepsilon}{\Delta z} (\Delta z) \\
 &< \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} - f(z) \right| < \varepsilon$$

$$\text{Let } \Delta z \rightarrow 0 \quad \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(z + \Delta z) - F(z)}{\Delta z} - \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} f(z) \leq \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow F'(z) - f(z) = 0 \text{ as } \Delta z \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow F'(z) = f(z)$$

$F(z)$  is analytic

$$\Rightarrow F''(z) = f'(z)$$

$\Rightarrow f(z)$  is analytic

### Theorem 2:

Cauchy's inequality (or) Cauchy's Estimate Theorem

If  $f(z)$  is analytic within and on a circle  $C$  given by  $|z - a| = r$ , lies inside  $\Delta$  and if  $|f(z)| \leq M$  for any  $z$  on  $C$ . Then  $|f^n(a)| \leq \frac{n! M}{r^n}$ .

### Proof:

We know that Cauchy's higher derivative,



$$f^n(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{n+1}}$$

$\therefore$  Put  $z = a$  &  $y = z$ ,

$$\Rightarrow f^n(a) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_z \frac{f(z) dz}{(z - a)^{n+1}}$$

$$\Rightarrow |f^n(a)| = \left| \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(z) dz}{(z - a)^{n+1}} \right|, \quad \because |i| = 1$$

$$\leq \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{|f(z)| dz}{|z - a|^{n+1}}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{2\pi} \frac{m}{r^{n+1}} \left[ \int_c dz \right]$$

[ $\because$  given  $|f(z)| \leq m$

$$= \frac{n!}{2\pi} \frac{m}{r^{n+1}} [2\pi r]$$

$$= \frac{n! \cdot m \cdot r}{r^{n+1}}$$

$$= \frac{n! m}{r^n}$$

$$\therefore |f^n(a)| < \frac{n! m}{r^n}$$

### Entire Function:

A function which is analytic in every finite region of the complex plane is called an Entire function.

Example :-  $f(z) = e^z$ .

### Theorem: 3 (Liouville's Theorem)

A function which is analytic and bounded in the whole plane must reduce to a constant (or)

If a function  $f(z)$  is analytic for all finite value of  $z$  and is bounded then  $f(z)$  is a constant.

### Proof:

Let  $a$  be any point of the plane.



Let  $c$  be the circle with Centre at  $a$  and radius  $r$ .

We know that,

$$\text{Cauchy's Integral formula, } f'(a) = \frac{1!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2} dz \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

Given,  $f(z)$  is bounded  $fz$ .

(i.e.,)  $|f(z)| \leq M, \forall z$ . Taking modulus for equation (1) on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore |f'(a)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^2} dz \right| \\ &< \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{|f(z)|}{|z-a|^2} |dz| \\ &< \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{M}{|z-a|^2} dz \\ &= \frac{m}{2\pi r^2} \int_{\gamma} dz = \frac{m}{2\pi r^2} (2\pi r) \\ \Rightarrow |f'(a)| &< \frac{m}{r} \dots\dots\dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

This is true for any circle with radius  $r$ .

We know that, the complex plane is a circle with infinite radius.

Hence we can take  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty}$  on both sides,

$$(2) \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} |f'(a)| < \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M}{r} \rightarrow 0.$$

(i.e.,)  $f'(a) = 0. \forall$  point in the  $z$ -plane

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f'(z) &= 0. \\ \Rightarrow f(z) &= \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary: 1 (Fundamental Theorem of Algebra)**

If  $P(z)$  is polynomial of degree  $n, n \geq 1$ . With real and complex coefficient. Then the equation  $p(z) = 0$  as at least one root. (or)

Every polynomial in  $z$  of degree  $n \geq 0$ . must have at least one zero.



### **Proof:**

Let  $f(z)$  be a non constant polynomial.

(i.e.,) Let  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_nz^n$  where  $a_n \neq 0$ .

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is non zero (or) never zero in the whole plane then we can say that  $f(z)$  is analytic in the whole complex plane and  $\therefore \frac{1}{f(z)}$ .

Since  $f(z) \neq 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore |f(z)| &= |a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_nz^n| \\ &= |a_nz^n| \left| 1 + \frac{a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}z^{n-1}}{a_nz^n} \right| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty \\ \frac{1}{|f(z)|} &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \frac{1}{|f(z)|}$  is bounded in the whole plane. (ie)  $\frac{1}{f(z)}$  is analytic and bounded in the whole complex plane.  $\therefore$  Liouville's theorem,  $\frac{1}{f(z)}$  is constant.

$\Rightarrow f(z)$  is constant. Which is a contradiction

$\therefore$  our assumption that  $f(z) \neq 0$  is wrong.  $\therefore f(z)$  has at least one zero.

## **2.4. Local Properties of Analytic Function:**

### **Definition: Singularities**

A point  $z = a$  is said to be a singularity of the function  $f(z)$  if  $f(z)$  is not analytic at

$z = a$ .

### **Types of singularities:**

1. Removable Singularity



2. Isolated Singularity
3. Poles singularity
4. Essential singularity

### 1. Removable singularity

Let  $f(z)$  be an analytic function in a region except at  $z = a$  and if

$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$ . Then  $z = a$  is said to be Removable singularity of  $f(z)$ .

#### Theorem 1:

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic in a region  $\Omega'$  obtain by omitting a point  $a$  from the region  $\Omega$ . (i.e.,)  $\Omega' = \Omega - \{a\}$ . A necessary and sufficient condition that their exist for analytic function  $\Omega$ . which co-inside with  $f(z)$  in  $\Omega$  is that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$  (or)

Necessary & Sufficient condition that their exist a unique analytic function  $F(z)$  in  $\Omega$ . That  $f(z)$  in  $\Omega$  is that  $z = a$  is removable singularity and of  $f(z)$ .

(i.e.,)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$ .

There exist unique analytic function  $F(z)$  in  $\Omega$  Such that  $F(z) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega'$ . iff  $z = a$  is a removable singularity of  $f(z)$ .

#### Proof:

##### Necessary part:

Given there exist an analytic function in  $\Omega$  and which same as  $f(z)$  in  $\Omega'$ .

To prove that :  $z = a$  is a removable singularity of  $f(z)$ .

(i.e.,) To prove that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$ .

Given  $F(z)$  is a analytic in  $\Omega$  and  $F(z)$  is also analytic at  $a$ .



$\Rightarrow F(z)$  is continuous at  $z = a$ .

(i.e.,)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} F(z) = F(a)$ .

(i.e.,) Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There exist  $\delta > 0$ .

Such that,  $|F(z) - F(a)| < \varepsilon$

Whenever  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$ .

Now,  $z \neq a$

$\Rightarrow |f(z) - F(a)| < \varepsilon \dots\dots\dots (1)$

Whenever  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$ . and this  $z \in \Omega'$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (i.e.) \lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = F(a) \\ \therefore \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) &= 0, f(a) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore z = a$  is the removable singularity of  $f(z)$ .

**Sufficient part:**

Given  $z = a$  is a removable

Singularity of  $f(z)$

$\Rightarrow z = a$  is singularity and exceptional point of  $f(z)$  with

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$$

To Prove that: there exist a unique analytic function  $F(z)$  in  $\Omega$ .

(i.e.,) To show that  $F(z) = f(z) \forall z \in \Omega'$

It is sufficient to prove that  $F(z)$  is analytic at  $z = a$



Let  $c$  be a circle about  $a$ .

Now,  $f'(z)$  is analytic on  $\Omega'$  and

$c$  be a circle in  $\Omega$ .

$$\therefore n(c, z) = 1 \quad \forall z \neq a.$$

Thus a condition of Cauchy formula Satisfied.

$$\therefore f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}, \quad \forall z \neq a \text{ in ' } c \text{ ' \& analytic in } \Omega'. \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$\Rightarrow f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega'$  and  $z \neq a$  in  $c$

$\therefore F(z)$  is also analytic in  $c$ . where  $c \in \Omega'$ .

$\therefore f(z)$  is continuous an  $c$ .

$\therefore \int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}$  is analytic in any region determind by  $c$ .

$\therefore \Rightarrow \int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}$  is analytic in every point does not lie in  $C$ .

In particularly,  $\int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}$  is analytic on  $\Omega$  for  $z = a$ .

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}$$
 is analytic in  $\Omega$  for  $z = a$ . ..... (3)

From (2) & (3).

Let us define in New function  $F \rightarrow$ .

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}, & \forall z \neq a \text{ in analytic in } \Omega' \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)}, & \forall z = a \text{ in analytic in } \Omega' \end{cases}$$

$\therefore F(z) = f(z) \quad \forall z \in \Omega'$ . where  $z \neq a$





(i.e.)  $F(z) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega'$ .

To prove that the unique of  $F(z)$

Let  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  be two function satisfying the condition of theorem.

$\therefore F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  are analytic

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F(z) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega' \\
 & \& G(z) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega' \\
 & \Rightarrow F(z) - f(z) = 0 \\
 & \& G(z) - f(z) = 0, \forall z \in \Omega' \\
 & \Rightarrow F(z) - f(z) = G(z) - f(z), \forall z \in \Omega' \\
 & \Rightarrow F(z) = G(z), \forall z \in \Omega'
 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 1:**

Prove that  $Z = a$  is a removable singularity of  $f(z) = \frac{\sin(z-a)}{z-a}$

**Proof:**

$$f(z) = \frac{\sin(z-a)}{z-a} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

put  $z = a$  in (1)

$$(1) \Rightarrow f(z) = \frac{\sin 0}{0} = \infty$$

$\Rightarrow f(z)$  is not analytic at  $z = a$ .

$\Rightarrow z = a$  is a singularity of  $f(z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a) \frac{\sin(z - a)}{(z - a)} \\
 &= \sin 0 = 0. \\
 \Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$



$\therefore z = a$  in a Removable singularity of  $fz$  )

**Problem 2:**

Prove that:-  $z = 0$  is a Removable Singularity of  $f(z) = \frac{z - \sin z}{z^3}$ .

**Proof:**

$$f(z) = \frac{z - \sin z}{z^3} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Put  $z = 0$  in (1)

$$(1) \Rightarrow f(0) = \frac{0-0}{0} = \infty$$

$\Rightarrow f(z)$  is not analytic at  $z = 0$

$\Rightarrow z = 0$  is singularity for  $f(z)$  .....(2)

$$\therefore \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (z - a) \frac{z - \sin z}{z^3}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z - \sin z}{z^2} = \infty$$

$$f(z) = \frac{z - \sin z}{z^3} = \frac{1}{z^3} \left[ z - \left[ z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots \right] \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^3} \left[ z - z + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z^3} \left[ \frac{z^3}{3!} - \frac{z^5}{5!} \right]$$

$$= \frac{z^3}{z^3} \left[ \frac{1}{3!} - \frac{z^5}{5!} \right]$$



$$= \frac{1}{3!} - \frac{z^5}{5!}$$

Put  $z = 0$ ,

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{3!} = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$\therefore f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z - \sin z}{z^3} & \text{if } z \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\therefore$  The singularity  $z = 0$  of the function  $f(z)$  is removed .....(3)

From (2) & (3)

$z = 0$  is Removable singularity for  $f(z)$

**Problem 3:**

Prove that  $Z = 0$  is Removable Singularity of  $f(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z}$

**Proof:**

$$f(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z}$$

put  $z = 0$  in (1)

$$(1) \Rightarrow f(z) = \frac{1 - 1}{0}$$

$$= \frac{0}{0}$$

$$f(z) = \infty$$

$\Rightarrow f(z)$  is not analytic at  $z = 0$

$\Rightarrow z = 0$  is singularity for  $f(z)$ .

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (z - 0) \frac{e^z - 1}{z}$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) = 0$$



$\therefore z = a$  is a R Removable singularity of  $f(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{e^z - 1}{z} = \frac{1}{z} \left[ 1 + \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots \right] - 1 \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left[ \frac{z}{1!} + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots \right] \\ &= \frac{2}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{z}{2!} \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{z}{2!} \end{aligned}$$

Put  $z = 0 \Rightarrow f(0) = 1$ ,

$z = 0$  is Removable singularity for  $f(z)$

### Theorem 2:

Taylor's Theorems for all analytic function

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in region  $\Omega$  containing  $a$ . Then it's possible to write  $f(z)$  in term of powers of  $z - a$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f(z) &= f(a) + \frac{f'(a)}{1!} (z - a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2!} (z - a)^2 + \dots \dots \dots \\ &\dots \dots + \frac{f^{n-1}(a)}{(n-1)!} (z - a)^{n-1} + f_n(z)(z - a)^n. \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_n(z)$  is analytic in region  $\Omega$ .

### Proof:

Given,  $f(z)$  is analytic in region  $\Omega$  containing  $a$ .

Now consider the function  $F(z) = \frac{f(z)-f(a)}{z-a}$  which is analytic in  $\Omega$ . exceptat  $z = a$ .

$\Rightarrow F(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ .

$$\Omega' = \Omega - \{a\}$$



(i.e.)  $z = a$  is singularity of  $F(z)$  .....(1)

(i.e.)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} F(z) = f'(a)$

From the above we choose

$$F(z) = f'(a) \text{ for } z = a$$

$$\therefore \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)F(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a) \frac{f(z) - f(a)}{z - a}$$

$$= f(a) - f(a)$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)F(z) = 0 \text{ ..... (3)}$$

From (1) & (3)  $z = a$  is Removable

Singularity for the function  $F(z)$

Let  $F(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega'$

$$\Omega' = \Omega - \{a\}$$

and  $a$  is Removable singularity of  $F(z)$

We know that, (above theorem).  $F(z)$  is analytic in a region  $\Omega$ .

The Necessary & sufficient condition that there exist unique analytic function  $F(z)$  in  $\Omega$

Such that  $F(z) = f(z), \forall z \in \Omega'$  iff  $z = a$  is removable singularity of  $f(z)$  .....(4)

$\therefore$  there exist unique analytic function  $f_1(z)$  in  $\Omega$ . and  $F(z) = f_1(z), \forall z \in \Omega'$

$$\therefore \text{Consider the function, } f_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)-f(a)}{z-a}, & z \neq a \\ f_1'(a), & z = a \end{cases} \text{ .....(5)}$$

(i.e.)  $\frac{f(z)-f(a)}{z-a}$  is an analytic in a region  $\Omega$  excepted at  $z = a$  [(ie)  $z \neq a$ ]

But  $f_1(z) = f_1'(a)$  at  $z = a$ . Since  $f_1'(a)$  is constant.



$\therefore f_1$  is analytic at  $z = a$ .

$\Rightarrow f_1$  is analytic in a region  $\Omega$ . from (5).

$$f_1(z)(z - a) = f(z) - f(a)$$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) - f(a) + f_1(z)(z - a)$$

$$f_1(z) = f_1(a) + (z - a)f_2(z)$$

$$\text{where, } f_2(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f_1(z) - f_1(a)}{z - a}, & z \neq a \\ f_2'(a), & z = a \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$f_2(z) = f_2(a) + (z - a)f_3(z)$$

... ..

$$f_{n-1}(z) = f_{n-1}(a) + (z - a)f_n(z)$$

$$f_n(z) = f_n(a) + (z - a)f_{n+1}(z)$$

$$f(z) = f(a) + (z - a)f_1(z)$$

$$= f(a) + (z - a)[f_1(a) + (z - a)f_2(z)]$$

$$= f(a) + f_1(a)(z - a) + (z - a)^2 f_2(z)$$

$$= f(a) + f_1(a)(z - a) + (z - a)^2 [f_2(a) + (z - a)f_3(z)]$$

$$= f(a) + f_1(a)(z - a) + (z - a)^2 f_2(a) + (z - a)^3 f_3(z)$$

Continuing like this we get,

$$f(z) = f(a) + (z - a)f_1(a) + (z - a)^2 f_2(a) + \dots + (z - a)^n f_n(z) \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

Diff (6) with respect to 'z' n times.

$$\therefore f^n(z) = 0 + 0 + \dots + n! f_n(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow f^n(z) = n! f_n(z)$$

$$\text{put } n = 1, f_1(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{1!}$$

$$\text{put } n = 2, f_2(z) = \frac{f''(z)}{2!} \text{ put } z = a,$$

$$\text{put } n = n, f_n(z) = \frac{f^n(z)}{n!}$$



we get,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_1(a) &= \frac{f'(a)}{1!} \\ f_2(a) &= \frac{f''(a)}{2!} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ f_n(a) &= \frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Sub in (6)}$$

$$(6) \quad f(z) = f(a) + (z-a) \frac{f'(a)}{1!} + (z-a)^2 \frac{f''(a)}{2!} + \dots \dots \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)(z-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + (z-a)^n f_n(z)$$

**Corollary 1: (Representation of Remainder form)**

Express  $f_n(z)$  as a line integral.

**Proof:**

Let  $c$  be a circle with center at  $a$  contain in a region  $\Omega$ .

Since  $f_n(z)$  is analytic through out  $c$ .

$\therefore$  We can use Cauchy's integral formula.

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f_n(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)} \quad \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

By the Taylor's Theorem, we can write,  $f(z)$  as.

$$f(z) = f(a) + (z-a) \frac{f'(a)}{1!} + (z-a)^2 \frac{f''(a)}{2!} + \dots \dots \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)(z-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + (z-a)^n f_n(z).$$

$$\Rightarrow f_n(z) = \frac{\left\{ f(z) - f(a) - (z-a) \frac{f'(a)}{1!} - (z-a)^2 \frac{f''(a)}{2!} \dots \dots \dots (z-a)^{n-1} \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)!} \right\}}{(z-a)^n}$$

$$\text{put } z = \zeta, f_n(x) = \frac{\left\{ f(\zeta) - f(a) - (\zeta-a) \frac{f'(a)}{1!} - (\zeta-a)^2 \frac{f''(a)}{2!} \dots \dots \dots (\zeta-a)^{n-1} \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)!} \right\}}{(\zeta-a)^n} \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$



Sub (2) in (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)} \left[ \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - a)^n} - \frac{f(a)}{(\zeta - a)^n} - \frac{(\zeta - a)f'(a)}{(\zeta - a)^{n-1} 1!} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{(\zeta - a)^2 f''(a)}{(\zeta - a)^{n-2} 2!} \dots - \frac{(\zeta - a)^{n-1} f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)! (\zeta - a)^1} \right] d\zeta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)} \int \left[ \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - a)^n} - \frac{f(a)}{(\zeta - a)^n} - \frac{f'(a)}{(\zeta - a)^{n-1}} - \frac{f''(a)}{(\zeta - a)^{n-2} 2!} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \dots - \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)! (\zeta - a)} \right] d\zeta \\
 f_n(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)^n} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(a) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)^n} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(a) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)^{n-1}} \dots \dots \\
 &\dots \dots \dots - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)(n-1)!} d\zeta \dots \dots \dots (3) \\
 f_n(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)^n} - F_n(a) - F_{n-1}(a) \dots \dots \dots F_1(a) \dots \dots \dots (A)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $\frac{1}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)} = \frac{A}{(\zeta - z)} + \frac{B}{(\zeta - a)} \dots \dots \dots (4)$

$1 = A(\zeta - a) + B(\zeta - z)$

Put  $\zeta = a$ ,

$1 = A(0) + B(a - z)$   
 $\Rightarrow B = \frac{1}{a - z}$

put  $\zeta = z$

$1 = A(z - a) + B(0)$   
 $\Rightarrow A = \frac{1}{(z - a)}, B = \frac{1}{a - z} \dots \dots \dots$  sub in (4)  
 $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)} = \frac{1}{(z - a)(\zeta - z)} + \frac{1}{(a - z)(\zeta - a)}$   
 $= \frac{1}{(z - a)(\zeta - z)} - \frac{1}{(z - a)(\zeta - a)}$





$$\frac{1}{(\zeta-z)(\zeta-a)} = \frac{1}{(z-a)} \left[ \frac{1}{(\zeta-z)} - \frac{1}{(\zeta-a)} \right] \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } F_1(a) &= \int_C \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)(\zeta-a)} = \frac{1}{(z-a)} \left[ \int_C \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)} - \int_C \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-a)} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(z-a)} [2\pi i - 2\pi i] \\ \therefore F_1(a) &= \int_C \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)(\zeta-a)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$F_1(a) = 0 \Rightarrow F_1'(a) = 0$   
*We know that ,  $F_n(a) = nF_{n+1}(a)$*

put  $n = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(a) &= 1F_2(a) \\ 0 &= F_2(a) \Rightarrow F_2'(a) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Put  $n = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_2(a) &= 2F_3(a) \\ 0 &= 2F_3(a) \Rightarrow F_3(a) = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow F_3'(a) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Sub all in (A)

$$\therefore (A) \Rightarrow f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)(\zeta-a)^n} = 0 \dots - 0$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f(s)ds}{(s-z)(s-a)^n}$$

## 2.5. Zeros and Poles:

**Definition:** The zeros of an analytic function:

If a function  $f(z) = (z - a)^k f_k(z)$  where  $k$  is positive integer. and  $f_k(a) \neq 0$ .

Then  $a$  is said to be zeroes of order (or) multiplies of  $k$ .



**Note:**

1. If  $F(z)$  is analytic in the neighbourhood of a point  $z = a$ .

$$\text{then } f(z) = \sum_0^{\infty} a_n(z - a)^n. \text{ where } a_n = \frac{f^n}{n!}$$

$$\therefore f(z) = a_0 + (z - a)a_1 + (z - a)^2a_2 + \dots$$

2. If  $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{m-1} = 0, a_m \neq 0$ .

Then  $f(z)$  is said to have a zero of order  $m$  at  $z = a$ .

3. The zero is said to be simple if  $m = 1$ .

**Zeros Definition:**

The zero of an analytic function  $f(z)$  is value of  $z$  for which  $f(z) = 0$ .

**Definition: Isolated singularity:**

If  $z = a$  is a singular point of a function  $f(z)$  and if there exist a neighbourhood of  $z = a$  containing no other singular point of a  $f(z)$ . Then  $z = a$  is said to be an isolated singularity point of  $f(z)$ .

if however  $f(z)$  has infinite of singular point in every neighbourhood of  $z = a$ . Then  $z = a$  is non-isolated singular point. But it is a limit point of the set of singular point of  $f(z)$ .

**Definition: Poles**

If  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty$  then  $z = a$  is pole of  $f(z)$

Poles of an analytic function

An isolated singularity  $a$  of  $f(z)$  is said to be poles of order  $k$  if  $f(z) = (z - a)^{-k} f_k(a)$  where  $f_k(a) \neq 0$ . and  $f(z)$  is analytic.



**Note:**

1. An isolated singularity  $a$  of  $f(z)$  is called a poles of  $f(z)$ . If  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z) = \infty$  (i.e.,)  $f(a) = \infty$ .
2. The limit point of zeros of an analytic function is a singular point of the function.
3. If there are  $n$  time terms in principle part of  $f(z)$  then pole is of order  $n$ .
4. pole of order 1 is called simple pole.
5. If  $z = a$  is a pole of  $f(z)$  then  $z = a$  is zero of  $\frac{1}{f(z)}$  also the function  $g(z) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$ , as a removable singularity at  $z = a$  :
6. Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic function. and  $g(z) = f\left(\frac{1}{z}\right)$ 
  - (a)  $g(z)$  as a zero at  $z = 0$ . Then  $f(z)$  is a said to have a zero at  $z = \infty$ .
  - (b) If  $g(z)$  is, has pole at  $z = 0$  then  $f(z)$  is said to have a pole at  $z = \infty$ .
  - (c) If  $g(x)$  as a removable singularity at  $z = 0$ . than  $f(z)$  is said to have a removable singularity at  $z \infty$
- D) If  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z)$  exist finitely then  $z = a$  is a removable singularity.

**Essential Singularity:**

If  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} f(z)$  does not exist then  $z = a$  is an essential singularity. Note that, a principle part is an infinite series of negative power series.

**Essential singularity of a function**

Let  $f(z)$  be defined in a region  $\Omega$  consider the condition.

(i)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\alpha |f(z)| = 0, \alpha = \text{real}$ .

(ii)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z)| = \infty, \beta = \text{real}$



(a) If neither condition (i) nor condition (ii)

holds for any real  $\alpha$  then  $z = a$  is called essential singularity of  $f(z)$ .

(b) If condition (i) holds for all  $\alpha$  then function  $f(z)$  is identically zero.

(c) There exist an integer  $h$  such that condition (i) holds for  $\forall \alpha > h$  and condition

(ii) holds  $\forall \alpha < h$ .

**Note:**

(1) The limit point of poles of a function is called non-isolated essential singularity of that

function

(2) The limit point of zeros of a function is called isolated essential singularity of that

function.

(3) The poles of an analytic function are isolated.

(4) The zeros of an analytic function are isolated.

(5) If  $f(z)$  has a pole at  $z = a$  then  $|f(z)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $z \rightarrow a$  in any manner.

**Meromorphic Function:**

An analytic function whose only singularities in the finite plane are poles is called a meromorphic function.

**Entire Function (or) Integral function:**

A function which is analytic everywhere in the finite plane is called an entire function (or) integral function.



**Rational function:**

If the only singularities of an analytic function including the point at infinity are poles then the function is a rational function.

**Note:**

1. A function which has no singularity in the finite part of the plane (or) at  $\infty$  is a rational function.
2. The function which is analytic in the whole plane and has a non-essential singularity at  $\infty$  reduce to a polynomial.
3. Any function which is meromorphic in the extended plane is rational.

**Theorem 1:**

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in region  $\Omega$  and  $f(a)$  together with all derivatives  $f^{(n)}(a)$  vanish in  $\Omega$ . then  $f(z) = 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

We know that, Taylor's Theorem,

$$f(z) = f(a) + \frac{f'(a)}{1!}(z-a) + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}(z-a)^2 + \dots + \frac{f^{(n-1)}(a)}{(n-1)!}(z-a)^{n-1} + f_n(z)(z-a)^n \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

Where  $f_n(z)$  is analytic in the region  $\Omega$ .

By hypothesis,  $f(a)$  and all derivatives  $f^{(n)}(a)$  vanishes in  $\Omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore (1) \Rightarrow \\ f(z) &= (z-a)^n f_n(z) \\ \Rightarrow |f(z)| &= |(z-a)^n| |f_n(z)| \dots \dots \dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $C$  be a circle, with centre at  $a$  and radius  $\gamma$  containing the  $\Omega$ .



$$\therefore f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)(\zeta - a)^n}, \forall z \in c$$

Taking modulus on both sides,  $\Rightarrow |f_n(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{|f(\zeta)| |d\zeta|}{|(\zeta - z)| |(\zeta - a)|^n} \dots\dots\dots(3)$

Since  $f(\zeta)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ .

$\Rightarrow f(\zeta)$  is continuous on  $c$  in  $\Omega$ . Let  $M = \max|f(\zeta)|, \forall \zeta \in c$ .

$$\therefore |f(\zeta)| \leq M, \quad \forall \zeta \in C \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

$$c: |\zeta - a| = r$$

$$\Rightarrow |\zeta - a|^n = r^n \quad \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} |\zeta - z| &= |\zeta - a + a - z| \\ &\geq |\zeta - a| - |z - a| \\ |\zeta - z| &\geq r - |z - a| \quad \dots\dots\dots(6) \end{aligned}$$

Sub (4) (5) & (6) in (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot (3) \Rightarrow |f_n(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{M |d\zeta|}{r^n [r - |z - a|]} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{m}{r^n [r - |z - a|]} \int_c |d\zeta| \\ &\leq \frac{Mr}{r^n (r - |z - a|)} \\ \Rightarrow |z - a|^n |f_n(z)| &\leq \frac{|z - a|^n m r}{r^n [r - |z - a|]} \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{|z - a|}{r} \right]^n \frac{m r}{[r - |z - a|]} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ sub in (2).} \\ \therefore (2) \Rightarrow |f(z)| &= 0, \forall z \in C. \\ \Rightarrow f(z) &= 0, \forall z = \text{inside of } c. \\ \therefore f(z) &= 0 \text{ in } \Omega \quad [\because c \in \Omega] \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A =$  The set of point of  $z$  such that  $f(z) = 0$  &  $\Omega = A \cup B$

(i.e.)  $A = \{z/ f(z) = 0\}$  &  $\Omega = A \cup B$



where  $B = \{z/ f(z) \neq 0\}$

To claim:  $A$  is an open set.

we know that  $A = \{z/f(z) = 0\}$

Let  $z_0$  be any arbitrary point of  $A$ .

$\therefore$  *their exist*  $r > 0$ . Such that,  $S_r(z_0) \subset A$ .

Since we have already proved  $f(z) = 0, \forall z$  inside of  $c$  with radius  $r > 0$ .

$\therefore A$  is contained in some open sphere contained at some arbitrary point  $z_0$ .

$\therefore A$  is an open set.

To claim:-  $B$  is an open set

We know that  $B = \{z/f(z) \neq 0\}$

clearly,  $f(B) = \{f(z) \neq 0\}$  is an open set.

[ $\because F$  is continuous iff  $f^{-1}(G)$  is an open set, whenever  $G$  is an open].

$\therefore B$  is an open set.

$\therefore \Omega = A \cup B$ . Where  $A$  &  $B$  are disjoint open set.

Since nonempty connected open set is region.

$\Rightarrow \Omega$  is connected. [every region is connected]

Given  $f(a) = b$ ,

$\therefore a \in A, B \neq \phi$   
 $\Rightarrow \Omega = A \cup B = A$

$\therefore f(z) = 0$  inside of region  $\Omega$ .



**Theorem 2: (Uniqueness Theorem)**

If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are analytic in  $\Omega$  and if  $f(z) = g(z)$  on a set which has an accumulation point in  $\Omega$ . Then  $f(z)$  is identically equal to  $g(z)$  for all  $z$  in  $\Omega$ .

[(i.e.)  $f(z) \equiv g(z), \forall z \in \Omega$ ]

**Proof:**

Consider  $h(z) = f(z) - g(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  .....(1)

Since given  $f(z)$  &  $g(z)$  are analytic in  $\Omega$ .

From given  $f(z) = g(z), \forall z \in S$ .

$\therefore h(z) = 0 \forall z \in S. \rightarrow (*)$

$\therefore$  Every  $z$  in  $S$  is a zero of  $h(z)$ .

Let  $a \in \Omega$  be a limit point. (accumulation point) of  $S$ .

Then the function  $h(z)$  can be extended

By Taylor's theorem about  $a$ .

$$\therefore h(z) = h(a) + \frac{h'(a)(z-a)}{1!} + \frac{h''(a)(z-a)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{h^{(n-1)}(a)(z-a)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + h_n(z)(z-a)^n \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Since  $h(z)$  is continuous at  $z = a$ . and  $h(a) = 0$ .

Since  $z = a$  is a limit point of zeros of  $h(z)$ .

$$\therefore (2) \Rightarrow h(z) = (z-a) \left[ \frac{h'(a)}{1!} + \frac{h''(a)(z-a)}{2!} + \dots + \frac{h^{(n-1)}(a)(z-a)^{n-2}}{(n-1)!} + h_n(z)(z-a)^{n-1} \right]$$

$$h(z) = (z-a) \phi(z)$$





$$\text{where } \phi(z) = \left[ \frac{h'(a)}{1!} + \frac{h''(a)(z-a)}{2!} + \dots + \frac{h^{(n-1)}(a)(z-a)^{n-2}}{(n-1)!} + h_n(z)(z-a)^{n-1} \right] \dots\dots(3)$$

Since Every  $z$  in  $S$  is zeros of  $h(z)$

Every  $z$  in  $S$  is also a zeros of  $\phi(z)$ .

$$\therefore \phi(z) = 0, z \in S.$$

$$\therefore \phi(z) \text{ is continuous at } z = a \text{ and } \phi(a) = 0 \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

Since  $z = a$  is a limit point zeros in  $\phi(z)$ .

$$\therefore \text{Put } z = a \text{ in eqn (3)}$$

we get,

$$\phi(a) = h'(a) + \dots + hn(a)(a-a)^{n-1}$$

$$\phi(a) = h'(a) + 0 + 0 + 0 + [(4)]$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = h'(a) \text{ sub in (2). } [\therefore (4)]$$

$$\therefore (2) \Rightarrow$$

$$h(z) = 0 + 0 + \frac{h''(a)(z-a)^2}{2!} + \dots + h_n(z)(z-a)^n$$

$$\text{Repeating this process we get, } h''(a) = 0, h'''(a) = 0 \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

$\therefore h(z)$  is analytic on  $\Omega$  and all derivatives

$$h^y(a) = 0. \text{ [ since (1) \& (5) ]}$$

$$\therefore \Rightarrow h(z) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) - g(z) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\Rightarrow f(z) \equiv g(z) \text{ in } \Omega$$

**Theorem 3: (Singular part (or) Principle part of the function)**

Express a function  $f(z)$  as the sum of two parts of which one is Singular part and the other one is regular part.



**Proof:**

Let  $z = a$  be a pole of order  $h$  for Function  $f(z)$ .

Then in the neighborhood of  $z = a$  we can write,  $f(z) = \frac{f_h(z)}{(z-a)^h}$  .....(1)

where  $f_h(z)$  is analytic at  $a$ . and  $f_h(a) \neq 0$ .

By Taylors theorem,

$$f_h(z) = B_h + (z - a) B_{h-1} + (z - a)^2 B_{h-2} + \dots + (z - a)^{h-1} B_1 + (z - a)^h \phi(z)$$

..... (2)

Where  $\phi(z)$  is analytic in the neighbourhood of  $h$ .

Sub (2) in (1)

$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-a)^h} [B_h + (z - a) B_{h-1} + (z - a)^2 B_{h-2} + \dots + (z - a)^{h-1} B_1 + (z - a)^h \phi(z)]$$

$$\left[ \frac{B_h}{(z-a)^h} + \frac{B_{h-1}}{(z-a)^{h-1}} + \frac{B_{h-2}}{(z-a)^{h-2}} + \dots + \frac{B_1}{(z-a)^1} \right] + \phi(z) \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

The part,

$$\frac{B_h}{(z - a)^h} + \frac{B_{h-1}}{(z - a)^{h-1}} + \frac{B_{h-2}}{(z - a)^{h-2}} + \dots + \frac{B_1}{(z - a)^1}$$

is called singular part (or) principle part of  $f(z)$  in the neighbourhood of the pole  $z = a$  of order  $h$ .

[Note:

In The case of an isolated singularity at  $z = a$ . we can use Lawrence series for  $f(z)$

(i.e.)  $f(z) = [a_0 + a_1(z - a) + a_2(z - a)^2 + \dots + a_n(z - a)^n \dots + \infty]$



$$+ \left[ \frac{b_1}{(z-a)} + \frac{b_2}{(z-a)^2} + \dots + \frac{b_n}{(z-a)^n} + \dots + \infty \right] \quad 0 < r_1 < |z-a|$$

### Case :1

Suppose that  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_n = \dots = 0$ .

Then  $f(z) = a_0 + a_1(z-a) + a_2(z-a)^2 + \dots + a_n(z-a)^n + \dots + \infty$   
 we define  $F(z) = a_0$  then  $z = a$  is called removable singularity of  $f(z)$

### Case: 2

Suppose that.

$$b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_{n-1} = 0, b_n \neq 0$$

$$r_1 < |z-a| < r_2$$

$$\text{Then } f(z) = a_0 + a_1(z-a) + a_2(z-a)^2 + \dots + a_n(z-a)^n + \dots + \infty + \left[ \frac{b_n}{(z-a)^n} \right]$$

Then  $z = a$  is called a poles of order  $h$ .

Hence the singular part contains only a finite number of terms in  $\frac{1}{z-a}$ .

### Case: 3

Suppose that, The singular part does not terminate then

$$\frac{b_1}{z-a} + \frac{b_2}{(z-a)^2} + \dots + \frac{b_n}{(z-a)^n} + \dots + \infty \quad \text{for } r_1 < |z-a| < r_2$$

Is an essential singularity of  $f(z)$ .

### Theorem 4: (Weierstrass's Classical Theorem)

An analytic function comes arbitrary closed to any complex value in every neighborhood of an essential singularity.



**Proof:**

Let  $z = a$  be an essential singularity of  $f(z)$ .

Suppose that the theorem is not true.

(i.e.) there exist complex value  $A, \delta > 0$ .

Such that,  $|f(z) - A| < \varepsilon$ , for  $|z - a| < \delta$ .

where,  $z = a$  is an essential singularity of  $f(z)$ .

(i.e.)  $|f(z) - A| > \varepsilon$  for  $|z - a| < \delta$ . ..... (1)

for any real  $\alpha < 0$ .

we have

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\alpha |f(z) - A| \geq \lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\alpha \varepsilon > \frac{\varepsilon}{0} = \infty.$$

(i.e.)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\alpha |f(z) - A| = \infty$

$\therefore z = a$  cannot be an essential singularity of  $f(z)$  .....(2)

For any  $\beta > 0$ .

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z) - A| \geq \lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta \varepsilon > \varepsilon(0) = 0$$

(i.e.)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z) - A| = 0$  .....(3)

Hence,  $z = a$  cannot be an essential singularity of  $f(z) - A$  and so

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a| |A| = 0 \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z)| = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z) - A + A|$$



$$\leq \lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z) - A| + \lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |A|$$

$$= 0 \cdot 0 = 0$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow a} |z - a|^\beta |f(z)| = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

$\therefore z = a$  is not an essential Singularity of  $f(z)$

From (2) & (5) this a contradiction to our assumption.

$$\therefore |f(z) - A| < \varepsilon, \text{ for } |z - a| < \delta$$

**Theorem 5:**

Show that any function which is meromorphic in the extended plane is rational (or) the quotient of two polynomials.

**Proof:**

Let  $f(z)$  be any meromorphic function

Let,  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k$  be poles of order  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$  respectively for  $f(z)$ .

$$f(z) \text{ we can write } f(z) = \frac{p(z)}{(z-z_1)^{m_1}(z-z_2)^{m_2} \dots (z-z_k)^{m_k}} \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

where  $p(z)$  is analytic  $\forall z$ .

$$\Rightarrow p(z) = f(z)[z - a]^{m_1}[z - a]^{m_2} \dots [z - a]^{m_k} \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

Since  $p(z)$  is analytic.

$\therefore$  By Taylor's Theorem,

we can write,

$$p(z) = \sum a_n z^n \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$



but  $z = \frac{1}{\zeta}$  in (3)

we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\right) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \frac{1}{\zeta^n} \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\zeta^n} \\
 \therefore P\left(\frac{1}{\zeta}\right) &= a_0 + \frac{a_1}{\zeta} + \frac{a_2}{\zeta^2} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

clearly  $z = \infty$  is a pole of  $p(z)$ .

$\Rightarrow \zeta = 0$  is a pole of  $P(1/\zeta)$

$\Rightarrow$  (4) has Finite no. of non-zero terms.

$\Rightarrow$  (3) has finite no. of non-zero terms  $\Rightarrow p(z)$  is polynomial in  $z$

$\therefore$  (1)  $\Rightarrow f(z) = \frac{\text{poly in } z}{\text{poly in } z} = \text{rational function}$  Hence proved.

## 2.6. The Local Mapping:

### Theorem 1:

Let  $z_j$  be the zeros of the function  $f(z)$  which is analytic in disc  $\Delta$ . and  $f(z)$  does not vanish identically each-zero being counted as many times as its order indicates then for every closed  $\gamma$  curve in  $\Delta$  which does not passes through a zero.

$$\sum_j n(\gamma, z_j) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz$$

where sum as only a finite no. of terms is not equal to zero.

### Proof:



Given that  $f(z)$  is analytic function in open circular disc  $\Delta$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  in the disc  $\Delta$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a closed curve in  $\Delta$ .

Let us suppose that  $f(z)$  has only finite no. of zeros in  $\Delta$ .

(i.e.) Let  $z_1, z_2 \dots - z_n$  be the finite no. of zero of  $f(z)$  inside  $\Delta$ , each zero being counted according to its degree of multiplicity.

(i.e.) Each zero is repeated as many times as its order indicates.

Since  $z_1, z_2 \dots z_n$  zeros of  $f(z)$  and  $f(z)$  is analytic.

$\therefore$  we can write,

$$f(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \dots (z - z_n)g(z)$$

Where  $g(z)$  is analytic and not null in  $\Delta$ .

$\therefore$  Taking log on both sides

$$\therefore \log f(z) = \log(z - z_1) + \log(z - z_2) + \dots + \log(z - z_n) + \log g(z) \dots \dots (1)$$

Diff (1) with respect to 'z',

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{1}{z - z_1} + \frac{1}{z - z_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{z - z_n} + \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

multiply by  $\frac{1}{2\pi i}$  & Integrate on both sides,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_1} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_2} dz + \dots + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_n} dz \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} dz \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g(z)$  is analytic and not null in  $\Delta$ .



$\Rightarrow g'(z)$  is analytic.

$\Rightarrow \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)}$  is also analytic as

$g(z) \neq 0$

$\therefore$  By Cauchy's Theorem.

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} dz = 0 \text{ where } \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} \text{ is analytic}$$

$\therefore (3) \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_1} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_2} dz + \dots + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_n} dz$$

$$= \sum_j n(\gamma, z_j) \dots \dots \dots (4) \quad \left[ \because n(\gamma, a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z - a} \right]$$

Thus we have proved theorem. If  $f(z)$  has a finite no. of zeros. Then eqn (3) is true. if  $f(z)$  has infinitely many zeros in  $\Delta$

Then for any closed curve  $D, \Delta$  we can find a smaller disc  $\Delta'$

such that  $\gamma \leq \Delta' \leq \Delta$ . Now, there are only a finite no. of zeros of  $f(z)$  in  $\Delta'$

Otherwise if there are infinitely many zeros of  $f(z)$  in  $\Delta'$ .

$\therefore$  Bolzano Weierstrass's theorem.

They would have a accumulation point in the closure of  $\Delta'$ . and at this is impossible.

(i.e.) we can find an infinite sequences of zeros.

(i.e.)  $\{z_n\}$  be a zeros of  $f(z)$ .

Such that,





$z_n \rightarrow z_0$  (accumulation point) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(i.e.)  $f(z_0) = f[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (z_n)]$ .

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z_n)$$

$$= 0$$

Thus the zero  $z_0$  of an analytic function is not isolated.

This is contradiction.

$\therefore \Delta'$  contain only a finite no. of zeros apply equation (3) to the disc  $\Delta'$

$\therefore$  The zeros outside of the disc  $\Delta'$   $n(\gamma, z_j) = 0$ .

and Hence not contributed the Sum R.H.S. of equation (3) is written

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_j n(\gamma, z_j) \dots\dots\dots(*)$$

**Remark:1**

(i)The function  $\omega = f(z)$  maps  $\gamma$  an to a closed curve  $\Gamma$  in the  $w$  plane

put  $\omega = f(z)$

$$\Rightarrow dw = f'(z) dz$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(z) = \frac{dw}{dz}$$

in above theorem equation(\*)  $\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum n(\gamma, z_j)$

(ii) If  $a$  &  $b$  lies in the same region determined by  $\Gamma$ . Then,

$$\sum n(\gamma, z_j(a)) \neq n(\Gamma, b)$$

$$\therefore n(\gamma, a) = n(\Gamma, b)$$

Thus  $f(z)$  takes values  $a$  &  $b$  equally many times inside  $\gamma$ .



**Theorem 2:**

Suppose that  $f(z)$  is analytic at  $z_0$ .  $f(z) = w_0$  that  $f(z) - w_0$  as a zero of order  $n$  at  $z_0$ . If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small then there exist  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\forall a$  with  $|a - w_0| < \delta$ . The equation  $f(z) = a$  has exactly  $n$  roots in the disc  $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$ .

**Proof:**

Let us choose  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Such that  $f(z)$  is defined and analytic in  $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$

$\therefore z_0$  is the only zero of  $f(z) - w_0$  of order  $n$  in the neighborhood ..... (1)

Let  $\gamma$  be a circle  $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  and let  $\Gamma$  is image under the mapping  $\omega = f(z)$ .

(i.e.)  $\Gamma$  is a closed curve.

Since  $w_0 \notin \gamma \Rightarrow f(z_0) \notin \Gamma$  or

Hence  $w_0$  is in complements of  $\Gamma$

$\therefore$  there exist neighborhood,  $|w - w_0| < \delta$  and for every  $\varepsilon$

$|w - w_0| < \delta$  all the values of  $a$  are taken same no. of times inside  $\gamma$ .

But since  $f(z) = w_0$  as exactly  $n$  co-inside in roots inside  $\gamma$ .

$\therefore f(z) = a$  also exactly  $n$  roots and every value of  $a$  taken  $n$ -times.

(i.e.) Every value  $a \in |w - w_0| < \delta$ , is taken ' $n$ ' no. of times by  $f(z)$  inside  $\gamma$ .

(i.e.)  $f(z) = a$  as  $n$  roots in the disc  $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  is understood.

**(or) (Another Proof)**

Let  $\gamma$  be a circle  $|z - z_0| < \varepsilon$  and  $f(z)$  is analytic and define for  $|z - z_0| \leq \varepsilon$  and given that  $f(z_0) = w_0$ .

$\therefore f(z) - w_0$  as a zeros of a function in at  $= 0$



Let the image of  $\gamma$  center  $f(z)$  be the closed curve  $\Gamma$  in the  $w$  plane.

$$\text{Now } \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \text{Total no. of zeros of } f(z) - w_0 \\ = n$$

There exist neighborhoods  $|a - w_0| < \delta$  of  $w_0$  contain whole inside  $\Gamma$ .

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - a} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f'(z) dz}{f(z) - w_0} \\ \Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) = n(\Gamma, w_0) = n$$

(i.e.) The function takes all values in the neighborhood of the point  $w_0$  equally many times inside  $\Gamma$ .

$\therefore f(z) - w_0$  as exactly  $n$  roots does every value of  $a$  is taken  $n$  times inside  $\gamma$ .

### Corollary:1

A non-constant analytic function maps open set onto open sets.

### Corollary: 2

If  $f(z)$  is analytic at  $z_0$  with  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$  it maps in the neighbourhood of  $Z_0$  conformally and topologically onto region.

## 2.7. Maximum Principle:

### Theorem: 1 (Maximum Modulus principle (or) Maximum principle)

If  $f(z)$  is analytic at non-constant in a region  $\Omega$ . Then its absolute value  $|f(z)|$  has no maximum in  $\Omega$ . (or)

If  $f(z)$  is non-constant defined and continuous on a closed bounded set  $E$  and analytic on the interior of  $E$ . Then Maximum of  $|f(z)|$  on  $E$  is assumed only on the boundary of  $E$ .

### Proof:



Given,  $E$  is closed and bounded  $\Rightarrow E$  is compact.

Assume that  $|f(z)|$  as a maximum value on  $E$ , say at  $z_0 \in E$ . (interior point of  $E$ ).

Given  $f(z)$  is non-constant and analytic

$$\therefore |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \varepsilon \text{ if } |z - z_0| < \delta.$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } |f(z)| - |f(z_0)| < |f(z) - f(z_0)| < \varepsilon$$

$\Rightarrow |f(z)|$  is continuous.

If  $z_0$  is an interior point. Then  $|f(z_0)|$  is the maximum of  $|f(z)|$  in  $|z - z_0| < \delta$  contain in  $E$ .

But it is impossible unless  $f(z)$  is a constant in the compliment of the interior of  $E$  containing  $z_0$ .

The continuity  $|f(z)|$  as its maximum on the whole boundary of that compliment and this boundary is non-empty and is contained in the boundary of  $E$ .

Thus the maximum of  $|f(z)|$  is always obtain only or the boundary of  $E$ .

**(or) Analytical Proof:**

Let  $c$  be the boundary of the region, assume that  $|f(z)|$  as its Maximum at a point

$$z_0 \in \Omega \text{ [} z_0 \text{ is an interior of point } \Omega \text{].}$$

Where  $z_0$  lies inside of  $c$ . draw the small circle  $\gamma$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma: |z - z_0| &= r \\ |f(z_0)| &= M = \text{maximum value} \dots\dots\dots(1) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  from the circle  $\gamma$  we get,

$$\begin{aligned} z - z_0 &= re^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \\ \Rightarrow z &= z_0 + re^{i\theta} \\ \Rightarrow dz &= re^{i\theta} id\theta \dots\dots\dots (2) \end{aligned}$$



By Cauchy's Integral formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z) dz}{z - z_0} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})}{re^{i\theta}} \gamma e^{i\theta} \phi d\theta. \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

Since,  $|f(z_0)|$  is a maximum value.

$$\therefore |f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| < |f(z_0)|, \text{ for single value of } \theta.$$

By continuity of  $f(z)$ ,

$$\therefore |f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| \leq |f(z_0)| \text{ on a whole finite arc}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{mean value of } |f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| \text{ on } \delta, \gamma < |f(z_0)|$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta < |f(z_0)| \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

sub (4) in (3)

$$\therefore B \Rightarrow |f(z_0)| < |f(z_0)|$$

This is contradiction

$\therefore |f(z)|$  must reduce to a constant and is equal to  $|f(z_0)|$  for all sufficiently small circles  $|z - z_0| = r$  and hence in the neighbourhood of  $z_0$ .

$\therefore$  It follows easily that  $f(z)$  must reduce to a constant.

This is  $a \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$  to our assumption

(i.e.) our assumption that  $|f(z)|$  has its maximum at an interior point is wrong,



Hence  $|f(z)|$  is Maximum only on the boundary of  $\Omega$ .

**Theorem 2: (Schwartz Lemma)**

If  $f(z)$  is analytic  $|z| < 1$  and satisfies the condition  $|f(z)| \leq 1$  and  $f(0) = 0$ . Then

- (i)  $|f(z)| \leq |z|$ , for some  $z \neq 0$  and  $|f'(0)| \leq 1$
- (ii) If  $|f(z)| = |z|$  (or) If  $|f'(z)| = 1$  then  $f(z) = cz$ .

Where  $c$  is a constant whose absolute value is 1 .

**Proof:**

Let us define the function

$$f_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)}{z}, & z \neq 0 \\ f'(0), & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$|f_1(z)| = \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right|$$

$$= \frac{|f(z)|}{|z|} \quad [\because |f(z)| \leq 1 \text{ on the circle } |z| = \gamma < 1]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|z|}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma}$$

(i.e.)  $|f_1(z)| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma}$ .

By Maximum modulus principle, for  $|z| \leq r \Rightarrow |f_1(z)| \leq 1$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| \leq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(z)| \leq |z|$$

If  $z = 0$ .



Then  $|f'(0)| \leq 1$

ii) if  $|f(z)| = |z|$

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| = 1$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \frac{f(z)}{z} = c$$

$$\therefore f(z) = cz \text{ where } |c| = 1$$



## UNIT –III

**THE GENERAL FORM OF CAUCHY'S THEOREM and THE CALCULUS OF RESIDUES:** Chains and cycles- Simple Continuity - Homology - The General statement of Cauchy's Theorem - Proof of Cauchy's theorem - Multiply connected regions – The Residue theorem - The argument principle.

### Chapter 3: Section 3: 3.1 to 3.8

#### 3. General form of Cauchy's Theorem:

##### 3.1. Chains and cycles

###### Definition: Chains

An arbitrary formal sum of finite number of finite collection  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n$  which need not be an arc. Satisfy the equations.

$$\int f(z)dz = \int_{\gamma_1} f(z)dz + \int_{\gamma_2} f(z)dz + \dots + \int_{\gamma_n} f(z)dz$$

are called chains. A formal sum  $a_1\gamma_1 + a_2\gamma_2 + \dots + a_n\gamma_n$ . The arcs  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_n$  is called chains.

###### Addition of two chain:

The sum of two chains is design define in the obvious way by just a position it's clear that the additive property of line integral remains valued for arbitrary of sum of two chains.

###### Identical chains:

Two chains are identical  $\Leftrightarrow$  they yield (give) the same line integral for all functions  $f(z)$ .

###### Set:

The following operations do not alter the identity of chains.

- (i) Permutation of two arcs
- (ii) Sub division of an arc.
- (iii) Fusion of sub arcs into a single arc
- (iv) Reparametrization of an arc.
- (v) collection of opposite arcs

Sub conditions:

- (i) For a positive integer  $n$ . we write  $n\gamma = \gamma + \gamma + \dots + n$  times





(ii)  $-\gamma$  = The - re arc of  $\gamma$ .

(iii)  $-n\gamma$  =  $-\gamma - \gamma, \dots \dots n$  times.

Thus every chain is expressible in the form  $a_1\gamma_1, a_2\gamma_2 \dots a_n\gamma_n$  where  $a_1, a_2 \dots a_n$  are integers.

The zero chain (or) void chain is a chain in which all  $a_i = 0$ .

**Cycle:**

A cycle is a chain which is represented as a sum of closed curve.

**Result:**

For chains in a region we have.

(i) The integral of an exact differential over a cycle is zero.

(ii) The index of a point with respect to cycle as in the case of a single closed curve.

(iii) If  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are two cycles then  $n(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2, a) = n(\mu_1, a) + n(\nu_2, a)$

**3.2. Simple Connectivity:**

**Definition:**

A region is simply connected if it's complement with respect to the extended complex plane is connected.

**Note:**

(1) A region is simply connected if it has no poles.

(2) We always take a region in the extended complex plane.

**Theorem 1:**

A region  $\Omega$  is simply connected iff  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$ , for all cycles  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  & for all points '  $a$  ' which does not belong to  $\Omega$

**Proof:**

Necessary Part:

Given  $\Omega$  is simply connected.

To prove that  $n(\gamma, a) = 0, \forall$  cycles.  $\gamma \in \Omega$  &  $\forall a \notin \Omega$

Given  $\Omega$  is simply connected

$\Rightarrow \bar{\Omega}$  is connected & contains  $\infty$

$a \notin \Omega \Rightarrow a \in \bar{\Omega}$

$\Rightarrow a \in$  unbounded region determined by  $\gamma$ .

$\Rightarrow n(\gamma, a) = 0, \forall \gamma$  in  $\Omega$  &  $\forall a \notin \Omega$ .

Sufficient Part:



Given  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$ ,  $f$  cycle  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  & all points  $a \notin \Omega$ .

$\therefore a \in \bar{\Omega}$

To prove that

The region  $\Omega$  simply connector For this proof, the complement  $n$  is Connected.

Assume that  $\Omega$  is not simply connected

$\Rightarrow$  complement  $\bar{\Omega}$  is not connected

$\therefore$  We can write  $\bar{\Omega} = A \cup B$

Where,  $A$  &  $B$  are non-empty, disjoint closed sets.

Let one of these sets are unbounded. (Since its contains  $\infty$ ) & the other is bounded

$\therefore$  Let  $A$  be the bounded set and  $a \in A$

Let  $\delta =$  The shortest distance between  $A$  and  $B$ .  $\therefore \delta > 0$ .

Cover the whole plane with a net of squares  $Q$  of side  $< \delta/\sqrt{2}$ .

Let us choose the squares such that ' $a$ ' lies at the centre of a square, where  $a \in A$ .

The boundary of  $Q$  is denoted by  $\partial Q$  consider, the cycle  $\gamma = \sum_j \partial Q_j$

Where the sums ranges over all the squares  $Q_j$  in the net which have a point in common with ' $A$ '. Since ' $a$ ' is contained in one & only one of the squares.

$\therefore n(\gamma, a) = 1$

Clearly,  $\gamma$  does not meet  $B$ . But if the cancellation are carried out its clear that  $\gamma$  does not meet  $A$ . Since, we can omit common sides of squares in  $\gamma$ . Each common side is travelled in opposite direction.

$\therefore \gamma \notin A \cup B = \bar{\Omega}$

$\Rightarrow \gamma \in \Omega$

Also,  $a \in A \subset \bar{\Omega} = A \cup B$

$\Rightarrow a \notin \Omega$

Thus, their exist a cycle  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$  and a point  $a \notin \Omega$  such that  $n(\gamma, a) = 1 \neq 0$ .

Which is a contradiction to given hypothesis.

$\therefore$  Our assumption is wrong

$\therefore \Omega$  is Simply connected.

**Note:** If this is a cycle in  $\Omega$ . Such that  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$ . For some  $a$  outside of  $\Omega$ . Then  $\frac{1}{z-a}$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{dz}{z-a} = n(\gamma, a) \neq 0$ .



### 3.3. Homology:

#### Definition:

A cycle  $\gamma$  in an open set  $\Omega$  is said to be homology to zero with respect to  $\Omega$

if  $n(\gamma, a) = 0, \forall a \in \bar{\Omega}$ . (i.e.,)  $a \notin \Omega$

In symbols, we write  $\gamma \sim 0 \pmod{\Omega}$  (or)  $\gamma \sim 0$

#### Note:

(i)  $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \sim 0$

(ii) Homologous can be add and subtract.

(iii)  $\gamma \sim 0 \pmod{\Omega} \Rightarrow \gamma \sim 0 \pmod{\Omega'} \quad \Omega' \supset \Omega$

### 3.4. General Statement of Cauchy's Theorem:

#### Theorem 1:

If  $f$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ , then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for every cycle  $\gamma$  which is homologous to zero in  $\Omega$

In a different formulation, we are claiming that if it is such that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  holds for a certain collection of analytic functions, namely those of the form  $1/(z-a)$  with  $a$  not in  $\Omega$ , then it holds for all analytic functions in  $\Omega$

In combination with theorem 1 (Previous section 3.3) we have the following corollary

#### Corollary: 1

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in a simple connected region  $\Omega$  then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ , for all cycle in  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega$ .

#### Corollary: 2

If  $f(z)$  is analytic and  $\neq 0$  in a simply connected region  $\Omega$  then it is possible to define Single valued analytic branches of  $\log f(z)$  and  $n\sqrt[n]{f(z)}$  in  $\Omega$ .

### 3.5. Proof of Cauchy's Theorem:

#### Theorem 1:

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ . Then  $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$ . For every cycle  $\gamma$  which is Homologous to zero in  $\Omega$ .

#### Proof:

#### Case: (i)



We assume that  $\Omega$  is bounded but otherwise arbitrary given  $\delta > 0$ . we cover the plane of net of square of side  $\delta$  and we denote by  $Q_j, j \in J$  be the closed squares in the  $\Omega$  Since  $\Omega$  is bounded.

$\therefore J$  is finite for sufficiently small  $\delta$ . We can make  $J$  is a nonempty set.

The union of squares  $Q_j, j \in J$

Consists of closed regions oriented boundaries makes the cycle.

$[\sqrt{\delta}$  is a sum of oriented line segments which are sides of exactly one  $a_j$ ]

$$\therefore \sqrt{\delta} = \sum_{j \in J} \partial Q_j \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

We denote by  $\Omega$  the interior of the unions  $\cup_{j \in J} Q_j$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be a cycle which is homodogaus to zero. we choose  $\delta$  is So small that  $\gamma$  is contained in  $\Omega_\delta$ .

Consider the point  $\zeta \in \Omega - \dots \Omega_\delta$ .

(i.e.,)  $\zeta \in \Omega$  and  $\zeta \notin \Omega_\delta$

It's belongs to at least one  $Q$  which is not in  $Q_j$ . There is a point  $\varepsilon_0 \in Q$  which is not in  $\Omega$ .

It is possible to joint  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta$  by  $a$  line segment. Which lies in  $Q \therefore$  does not meet  $\Omega_\delta$ .

Since  $\gamma$  is consider as a point set is contained in  $\Omega_\delta$ .

It's follows that  $n(\gamma, \zeta) = 0 = n(\gamma, \zeta_0)$

In particular,  $n(\gamma, \zeta) = 0, \forall$  points  $\zeta$  on  $\sqrt{\delta} \dots\dots\dots(2)$

Then by Cauchy integral formula for higher derivative

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial Q} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{\zeta - z} = \begin{cases} f(z), f_j = j \\ 0, f_j \neq j_0 \end{cases}$$

$Z$  lies also interior of  $\sqrt{\delta}$



$$\text{Then } f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta - z}$$

$$\sqrt{\delta} = \sum_j \partial Q_j$$

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta - z} dz$$

$$= \int_{\sqrt{\delta}} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)dz}{\zeta - z} d\zeta$$

$$= \int_{\sqrt{\delta}} \left[ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{\zeta - z} \right] f(\zeta)d\zeta$$

$$= \int_{\sqrt{\delta}} \left[ \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\delta} \frac{dz}{(z - \zeta)} \right] f(\zeta)d\zeta$$

$$= \int_{\sqrt{\delta}} -n(\gamma, \zeta)f(\zeta)d\zeta$$

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0 [\because n(\gamma, \zeta) = 0]$$

for all cycles  $\gamma$  which is homologous to zero, if  $\gamma$  is bounded

### Case 2:

If  $\Omega$  is unbounded, we replaced the  $\Omega'$  by intersection  $\Omega$  with disc  $|Z| < R$  which is large, enough to contain  $\gamma$  and point 'a' in the complement of  $\Omega$  are lies in the disc.

In either case  $(n(\gamma, a)) = 0$

So that  $\gamma \sim 0(\text{mod } \Omega')$

$\gamma \sim 0(\text{mod } \Omega)(\Omega' \subset \Omega)$

This theorem is valid for arbitrary  $\Omega$ , if  $\Omega$  is unbounded.  $\therefore n(\gamma, a) = 0, a \notin \Omega'$ .

$\therefore a \in$  outside of the circe 'c'.

### 3.6. Multiply Connected Regions

#### Definition: Multi connected Region

A region which is not simply connected is called a multi-connected. (i.e.,) The region which one contains poles then it's called multi-connected region

#### Finite Connectivity:

A region  $\Omega$  is said to have finite connectively,  $n$  if the complement of  $\Omega$  has exactly  $n$ -complement.



### Infinite Connectivity:

The region  $\Omega$  is said to have the infinite connectivity if the complement has infinitely many components

### The Calculus of Residues

#### 3.7. The Residue Theorem:

##### Definition:

The Residue of  $f(x)$  at an isolated singularity '  $a$  ' is a unique complex number  $R$  which makes  $f(z) - \frac{R}{z-a}$  the derivative of a single valued analytic function in an annulus  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$

##### Theorem 1: Cauchy's Residue Theorem

Let  $f(z)$  be an analytic except for isolated singularity '  $a_j$  ' in the region  $\Omega$ .

$$\text{then, } \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) \operatorname{Res}_{z = a_j} f(z)$$

For any cycle  $\gamma$  which is homologous to zero in  $\Omega$  and does not pass through any of the point  $a_j$ .

##### Proof:

Case (i):

Let there be only a finite number of isolated

Singularities  $a_1, a_2 \dots a_n$  in  $\Omega$ .

The region  $\Omega$  obtained by excluding the point '  $a_j$  ' will be denoted by  $\Omega'$ .

(i.e.,)  $\Omega' = \Omega - \{a_1\}, \{a_2\} \dots \{a_n\}$

Let  $\gamma$  be a cycle in  $\Omega$  which is homologous to zero with respect to  $\Omega$

Let  $c_j$  be a circle with centre  $a_j$ , the radius is  $> 0$ . Consider the circle,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \gamma - \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) c_j \\ n(\Gamma, a_k) &= n(\gamma, a_k) - n \left[ \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) c_j, a_k \right] \\ &= n(\gamma, a_k) - \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) n(c_j, a_k) \\ &= n(\gamma, a_k) - n(\gamma, a_k) n(c_k, a_k) \\ & \quad [n(\because n(c_j, a_k) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq k)] \\ &= n(\gamma, a_k) - n(\gamma, a_k) = 0. \\ \therefore n(\Gamma, a_k) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$



Let  $a \notin \Omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore n(\Gamma, a) &= n(\gamma, a) - n\left[\sum n(\gamma, a_j)c_j, a\right] \\ &= n(\gamma, a) - \sum n(\gamma, a_j)n(c_j, a) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0 - 0 \quad [\because \text{homologous to zero.} \Rightarrow \gamma \sim 0(\text{mod } \Omega) \Rightarrow n(c_j, a) = 0]$$

$n(\Gamma, a) = 0 \therefore \Gamma$  is a cycle in  $\Omega$

Which is homologous to zero (mod  $\Omega$ ) which does not pass through the  $a_j$ 's

By Cauchy's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz &= 0 \\ \therefore \int_{\Gamma} f(z)dz &= \int_{\gamma - \sum n(\gamma, a_j)c_j} f(z)dz = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz &= \int_{\sum n(\gamma, a_j)c_j} f(z)dz \\ [\because \int_c f(z)dz &= \int cf(z)dz] \\ &= \sum n(\gamma, a_j) \int_{c_j} f(z)dz \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)dz &= \sum n(\gamma, a_j) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_j} f(z)dz. \\ &= \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) \frac{1}{2\pi i} P_j C_j \quad [\because \int_{c_j} f(z)dz = P_j] \\ &= \sum_j n(\gamma, a_j) R_j \\ \left[ \text{where } R_j &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_j} f(z)dz \right] \end{aligned}$$

**Case (ii):**

There are many infinitely isolated singularities in  $\Omega$ .

The set of all points 'a' which  $n(\gamma, a) = 0$  is open and contains all points outside of large circle.

The complement is consequently a compact set and hence, it cannot contain more than finite number of isolated singularities  $a_j$ 's  $\therefore n(\gamma, a_j) \neq 0$

Only for a finite number of Singularities,  $a_j$ 's.



∴ Case (i) applies

**Definition:**

The residue of  $f(z)$  at the point  $\infty$  is  $R_\infty = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_c f(z) dz$

where,  $c$  is simple closed contour enclosed all the finite singular point of  $f(z)$ .

**Theorem :1**

If a function  $f(z)$  is analytic except at a finite number of singularities including the singularity at  $\infty$ . Then prove that the Sum of the Residue of  $f(z)$  at the singularities is zero.

**Proof:**

Let  $C$  be a simple closed contour enclosing all the finite number of Singularities of  $f(z)$

∴ By Residue's theorem,

$$\int_c f(z) dz = 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_n] \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

we know that  $R_\infty = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_c f(z) dz \dots\dots\dots(2)$

$$(1) + (2) \Rightarrow$$

$$R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_n + R_\infty = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c f(z) dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c f(z) dz = 0$$

**3.8. The Argument principle:**

**Theorem:1**

If  $f(z)$  is meromorphic function in  $\Omega$  in the zero's  $a_j$  and the poles  $b_k$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_j h_j n(\gamma, a_j) - \sum_k P_k n(\gamma, b_k)$$

For every cycle  $\gamma$  which is homologous to zero in  $\Omega$  and does not passes through any of the zeros (or) poles. (or)

If  $f$  is meromorphic in a region  $\Omega$  with finite number of zeros & poles in  $\Omega$  What are the singularities of  $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$  in  $\Omega$  and compute the Residues of this function at all the singularities in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $z = a_j$  be a zeros of order  $h_j$  for meromorphic function  $f(z)$  in the region  $\Omega$  Then in the neighbourhood of  $a_j$ . we can write,





$$f(z) = (z - a_j)^{h_j} \phi_j(z) \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Where  $\phi_n(z)$  is analytic  $\phi_j(a_j) \neq 0$

∴ Taking log on both sides

$$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$$

$$\log f(z) = h_j \log(z - a_j) + \log \phi_j(z)$$

Diff with respect to z

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = h_j \frac{1}{(z - a_j)} + \frac{\phi_j'(z)}{\phi_j(z)}$$

∴  $z = a_j$  is simple pole of  $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$  and residues  $h_j$ , its true for each  $a_j$  of  $f(z)$

Let  $z = b_k$ , be the poles of order  $P_k$  for  $f(z)$  in the region  $\Omega$ . Then in the neighbourhood of  $b_k$ , we can write

$$f(z) = \frac{p_k}{(z - b_k)^{p_k}} g_k(z) \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

where,  $g_k(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$  and  $g_k(z) \neq 0$ .

∴ Taking log on both sides

$$(2) \Rightarrow \log f(z) = -P_k \log(z - b_k) + \log g_k(z)$$

Diff w.r.to 'z'

$$\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{-p_k}{(z - b_k)} + \frac{g'_k(z)}{g_k(z)}$$

∴  $z = b_k$  is a Simple pole of  $\frac{f'(z)}{f(z)}$  with Residues  $-P_k$ , it is true for each  $b_k$  of  $f(z)$ .

∴ Applying the Residues theorem, we get,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum_{j=1}^n h_j n(\gamma, a_j) - \sum_{k=1}^n P_k n(\gamma, b_k)$$

Where, each zeros and poles are counted according to their degree of multiplicity.

**Corollary: 1**

If  $f(z)$  is analytic within & on a simple closed contour  $c$  and  $f(z)$  is non zero on  $c$  Then,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = N - P$$

Where,  $N$  is a number of zeros of  $f(z)$  inside  $c$ , and  $p$  is a number of poles of  $f(z)$  inside  $C$ .

**Proof:**



By previous theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \sum n(c, a_j)l_j - \sum n(c, b_k)m_k \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

We know that,

from given where  $c$  is a simple closed curve and also  $a_j$  and  $b_k$  lies inside  $c$ .

$$\therefore n(c, a_j) = 1 \text{ and } n(c, b_k) = 1$$

$\therefore$  Sub in (1), we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz &= \sum l_j - \sum m_k \\ &= (l_1 + l_2 + \dots) - (m_1 + m_2 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = N - p \rightarrow (*)$$

**Corollary: 2**

If  $f(z)$  is analytic with a simple closed contour  $c$  except at a finite number of poles inside  $c$  if  $f(z)$  is non-zero on  $c$ . Then,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = N = \text{Number of zero's of } f(z) \text{ inside ' } c \text{ ' .}$$

**Proof:**

Since  $f(z)$  is analytic & it has no Singularities (or) poles.

$$\therefore P = \text{number of poles} = 0$$

$\therefore$  By (corollary 1) (\*)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz &= N - 0 \\ &= \text{Number of zeros of } f(z) \text{ inside } C \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem: 2**

**The another proof of the Argument principle**

If (i)  $f(z)$  is analytic on a simple closed contour  $C$ .

(ii)  $f(z)$  is meromorphic inside  $c$

(iii)  $f(z)$  has no zeros on  $c$ .

Then,

$$N - P = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_c \arg f(z)$$



Where,  $N$  = number of zeros of  $f(z)$  inside  $c$

$p$  = number of poles of  $f(z)$  inside  $c$ .

$\Delta_c \arg f(z)$  = The change in argument of  $f(z)$  as  $az$  describes  $c$ .

**Proof:**

By corollary

$$\begin{aligned}
 N - p &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log(z)]_c \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Delta_c [\log f(z)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \Delta_c [\log |f(z)| + i \arg f(z)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} [0 + i \Delta_c \arg f(z)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} [i \Delta_c \arg f(z)] \\
 N - P &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [\Delta_c \arg f(z)]
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem: 3 (Rouche's Theorem)**

Let  $\gamma$  be homologous to zero in  $\Omega$  and Such that  $n(\gamma, z)$  is either zero or one for any point  $z$  not on  $\gamma$ . Suppose that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are analytic in  $\Omega$  and satisfy the inequality  $|f(z) - g(z)| < |f(z)|$  on  $\gamma$ . Then  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  have the same number of zero's enclosed by  $\gamma$ .

**Proof:**

We know that,

(i) If  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  are analytic within & on a Simple contour  $\gamma$ .

(ii)  $|f(z)| > |g(z)|$  on  $\gamma$

Thun  $f(z), f(z) + g(z)$  have the Some number of zeros inside %.

Step 1:

To prove that  $f(z)$  has no zeros on  $\gamma$ . If possible for some point '  $a$  ' no  $\gamma$



$$\begin{aligned}
 f(a) &= 0. \\
 \therefore \text{By (ii)} &\Rightarrow |f(z)| > |g(z)| \\
 &\Rightarrow |f(a)| > |g(a)| \\
 &\Rightarrow 0 > |g(a)| \\
 &\Rightarrow |g(a)| < 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction.  $\therefore f(z)$  has no zeros on  $\gamma$

### Step: 2

To prove that,  $f(z) + g(z)$  has no zeros on  $\gamma$ . Suppose that  $f(z) + g(z)$  has a zero 'a' on  $\gamma$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore f(a) + g(a) &= 0 \\
 &\Rightarrow f(a) = -g(a) \\
 &\Rightarrow |f(a)| = |-g(a)| \\
 &\Rightarrow |f(a)| = |g(a)|
 \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction [ $\because |f(z)| > |g(z)|$ ]  $\therefore f(z) + g(z)$  has no zeros on  $\gamma$ .

### Step: 3

Let  $N_1$  &  $N_2$  be the number of zero's of  $f(z) + g(z)$  and  $f(z)$  inside  $\gamma$  respectively. Since  $f(z), g(z), f(z) + g(z)$  are analytic within & on  $\gamma$ .

$\therefore$  Number of poles of  $f(z) + g(z)$  inside  $\gamma = 0$  and also, Number of poles of  $f(z)$  inside  $\gamma = 0$ .  $\therefore$  The argument principle

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1 - 0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\gamma} \arg(f(z) + g(z)) \\
 8N_2 - 0 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{\gamma} \arg f(z). \\
 N_1 - N_2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [\Delta_{\gamma} \arg(f(z) + g(z)) - \Delta_{\gamma} \arg f(z)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [\Delta_{\gamma} \arg[f(1 + g/f)] - \Delta_{\gamma} \arg f] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [\Delta_{\gamma} \arg f + \Delta_{\gamma} \arg[1 + g/f] - \Delta_{\gamma} \arg f]
 \end{aligned}$$



**Step: 4**

To prove that  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_\gamma \arg [1 + g/f] = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } w &= 1 + g/f \\ w - 1 &= g/f \Rightarrow |w - 1| = |g/f| \\ &\Rightarrow |w - 1| < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \omega = 1 + g/f$  lies inside the circle with center at 1 and radius 1.

$$\therefore \arg \omega = \arg(1 + g/f)$$

Returns to the same value after  $z$  describes  $\gamma$ .

$$\therefore \Delta_\gamma \arg \omega = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta_\gamma \arg[1 + g/f] = 0$$

$$\text{Sub in (1), } \Rightarrow N_1 - N_2 = 0 \Rightarrow N_1 = N_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Number of zeros of } \\ f(z) + g(z) \text{ inside } \gamma \end{array} \right\} = \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{number of zeros} \\ \text{of } f(z) \text{ inside } \gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

**Step: 5**

proof of Main Theorem:

$$\text{Give } |f(z) - g(z)| < |f(z)|,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow |g(z) - f(z)| &< |f(z)| \\ |f(z)| &> |g(z) - f(z)| \text{ on } \gamma \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(z) = g(z) - f(z)$

$\therefore$  By (A)

$$\Rightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Number of zeros of } \\ f(z) \text{ inside } \gamma \end{array} \right\} = \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{number of zeros} \\ \text{of } f(z) + g(z) \text{ inside } \gamma \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \text{Number of zeros of } f(z) + g(z) - f(z) \text{ inside } \gamma$$



= Number of zero's of  $g(z)$  inside  $\gamma$ .

### Residues:

#### Theorem 1:

If  $\int_{c_j} \left( f(z) - \frac{-R_j}{z-a_j} \right) dz$  is of zero period then the constant  $R_j$  which is the co-eff of  $\frac{1}{z-a_j}$  is called the residue of  $f(z)$  at  $z = a_j$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $f(z)$  be an analytic function in  $\Omega$  except for a finite no. of singularities  $a_1, a_2 \dots a_n$ .

Let  $\Omega'$  be the region obtained by excluding the pts.  $a_j$

(i.e.,)  $\Omega' = \Omega - \{a_1\} \dots \{a_n\}$ .

Let  $c_j$  be a circle about  $a_j$  of radius  $\delta_j$  Let  $P_j = \int_{c_j} f(z) dz$ . which is the period of  $f(z)$

Let  $f(z)$  be a particular function with a period  $2\pi i$ .

(i.e.,)  $\int_{c_j} \frac{dz}{z-a_j} = 2\pi i$ , and  $c_j: |z - a_j| = \delta_j$

Let  $R_j = \frac{P_j}{2\pi i}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c_j} \left( f(z) - \frac{R_j}{z-a_j} \right) dz &= \int_{c_j} f(z) dz - R_j \int_{c_j} \frac{dz}{z-a_j} \\ &= P_j - \frac{P_j}{2\pi i} \times 2\pi i \\ &= P_j - P_j \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

#### Theorem 2:

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega' = \Omega - \{a\}$  where  $a$  is an isolated singularity then there exist a unique complex no.  $R$  such that  $f(z) - \frac{R}{z-a}$  is the derivative of an analytic function in  $\Omega$ .



**Proof:**

Since  $f(z)$  has an isolated singularity at  $z = a$

$\therefore$  we can find a  $b > 0$ . such that  $f(x)$  is analytic in the annulus  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$

Let  $c$  be the circle  $c: |z - a| = 1$ , where  $1 < \delta$

Write  $R = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c f(z) dz$  .....(1)

Now, we consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c \left( f(z) - \frac{R}{z-a} \right) dz &= \int_c f(z) dz - \int_c \frac{pdz}{z-a} \\ &= \int_c f(z) dz - 2\pi ip \quad \because \int_c \frac{dz}{z-a} = 2\pi i \\ &= \int_c f(z) dz - \int_c f(z) dz \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f(z) - \frac{R}{z-a}$  is derivative of an analytic function in the annulus  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$ .

To prove that: The uniqueness of  $R$

If sufficives to show that  $\int_{c_1} f(z) dz = \int_{c_2} f(z) dz$

where  $c_1, c_2$  are two circles  $0 < |z - a| < l_i, i = 1, 2 \dots$

Since  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $0 < |z - a| < \delta$ .  $f(z)$  is analytic in every closed curve  $\Gamma$  in this region.

(i.e.,) by Cauchy's Theorem,

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

choosing, points  $P, q$  on  $c_1, c_2$



Consider the closed curve  $\Gamma$  composed of  $c$ , (from  $p$  to  $p$  in the anticlockwise sense) and the straight line  $\overline{qp}$ .

$$\int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{c_1} f(z) dz + \int_{pq} f(z) dz + \int_{-c_2} f(z) dz$$

$$0 = \int_{c_1} f(z) dz - \int_{c_2} f(z) dz + \int_{qp} f(z) dz$$

$$\int_{c_1} f(z) dz = \int_{c_2} f(z) dz$$

(i.e.,)  $\int_c f(z) dz$  is independent of the radius of the circle.

$\therefore R$  is a uniquely determined complex number.

### Results:

**Type-I** Poles of  $f(z) = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$  are gen. by  $Q(z) = 0$

(i.e.,)  $P_r = 0$ .

**Type-II:**  $\text{Res } f(z) = \text{coefficient of } \frac{1}{z-a}$  in the  $z = a$  of  $f(z)$  is

Laurent expression of  $f(z)$  is power of  $(z - a)$

### Method of Finding:

The following are the various method of calculation of residue under situation.

#### Method: 1

$\text{Res } f(z)$  can be calculated directly by evaluating  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c f(z) dz$ .

Choosing a suitable small circle  $c$  around  $z = a$ .





### Examples:

1. Find the Residue of  $f(z) = \frac{2z+1}{z^2-z-2}$ .

### Solution:

$$f(z) = \frac{2z + 1}{z^2 - z - 2}$$

poles of  $f(z)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 - z - 2 &= 0 \\ (z + 1)(z - 2) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore z = -1, 2$  are poles.

$$\frac{A}{z + 1} + \frac{B}{z - 2} = \frac{2z + 1}{(z + 1)(z - 2)}$$

$$A(z - 2) + B(z + 1) = 2z + 1$$

put  $z = 2$ ,

$$\Rightarrow 5 = 3B \Rightarrow B = \frac{5}{3}, \text{ Put } z = -1$$

$$\therefore f(z) = \frac{1/3}{z + 1} + \frac{5/3}{z - 2}$$

Res. of  $f(z)$  :

at  $z = -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{z=-1} f(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c=-1} f(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} \left( \frac{1}{3(z + 1)} + \frac{5}{3(z - 2)} \right) dz \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1$  in the circle centre -1 and radius 1 .



$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \frac{1}{3} \int_{c_1} \frac{1}{(z+1)} dz + \frac{5}{3} \int_1 : |z - (-1)| = 1 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \frac{1}{3} 2\pi i \operatorname{in}(c_1 - 1) + \frac{5}{3} 2\pi i \operatorname{in}(c_1, 2) \right]$$

$$\therefore \operatorname{Res}_{z=-1} f(z) = 1/3.$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \operatorname{Res}_{z=2} f(z) = 5/3$$

$$z = 2$$

2. Find the poles of following function and Residue at this poles  $\frac{z+1}{z^2-2z}$ .

**Solution:**

$$f(z) = \frac{z+1}{z^2-2z}$$

poles of  $f(z)$  :

$$z^2 - 2z = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow z(z-2) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow z = 0, 2$  are poles.

$$[\text{Let } \frac{z+1}{z(z-2)} = \frac{A}{z} + \frac{B}{z-2}]$$

$$\Rightarrow A(z-2) + B(z) = z+1$$

$$\text{put } z = 2, 2B = 3 \Rightarrow B = 3/2$$

$$\text{Put } z = 0, -2A = 1 \Rightarrow A = -1/2.$$

$$\therefore f(z) = \frac{-1/2}{z} + \frac{3/2}{(z-2)} \text{ sub in (0)}$$

$$\text{Res. of } f(z) \text{ at } z = 0, \operatorname{Res} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} f(z) dz$$



$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} \frac{z+1}{z(z-2)} dz \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} \left( \frac{-1}{2z} + \frac{3}{2(z-2)} \right) dz,$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} \frac{-1}{2z} dz + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c_1} \frac{3}{2(z-2)} dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ \frac{-1}{2} \int_{c_1} \frac{1}{z} dz + \frac{3}{2} \int_{c_1} \frac{1}{z-2} dz \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} 2\pi i \text{in}(c_1, 0) + \frac{3}{2} 2\pi i \text{in}(c_1, 2) \right]$$

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} f(z) = -\frac{1}{2}$$

Similarly,  $\text{Res}_{z=2} f(z) = 3/2$ .

**Model - II:**

If  $z - a$  is a simple pole of  $f(z)$ .

then,

$$(a) \text{Res}_{z=a} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a) f(z)$$

$$(b) \text{Res}_{z=a} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} = \frac{P(a)}{Q'(a)}$$

**Problem 1:**

1. Find the residue of  $f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2+5z+6}$ .

**Solution:**

$$\text{Let } f(z) = \frac{1}{z^2+5z+6}$$



poles of  $f(z)$  :

$$\begin{aligned}z^2 + 5z + 6 &= 0 \\(z + 3)(z + 2) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  poles are  $z = -2, -3$

Res. of  $f(z)$  at  $z = -2$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Res } f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a} (z - a)f(z) \\&= \lim_{z \rightarrow -2} (z + 2) \cdot \frac{1}{(z + 3)(z + 2)} \\&= \lim_{z \rightarrow -2} \frac{1}{z + 3} \\&= -1\end{aligned}$$

at  $z = -3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Res } f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow -3} (z + 3) \frac{1}{(z + 3)(z + 2)} \\&= \lim_{z \rightarrow -3} \frac{1}{z + 2} \\&= -1\end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2:**

$$f(z) = \frac{1 - e^{2z}}{z^4}.$$

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}f(z) &= \frac{1 - e^{2z}}{z^4} \\&= \frac{1 - \left[ 1 + \frac{2z}{1!} + \frac{(2z)^2}{2!} + \dots \right]}{z^4} \\f(z) &= - \left[ \frac{2z}{z^4} + \frac{4z^2}{2z^4} + \frac{8z^3}{6z^4} + \dots \right] \\&= - \left[ \frac{2}{z^3} + \frac{2}{z^2} + \frac{4}{3z} + \frac{2}{3} + \dots \right]\end{aligned}$$



Res. of  $f(z)$  at  $z = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z) &= \text{co-eff. of } \frac{1}{z-0} \text{ in } f(z) \\ &= -4/3 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 3:**

$$f(z) = \tanh z$$

**Solution:**

$$f(z) = \tanh z = \frac{\sinh z}{\cosh(z)} = \frac{p(z)}{Q(z)}$$

poles of  $f(z)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \cosh hz &= 0. & [\cos ix = \cosh x \\ \Rightarrow \cosh hz &= \cos(2n + 1)\pi/2 & \sin ix = i\sinh x] \\ \cos iz &= \cos(2n + 1)\pi/2 \end{aligned}$$

$$iz = (2n + 1)\pi/2$$

$$\text{Pole } z = \frac{1}{i}(2n + 1)\pi/2$$

$$iz = (2n + 1)\pi/2$$

$$\text{pole: } z = \frac{1}{i}(2n + 1)\pi/2$$

$$\frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} = \frac{\sinh z}{\sinh z} = 1 [d(\cosh z) - \sinh z]$$

$$\operatorname{Res} \text{ of } f(z): \left[ \operatorname{Res}_{z=a} (z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \right]$$

$$\text{at } z = \frac{1}{i}(2n + 1)\pi/2$$

$$\operatorname{Res} f(z) = \operatorname{res} \tan hz = \lim 1 = 1$$



$$z = -i(2n + 1)\pi/2 \quad z = -i(2n + 1)\pi/2 \quad z \rightarrow -i(2n + 1)\pi/2$$

**Problem 4:**

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sin^2 z}$$

**Solution:**

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sin^2 z} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 2z)} = \frac{2}{1 - \cos 2z} = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$$

poles of  $f(z)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \cos 2z &= 0 \\ \cos 2z &= 1 \\ \cos 2z &= \cos 2n\pi \\ 2z &= 2n\pi \\ z &= n\pi, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \dots \end{aligned}$$

are simple pole

$$\frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} = \frac{2}{2\sin 2z} = \frac{1}{\sin 2z}$$

Res of  $f(z)$  :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res } f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \\ \therefore \text{Res } f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow n\pi} \frac{1}{\sin 2z} \\ z &= n\pi \\ &= \frac{1}{\infty} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Exercises:**

1. Evaluate  $\int_C \frac{2z^2+z}{z^2-1} dz$



Where (i)  $c$  in the circle  $|z - 1| = 1$

(ii)  $C$  In the circle  $|z| = 2$

$$2. f(z) = \cot z = \frac{\cos z}{\sin z}$$

$$3. f(z) = \tan z = \frac{\sin z}{\cos z}$$

$$4. f(z) = \frac{z+1}{z^2+9}$$

5. obtain the residue of  $e^z((z-a)(z-b))$  at its poles in both the cases  $a \neq b$  &  $a = b$

6. compute  $\int_0^\pi \log \sin \theta \, d\theta$  using residue calculus.

### Model-III

If  $z = a$  is a pole of order  $m$  for  $f(z)$  then,

$$\text{Res } f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} D^{m-1} [(z-a)^m f(z)]$$

### Problem 1:

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{2z}}{(z-1)^2}$$

### Solution:

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{2z}}{(z-1)^2}$$

poles of  $f(z)$ :

$$(z-1)^2 = 0 \Rightarrow z = 1, 1$$

$z = 1$  in a pole of order 2.

Res of  $f(z)$  :



$$\begin{aligned}
 z = 1, \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow a} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} D^{m-1} [(z-a)^m f(z)] \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{1!} D' \left[ (z-1)^2 \frac{e^{2z}}{(z-1)^2} \right] \text{ where } m = 2 \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} e^{2z} \cdot 2 \\
 &= 2e^2
 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 2:**

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2^m(1-z)^n}$$

**Solution:**

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2^m(1-z)^n}$$

Poles of  $f(z)$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^m(1-z)^n &= 0 \\
 z^m = 0, (1-z)^n &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$z = 0$  is a pole of order  $m$ .

$z = 1$  is a pole of order  $n$

Res of  $f(z)$  :

at  $z = 0$ .

$$\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} D^{m-1} \left[ (z-0)^m \frac{1}{z^m(1-z)^n} \right]$$





$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(m-1)!} D^{m-1} \frac{1}{(1-z)^n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (-1)^n n(n+1) \cdots (m-1) \text{ times } (z-1)^{-n(m-1)} (-1)^n (-1)^{m-1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(m-1)!} (n+1-1)(n+2-1) \cdots (n+m-1-1) \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^n 1}{(m-1)!} n(n+1) \cdots (n+m-2).
 \end{aligned}$$

at  $z = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Res } f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} D^{n-1} (z-1)^n \frac{1}{z^m (1-z)^n} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (-1)^n D^{n-1} z^{-m} (-1)^n \\
 &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (-1)^n (-m)(-m-1) \cdots (n-1) \text{ times } z^{-m-n+1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} (-1)^n (-1)^{m-1} m(m+1) \cdots (m+n-2).
 \end{aligned}$$

When is the differential  $f(z)dz$  exact in a region. (or)

Prove that  $\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz$  with continuous of depends only on the end points of the  $\gamma$ .

$f$  is the derivative of an analytic function in  $\Omega$ .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(z)dz &= f(z)[dx + idy] \\
 &= f(z)dx + if(z)dy
 \end{aligned}$$

$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz$  depends only on the end points of  $\gamma$

A function  $F(z)$  in  $\Omega$  such that



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F(z) = f(z) \text{ and } \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial y} = if(z) \quad [\because \text{Theorem(1)}]$$

$$\text{(i.e.,)} \quad \frac{1}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(z) = f(z)$$

$$\text{(i.e.,)} \quad -i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(z) = f(z).$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial F(z)}{\partial x} = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} F(z) (zf(z))$$

Thus (i)  $F(z)$  Satisfies cauchy Riemann eqns.

(ii) Given that  $f(z)$  is continuous

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} \text{ and } \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \text{ are continuous in } \Omega.$$

From (i) and (ii)  $F(z)$  is analytic function  $F(z)$

### Problem 3:

Compute  $\int_{\gamma} x dz$  where  $\gamma$  is the directed line segment from 0 to  $1 + i$ .

### Proof:

Equation of  $\gamma$  is  $y = x$

$$\left[ \because \frac{y - 0}{1 - 0} = \frac{x - 0}{1 - 0} \right]$$

$$\therefore dy = dx.$$

$$\int_{\gamma} x dz = \int_{\gamma} x (dx + idy)$$

$$= \int_{\gamma} (dx + idx)$$

$$= \int_{\gamma} x (1 + i)$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (1 + i) \int_{x=0}^1 x \, dx \\
 &= (1 + i) \left[ \frac{x^2}{2} \right]_0^1 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + i)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 4:**

Compute  $\int_{|z|=2} z^n (1 - z)^m dz$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers.

**Proof:**

Here  $\gamma : |z|=2$  is a simple closed curve  $f(z) = z^n (1 - z)^m$  is analytic everywhere and hence analytic inside in all  $\gamma$

By Cauchy's Fundamental theorem,

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz = 0$$

$$\int_{|z|=2} z^n (1 - z)^m dz$$

**Note:**

If every cycle  $\gamma$  belong to  $\Omega$  in the line combination of the cycle  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}$

$$\gamma = c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_{n-1} \gamma_{n-1}$$

We obtain for any analytic function in  $\Omega$

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) \, dz = \int_{c_1 \gamma_1 + c_2 \gamma_2 + \dots + c_{n-1} \gamma_{n-1}} f(z) \, dz$$



$$= \int_{c_1 \gamma_1} f(z) dz + \int_{c_2 \gamma_2} f(z) dz + \dots \dots \dots \int_{c_{n-1} \gamma_{n-1}} f(z) dz$$

$$= c_1 \int_{\gamma_1} f(z) dz + c_2 \int_{\gamma_2} f(z) dz + \dots \dots \dots + c_{n-1} \int_{\gamma_{n-1}} f(z) dz$$

$$= c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2 + \dots \dots \dots + c_{n-1} p_{n-1}$$

Where  $p_i = \int_{\gamma_i} f(z) dz$   $i=1$  to  $n-1$

This integrals depend only on the function and not on  $\gamma$

They are called the modulus of periodicity and differential of the  $f dz$  the period of indefinite integral.



## UNIT-IV:

### Evaluation of Definite Integrals and Harmonic Functions: Evaluation of definite integrals

- Definition of Harmonic function and basic properties – The Mean value property - Poisson formula.

### Chapter 4: Section 4: 4.1 to 4.4

## 4. Evaluation of Definite Integrals and Harmonic Function:

### 4.1. Evaluation of Definite Integrals:

#### Type: 1

Evaluate  $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\sin \theta, \cos \theta) d\theta$  where  $R(\sin \theta, \cos \theta)$  is a rational function of  $\sin \theta$  and  $\cos \theta$ .

(or)

Explain a general method of Evaluating  $\int_0^{2\pi} R(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) d\theta$ . where  $R$  is a rational function of two real variables.

#### Proof:

Put  $z = e^{i\theta}$  (or)  $|z| = 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$

$$\Rightarrow dz = e^{i\theta} i d\theta, d\theta = \frac{dz}{e^{i\theta} i}$$

$$\Rightarrow d\theta = \frac{dz}{iz}$$

$$\text{Let } z = e^{i\theta}$$

$$= \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$$

$$\frac{1}{z} = \cos \theta - i \sin \theta$$

$$\therefore \cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right] \text{ and } \sin \theta = \frac{1}{2i} \left[ z - \frac{1}{z} \right]$$

Contour in  $c_i |z| = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_0^{2\pi} R(\sin \theta, \cos \theta) d\theta &= \int_c R \left[ \frac{1}{2i} \left[ z - \frac{1}{z} \right], \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right] \right] \frac{dz}{iz} \\ &= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots - \cdot] \end{aligned}$$

#### Problems:

1. Evaluate  $\int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{a + \cos \theta}, a > 1$



**Solution:**

$$\text{Let } I = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{a + \cos \theta} = 2 \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{a + \cos \theta} \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

To find I : [ $\because f(2\pi - \theta) = f(\theta)$ ]

Let  $c: |z| = 1$

put  $z = e^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$

$$dz = e^{i\theta} i d\theta$$

$$d\theta = \frac{dz}{iz}$$

and also,

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore I &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{a + \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right]} \frac{dz}{iz} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_c \frac{1}{a + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{z^2 + 1}{z} \right]} \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_c \frac{1}{\frac{2az + z^2 + 1}{2z}} \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \frac{1}{2i} \int_c \frac{2z}{2az + z^2 + 1} \cdot \frac{dz}{z} \\ &= \frac{2}{2i} \int_c \frac{dz}{2az + z^2 + 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{i} [2\pi i (R_1 + R_2 + \dots -)] \\ &= [2\pi (R_1 + R_2 + \dots -)] \quad \dots\dots\dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

To find Residues ( $R_1, R_2, \dots$ )

Given the poles are

$$z^2 + 2az + 1 = 0$$

$$z = \frac{-2a \pm \sqrt{4a^2 - 4}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{-2a \pm 2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}}{2}$$

$$= -a \pm \sqrt{a^2 - 1}$$



$$\therefore \left. \begin{aligned} z_1 &= -a + \sqrt{a^2 - 1} \\ z_2 &= -a - \sqrt{a^2 - 1} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{are simple poles}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore |z_2| &= -1 \left| a + \sqrt{a^2 - 1} \right| \\ &= a + \sqrt{a^2 - 1} \\ |z_2| &> 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore z_2$  lies outside of  $c$

$z_1, z_2$  are the roots of  $z^2 + 2az + 1 = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore z_1 z_2 &= \frac{1}{1} = 1 \\ \Rightarrow z_1 z_2 &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow |z_1 z_2| &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow |z_1| |z_2| &= 1 \\ \Rightarrow |z_1| &= \frac{1}{|z_2|} \\ \Rightarrow |z_1| &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore z_1$  lies out inside of '  $c$  '

To find  $R_1$ :

We know that,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \text{Res}_{z=z_1} f(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_1} (z - z_1) f(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_1} (z - z_1) \frac{1}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_1} \frac{1}{(z - z_2)} \end{aligned}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{z_1 - z_2}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \text{ Sub in (2)}$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta}{a + \cos \theta} \\ I &= 2\pi \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \right] = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{a^2 - 1}} \end{aligned}$$



2. Evaluate  $\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dx}{a + \sin^2 x}$

**Solution:**

$$\text{Let } \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dx}{a + \sin^2 x} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{a + \left[\frac{1 - \cos 2\theta}{2}\right]}$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{2d\theta}{2a + 1 - \cos 2\theta}$$

put  $2\theta = \phi$

$$\Rightarrow 2d\theta = d\phi$$

When,  $\theta = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = 0$

$$\theta = \pi/2 \Rightarrow \phi = \pi$$

$$\therefore \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{2d\theta}{2a + 1 - \cos 2\theta} = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{2d\phi/2}{2a + 1 - \cos \phi}$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2a + 1 - \cos \phi}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2a + 1 - \cos \phi} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Where  $\phi = 0$  to  $2\pi$

Let  $c: |z| = 1$

$$z = e^{i\phi}$$

$$dz = e^{i\phi} i d\phi$$

$$\Rightarrow d\phi = \frac{dz}{iz}$$

$$\text{and also } \cos \phi = \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right]$$

$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$

$$\int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dx}{a + \sin^2 \theta} = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{2d\theta}{2a + 1 - \cos 2\theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{2a + 1 - \cos \theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_c \frac{1}{2a + 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right]} \frac{dz}{iz}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_c \frac{dz}{iz \left[ \frac{4az + 2z - z^2 - 1}{2z} \right]}$$





$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_C \frac{2zdz}{iz[4az + 2z - z^2 - 1]} \\
 &= \frac{-1}{i} \int_C \frac{dz}{[z^2 - 2z(1 + 2a) + 1]} \\
 &= \frac{-1}{i} [2\pi i(R_1 + R_2 + \dots)] \dots \dots \dots (2)
 \end{aligned}$$

To find Residues:

To poles are,

$$z^2 - 2(2a + 1)z + 1 = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore z &= \frac{2(2a + 1) \pm \sqrt{4(2a + 1)^2 - 4}}{2} \\
 &= \frac{2(2a + 1) \pm 2\sqrt{(2a + 1)^2 - 1}}{2} \\
 &= (2a + 1) \pm \sqrt{4a^2 + 4a + 1 - 1} \\
 &= 2a + 1 \pm 2\sqrt{a^2 + a} \\
 z &= (2a + 1) \pm 2\sqrt{a^2 + a}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  The roots are

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_1 &= (2a + 1) + 2\sqrt{a^2 + a} \\
 z_2 &= (2a + 1) - 2\sqrt{a^2 + a} \\
 \therefore |z_1| &> 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore z_1$  lies outside of  $c$ .

We know that, (from (3))

$$\begin{aligned}
 z_1 z_2 &= 1 \\
 |z_1 z_2| &= 1 \\
 |z_1| |z_2| &= 1 \Rightarrow |z_2| = \frac{1}{|z_1|} \\
 |z_2| &< 1
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore z_2$  lies inside of  $c$

$\therefore$  To find  $R_2$  :



$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2 &= \text{Res}_{z \rightarrow z_2} f(z) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_2} (z - z_2) \frac{1}{(z - z_1)(z - z_2)} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow z_2} \frac{1}{(z - z_1)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{z_2 - z_1} = \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{a^2 + a}} \\
 \therefore R_2 &= \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{a^2 + a}} \text{ Sub in (2).} \\
 \therefore (2) &\Rightarrow \\
 \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{dx}{a + \sin^2 x} &= \frac{-1}{i} \left[ 2\pi i \left( \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{a^2 + a}} \right) \right] \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{a^2 + a}}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. Prove that  $\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos^2 3\theta}{5 - 4\cos 2\theta} d\theta = \frac{3\pi}{8}$

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Let } I &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\cos^2 3\theta}{5 - 4\cos 2\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + \cos 2(3\theta)}{5 - 4\cos 2\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \text{R.P} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + e^{i6\theta}}{5 - 4\cos 2\theta} d\theta \dots\dots\dots(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Take  $c: |z| = 1$  (or)

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &= e^{i\theta}, 0 < \theta < 2\pi \\
 dz &= e^{i\theta} i d\theta \\
 \Rightarrow d\theta &= \frac{dz}{iz}
 \end{aligned}$$

also,  $\cos \theta = \frac{1}{2} \left[ z + \frac{1}{z} \right]$

And  $e^{i6\theta} = [e^{i\theta}]^6 = z^6$

Let  $\cos 2\theta = 2\cos^2 \theta - 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right) \right]^2 - 1 \\
 &= 2 \left[ \frac{1}{4} \left[ z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} + 2 \right] - 1 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} + 2 \right] - 1
 \end{aligned}$$



$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \right] + 1 - 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \right] \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$I = R \cdot P \frac{1}{2} \int_C \frac{1 + z^6}{5 - 1 \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( z^2 + \frac{1}{z^2} \right) \right] iz} dz$$

$$= R \cdot P \frac{1}{2i} \int_C \frac{1 + z^6}{5 - 2 \left[ \frac{z^4 + 1}{z^2} \right] z} dz$$

$$= R \cdot P \frac{1}{2i} \int_C \frac{1 + z^6}{\left( \frac{5z^2 - 2z^4 - 2}{z^2} \right) z} dz$$

$$= R \cdot P \frac{1}{2i} \int_C \frac{z(1 + z^6) dz}{5z^2 - 2z^4 - 2}$$

$$= R \cdot P \left( \frac{-1}{2i} \right) \int_C \frac{z(1+z^6)}{2z^4 - 5z^2 + 2} dz$$

$$= R \cdot P \left( \frac{-1}{2i} \right) 2\pi i (R_1 + R_2 + \dots \dots) \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

poles are given by,

$$2z^4 - 5z^2 + 2 = 0$$

$$2z^2(z^2 - 2) - 1(z^2 - 2) = 0$$

$$(z^2 - 2)(2z^2 - 1) = 0$$

$$z^2 = 2, \quad 2z^2 = 1$$

$$z = \pm\sqrt{2} \quad z^2 = \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow z = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

The simple poles are

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, z_2 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} \text{ alone lies inside of } c$$

To find  $R_1, R_2$  :

$$R_1 = \text{Res } f(z)$$

$$z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)}$$

[ where  $P(z) = z + z^7$



$$\begin{aligned}
 Q(z) &= 2z^4 - 5z^2 + 2 \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{z + z^7}{8z^3 - 10z} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{z(1 + z^6)}{2z(4z^2 - 5)} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \frac{(1 + z^6)}{2(4z^2 - 5)} \\
 &= \frac{1 + (1/\sqrt{2})^6}{2 \left[ 4 \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2 - 5 \right]} \\
 &= \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}}{8 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) - 10} = \frac{9/8}{-6}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$R_1 = \frac{-3}{16}$$

Similarly,  $R_2 = -\frac{3}{16}$  sub in(3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3) \Rightarrow I &= R \cdot P \left( \frac{-1}{2i} \right) 2\pi i \left[ \frac{-3}{16} - \frac{-3}{16} \right] \\
 &= R \cdot P \left[ \pi \left( \frac{2(3)}{16} \right) \right] \\
 &= R \cdot P \left( \frac{3\pi}{8} \right) \\
 &= \frac{3\pi}{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

### **Type: II**

Integral of the form  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} dx$ , where

- (i)  $\deg Q(x) \geq \deg P(x) + 2$
- (ii)  $Q(x) \neq 0$  for any real  $x$ .
- (iii)  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  are polynomial in  $x$ .

### **Proof:**



Taking the contour  $c: \Gamma \cup L$

$\Gamma: |z| = R$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz &= \int_{\Gamma \cup L} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz + \int_L \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz &= 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)} dx \\ &= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \quad \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

**Result 1:**

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_H f(z) dz &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

**Result 2:**

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz = 0$$

Since degree of  $Q(z) \geq \text{deg of } P(z) + 2$

**Result 3:**

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \begin{cases} 2 \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx & \text{if } f(x) \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } f(x) \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

**Problem:**

1. Evaluate  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2 - x + 2}{x^4 + 10x^2 + 9} dx$

**Solution:**

Take the contour  $C: \Gamma \cup L$

Where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$

and  $L: [-R, R]$ .

Consider,

$$\int_C \frac{z^2 - z + 2}{z^4 + 10z^2 + 9} dz = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^2 - z + 2}{z^4 + 10z^2 + 9} dz + \int_L \frac{x^2 - x + 2}{x^4 + 10x^2 + 9} dx$$



$$= 2\pi i[R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z[z^2 - z + 2]}{z^4 + 10z^2 + 9} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z z^2 [1 - \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{z^2}]}{z^4 [1 + \frac{10}{z^2} + \frac{9}{z^4}]} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^2 - z + 2}{z^4 + 10z^2 + 9} dz = 0$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$  in (1) and using (2).

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore (1) \Rightarrow \\ \int_C \frac{z^2 - z + 2}{z^4 + 10z^2 + 9} dz &= 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2 - x + 2}{x^4 + 10x^2 + 9} dx \\ &= 2\pi i[R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \quad \dots\dots\dots (2) \end{aligned}$$

poles are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 + 10x^2 + 9 &= 0 \\ x^4 + x^2 + 9x^2 + 9 &= 0 \\ x^2(x^2 + 1) + 9(x^2 + 1) &= 0 \\ (x^2 + 9)(x^2 + 1) &= 0 \\ (x + i)(x - i)(x + 3i)(x - 3i) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore x = \pm 3i, \pm i$  are Simple poles.

Among these poles, only  $x = i$  and  $3i$  are lie inside 'c'.

To find  $R_1$  &  $R_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore R_1 = \text{Res}_{z=i}^{f(z)} &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{z^2 - z + 2}{4z^3 + 20z} \\ &= \frac{i^2 - i + 2}{4i^3 + 20i} = \\ &= \frac{-1 - i + 2}{-4i + 20i} \\ R_1 &= \frac{1 - i}{16i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } R_2 = \text{Res}_{z=3i} f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 3i} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)}$$

$$R_2 = \frac{7 + 3i}{48i}$$



Sub  $R_1$  &  $R_2$  in (3)

$\therefore (3) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c \frac{z^2 - z + 2}{z^4 + 10z^2 + 9} dz &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{1-i}{16i} + \frac{7+3i}{48i} \right] \\ &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{3-3i+7+3i}{48i} \right] \\ &= \pi \left[ \frac{10}{24} \right] \\ &= \frac{5\pi}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

**2. Evaluate**  $\int_0^\infty \frac{x^2 dx}{x^4 + 5x^2 + 6}$

**Solution:**

Let  $c: \Gamma \cup L$

where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$  &  $L = [-R, R]$

Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_c \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz &= \int_\Gamma \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz \\ &= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2] \quad \dots \dots \dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$  in (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore (1) \Rightarrow \int_c \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz &= 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{x^4 + 5x^2 + 6} dx \\ &= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \\ & \left[ \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) = 0 \right] \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_r \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)} dz &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore$  poles are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x^4 + 5x^2 + 6 &= 0 \\ x^4 + 3x^2 + 2x^2 + 6 &= 0 \\ x^2[x^2 + 3] + 2[x^2 + 3] &= 0 \\ (x^2 + 3)(x^2 + 2) &= 0 \\ x^2 = -2, x^2 = -3 & \\ x = \pm\sqrt{2}i, x = \pm\sqrt{3}i & \end{aligned}$$

are simple poles.

Among these poles,  $x = \sqrt{2}i$  &  $\sqrt{3}i$  only lies inside  $c$ .



To find  $R_1$  &  $R_2$  :

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= \text{Res}_{z \rightarrow \sqrt{2}i} f(z) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \sqrt{2}i} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \sqrt{2}i} \frac{z^2}{4z^3 + 10z} \\
 &= \frac{(\sqrt{2}i)^2}{4(\sqrt{2}i)^3 + 10(\sqrt{2}i)} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}i\sqrt{2}i}{\sqrt{2}i[4(\sqrt{2})^2 + 10]} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{-8 + 10} \\
 R_1 &= \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $R_2 = \frac{-\sqrt{3}i}{2}$

∴ Sub  $R_1$  &  $R_2$  in (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{\sqrt{2}i - \sqrt{3}i}{2} \right] \\
 &= \pi i^2 [\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}] \\
 &= -\pi [\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}] \\
 &= \pi [\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}] \\
 \therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^2}{z^4 + 5z^2 + 6} dz \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} [\pi\sqrt{3} - \pi\sqrt{2}] \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{2} [\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}]
 \end{aligned}$$

**3. Evaluate**  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{x^4 + 1} = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$

**Solution:**

Let  $C: \Gamma U L$

where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$  &  $L = [-R, R]$

Consider,





$$\int_c \frac{dz}{z^4 + 1} = \int_\Gamma \frac{dz}{z^4 + 1} + \int_{-R}^R \frac{dz}{z^4 + 1}$$

$$= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$  in (1)

$$(1) \Rightarrow$$

$$\int_c \frac{dz}{z^4 + 1} = 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{z^4 + 1}$$

$$= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

poles are given by

$$x^4 + 1 \Rightarrow x^4 = -1$$

$$x^4 = \cos \pi$$

Generally,

$$z^4 = \cos[2k + \pi]$$

$$z^4 = e^{i[2k+1]\pi}, k = 0,1,2$$

$$z = e^{\frac{i(2k+1)\pi}{4}}$$

$$\therefore z = e^{i\pi/4}, e^{i3\pi/4}, e^{i5\pi/4}, e^{i\pi/4}$$

$$Z = e^{i\pi/4} \& e^{i3\pi/4}$$

alone lies inside  $c$ .

To find  $R_1$  &  $R_2$

$$R_1 = \operatorname{Res}_{z=e^{i\pi/4}} f(z) = \frac{1}{4[e^{i\pi/4}]^3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4[e^{3i\pi/4}]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}[e^{-i3\pi/4}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}[\cos 3\pi/4 - i \sin 3\pi/4]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}[\cos 135^\circ - i \sin 135^\circ]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}[\cos(180^\circ - 45^\circ) - i \sin(180^\circ - 45^\circ)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}[-\cos 45^\circ - i \sin 45^\circ]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}\left[-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} - i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right]$$

$$R_1 = \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{2}}[1 + i]$$



Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2 &= \text{Res}_{z \rightarrow e^{3i\pi/4}} f(z) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{3i\pi/4}} \frac{1}{4z^3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4[e^{3i\pi/4}]^3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4[e^{9i\pi/4}]} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{-9i\pi/4} = \frac{1}{4} e^{-i[8\pi/4 + \pi/4]} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} [\cos(360 + 45) - \sin(360 + 45)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \cos \frac{\pi}{4} - i \sin \frac{\pi}{4} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right] \\
 R_2 &= \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} [1 - i]
 \end{aligned}$$

Sub  $R_1$  &  $R_2$  in eqn (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{x^4 + 1} &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{-1}{4\sqrt{2}} (1 + i) + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} (1 - i) \right] \\
 &= \frac{2\pi i}{4\sqrt{2}} [-x - i + x - i] \\
 &= \frac{\pi i}{2\sqrt{2}} [-2i] \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

We know that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^4 + 1} dz &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^2 + 1} dz \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \right) = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

**4. Prove that**  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x^2 + 4x + 5} dx = \frac{-\pi}{e} \sin z$

**Solution:**

Take the contour  $C: \Gamma UL$

where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$

&  $L: [-R, R]$



consider,

$$\int_C \frac{\sin z}{z^2 + 4z + 5} dz = \int_\Gamma \frac{\sin z}{z^2 + 4z + 5} dz + \int_L \frac{\sin z}{z^2 + 4z + 5} dz$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} = \int \frac{Ime^{ix}}{x^2 + 4x + 5} dx$$

$$= I_m \int \frac{e^{ix}}{x^2 + 4x + 5} dx$$

poles are given by

$$= \frac{-4 \pm \sqrt{16 - 4 \times 5 \times 1}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{-4 \pm \sqrt{-4}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{-4 \pm \sqrt{4i^2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{-4 \pm 2i}{2}$$

$$= -2 \pm i$$

$$= (-2 + i)(-2 - i)$$

Among these poles  $x = (-2 + i)$  only lies inside  $c$ .

To find  $R_1$  &  $R_2$  :-

$$R_1 = \text{Res}_{z \rightarrow (-2+i)} f(z)$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow (-2+i)} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow (-2+i)} \frac{e^{iz}}{2z + 4}$$

$$= \frac{e^{i(-2+i)}}{2(-2 + i) + 4}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-2i+i^2}}{2i + 2i + 4}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-1-2i}}{2i}$$

$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$



$$\begin{aligned}
 &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{e^{-1} \cdot e^{-2i}}{2i} \right] \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{e} e^{-2i} \\
 &= \operatorname{Im} \frac{\pi}{e} [\cos 2 - i \sin 2]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking only the imaginary part,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{\pi}{e} [-\sin 2] \\
 &= \frac{-\pi}{e} \sin 2.
 \end{aligned}$$

### Type III:

Integral of the form  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin mx f(x) dx$  (or)  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos mx f(x) dx$ . where (i)  $m \geq 0$

(ii)  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 0$ , (iii)  $f(z)$  does not have poles on real axis.

#### proof:

Let  $c = \Gamma \cup L$

$\Gamma: |z| = R$

= upper semicircle

$L = [-R, R]$

Consider,  $\int_c e^{imz} f(z) dz = 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots]$

Jordan's Lemma:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} e^{imz} f(z) dz = 0$$

where  $\Gamma: |z| = R =$  upper Semicircle.

#### Problem 1:

1. Evaluate (a)  $\int_a^x \frac{\cos x}{x^2+a^2} dx$ , where a real

(b)  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx}{x^2+a^2} dx = \frac{\pi e^{-ma}}{2a}$  where  $m > 0$   
 $a > 0$ ,

(c)  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx}{x^2+1} dx = \frac{\pi e^{-m}}{2}$  where  $m > 0$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $c = \Gamma \cup L$

Where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$  (upper Semicircle)

$L = [-R, R]$

Consider,



$$\int_C \frac{e^{imz}}{z^2+a^2} dz = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{imz}}{z^2+a^2} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{imx}}{x^2+a^2} dx = 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \quad \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

poles are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} z^2 + a^2 &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow z^2 &= -a^2 \\ \Rightarrow z &= \pm ai \end{aligned}$$

Take  $a > 0$ ,

$\therefore$  The simple pole  $z = i$  alone lies inside 'c'

[where  $a < 0$ , The Simple pole  $z = -ai$  alone lies inside 'c'].

To find  $R$ :

We know that,

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \text{Res } f(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} \frac{e^{imz}}{2z} \\ &= \frac{e^{imai}}{2ai} = \frac{e^{mai^2}}{2ai} = \frac{e^{-ma}}{2ai} \dots \dots \dots (2). \end{aligned}$$

clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{z^2 + a^2} &= 0 \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{imz}}{z^2 + a^2} dz &= 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

(by Jordan's Lemma)

Sub(2) in (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{imz}}{z^2 + a^2} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{e^{imx}}{x^2 + a^2} dx &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{e^{-ma}}{2ai} \right] \\ &= \pi \left[ \frac{e^{-ma}}{a} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\lim$  & using  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in equation (3)

$$\therefore \text{ We have. } 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx + i \sin mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-ma}$$

(b) equating real parts in (4)



$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-ma}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{a} e^{-ma}$$

To get (a)

put  $m = 1$  in (5)

$$\therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos x}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2a} e^{-ma} \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

To get (a)

put  $a = 1$  in (5)

$$\therefore (5) \Rightarrow \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx}{x^2 + 1} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-m}$$

**Note:**

Equating imaginary parts in (4) we get.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx = 0.$$

**Problem 2:**

Evaluate (a)  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x \sin mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-a}$ . where  $a > 0$

(b)  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x \sin ax}{x^2 + 4} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-2a}$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $c = \Gamma UL$

Where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$  (Semi circle)

$L = [-R, R]$

consider,

$$\int_c \frac{z \sin mz}{z^2 + a^2} dz = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z \sin mz}{z^2 + a^2} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{x \sin mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx$$

$$= 2\pi i [R_1 + R_2 + \dots] \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

poles are given by,

$$z^2 + a^2 = 0$$

$$z^2 = -a^2$$

$$z = \pm a i$$



Take,  $a > 0$

$\therefore$  The simple poles  $z = ai$  alone lies inside '  $c$  '.

To find  $R_1$   $\therefore$

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= \operatorname{Res} f(z) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} \frac{ze^{imz}}{2z} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} \frac{ze^{imz}}{2z} \\
 &= \frac{aie^{imai}}{2ai} \\
 &= \frac{aie^{-ma}}{2ai}
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z}{z^2 + a^2} dz = 0.$$

$$\text{By Jordan is Lemma, } \Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{ze^{imz}}{z^2 + a^2} dz = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

Sub (2) in (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{imz}}{z^2 + a^2} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{xe^{imx}}{x^2 + a^2} dx &= \pi i \left[ \frac{e^{-ma}}{\psi} \right] \\
 &= \pi i [e^{-ma}]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking lim & using  $R \rightarrow \infty$  in eq n (3).

$$\text{We have, } 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x(\cos mx + i \sin mx)}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \pi i [e^{-ma}]$$

Equating imaginary parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x \sin mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx &= \pi e^{-ma} \\
 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x \sin mx}{x^2 + a^2} dx &= \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-ma} \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

To get (a): put  $m = 1$  in (4),

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x \sin x}{x^2 + a^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-a}$$

To get (b):- put  $m = a, a = 2$  in (4)

$$\text{and } \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x \sin ax}{x^2 + 4} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-2a}$$



$$(3) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x dx}{(x^2+a^2)(x^2+b^2)} = \frac{\pi}{(a^2-b^2)} \left[ \frac{e^{-b}}{b} - \frac{e^{-a}}{a} \right], \text{ where } a > b > 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x \sin x}{(x^2+a^2)(x^2+b^2)} dx = \frac{\pi}{3} \left[ \frac{1}{e} - \frac{1}{e^2} \right]$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\cos mx}{(x^2+a^2)^2} dx = \frac{\pi}{4a^3} (1+ma)e^{-ma}, \text{ where } m > 0, a > 0.$$

**Type-1V:**

Integral of the form  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n f(x) dx$  where  $f(z)$  has finite number of poles on the real axis

Let  $z = a$  be a simple pole of  $f(z)$  with residue  $k$ .

Let  $\widehat{AB}: |z - a| = r, \alpha \leq \arg(z - a) \leq \beta$

Then  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\widehat{AB}} f(z) dz = ik(\beta - \alpha)$ .

**Problems:**

1. Prove that  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n f(x) dx$

**proof:**

consider  $\int_c \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz$

poles are given by,  $z = 0$ .

which is a simple pole lying on real axis.

$$C = \Gamma \cup L_1 \cup \gamma \cup L_2$$

where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$  in the upper Semi-circle (half-plane)

$\gamma: |z| = r$  in the upper half-plane

$$L_1 = [-R, -r]$$

$$L_2 = [r, R].$$

Clearly  $\frac{e^{iz}}{z}$  is analytic inside and on  $c$

By Cauchy's Theorem.

$$\int_c \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz = 0$$

$$\int_r + \int_{L_1} + \int_{\gamma} + \int_{L_2} = 0$$

$$\text{(i.e.,)} \int_r \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz + \int_{-R}^{-r} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx - \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz + \int_r^R \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dz = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

By Jordan's Lemma,





$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{z} = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$k = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{iz}}{1} = \frac{e^{i0}}{1} = 1$$

(i.e.,)  $K = 1$ ,

By Result,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{e^{iz}}{z} dz = ik(\beta - \alpha)$$

$$= i(1)(\pi - 0)$$

$$= i\pi \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$  and  $r \rightarrow 0$  in (1) and using (2) & (3) we get,

$$= 0 + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx - i\pi + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx = 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx = i\pi$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\cos x + i\sin x}{x} dx = i\pi$$

Equating Imaginary parts.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \pi$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x \sin x}{x} dx = \pi$$

$$2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \pi$$

$$\therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin x}{x} dx = \frac{\pi}{2}$$

(2) Prove that (a)  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx = \pi \cot p\pi$  ( $0 < p < 1$ )

(b)  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1+x} dx = \frac{\pi}{\sin p\pi}$  ( $0 < p < 1$ )

**Proof:**

consider  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz$

The poles are  $z = 0$  and  $1 - z = 0$ .



(i.e.,)  $z = 0$  and  $z = 1$  are simple poles which lie on the real axis.

$$C = \Gamma \cup L_1 \cup \gamma_1 \cup L_2 \cup \gamma_2 \cup L_3$$

$\frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z}$  is analytic inside and on  $C$ .

$\therefore$  By Cauchy's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz &= 0 \\ \int_\Gamma + \int_{L_1} + \int_{\gamma_1} + \int_{L_2} + \int_{\gamma_2} + \int_{L_3} &= 0 \\ \text{(ie)} \int_\Gamma \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz + \int_{-R}^{-r_1} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx - \int_{-r_1}^{r_1} \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz \\ + \int_{r_1}^{1-r_2} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx - \int_{1-r_2}^{1+r_2} \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz + \int_{1+\gamma_2}^R \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \cdot \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^p}{1-z} \\ &= 0 \quad (0 < p < 1) \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma f(z) dz &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Gamma \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

By result

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma_1^+} \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz &= ik_1(\beta - \alpha) \\ k_1 &= \text{Res} \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z^{p-1}}{x^{p-1}} \left( \because \frac{p(z)}{Q'(z)} \right) \\ k_1 = 0, \quad \lim_{r_1 \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz &= 0 \dots \dots \dots (2) \\ \lim_{r_2 \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{z^{p-1}}{1-z} dz &= ik_2(\beta - \alpha) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 k_2 &= \text{Res} \frac{z^{P-1}}{1-z} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (z-1) \frac{z^{P-1}}{1-z} \\
 &= (-1)1^{P-1} \\
 k_2 &= -1
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{r_2 \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{z^{P-1}}{1-z} dz = i(-1)(\pi - 0) = -\pi i \quad \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$  and  $r_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $r_2 \rightarrow 0$  in (1) and using (2) and (3) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx - 0 + \int_0^1 \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx - (-i\pi) + \int_1^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx &= 0 \\
 \Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx &= -i\pi \rightarrow (-4) \\
 I_1 + I_2 &= -i\pi \rightarrow (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

In  $I_1$  : put  $x = -x$

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{(-x)^{p-1}}{1+x} (-dx) \\
 &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p-1}(x)^{p-1}}{1+x} dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(i.e.,)} \quad I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p-1}(x)^{p-1}}{1+x} dx$$

Sub in (4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{p-1}x^{p-1}}{1+x} dx + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx &= -i\pi \\
 \text{multiply } (-1) \Rightarrow (-1)^P I_3 - I_2 &= i\pi
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } I_3 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1+x} dx$$

$$(\cos \pi + i \sin \pi)^P I_3 - I_2 = i\pi$$

$$(\cos p\pi + i \sin p\pi)I_3 - I_2 = i\pi \quad \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

Equating Imaginary part and Real part in (5)



$$I.P: \sin P\pi I_3, \quad \therefore I_3 = \frac{\pi}{\sin p\pi} \dots \dots \dots (6)$$

$$R.P: \cos P\pi I_3 - I_2 = 0$$

$$\cos p\pi \cdot \frac{\pi}{\sin p\pi} = I_3$$

$$\therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-1}}{1-x} dx = \pi \cot p\pi$$

**5. Evaluate**  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx$

**Proof:**

$$\text{consider } f(z) = \frac{\log z}{1+z^2}$$

poles are given by,

$$1 + z^2 = 0$$

$$z^2 = -1$$

$z = \pm i$  are simple poles.

$z = 0$  is a branch point of  $\log z$

Let  $c = \Gamma \cup L_1 \cup \gamma \cup L_2$

$z = i$  alone lies inside  $c$

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 = \text{Res}_{z=i} f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{P(z)}{Q'(z)} \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{\log z}{2z} \\ &= \frac{\log i}{2i} \\ &= \frac{\log e^{i\pi/2}}{2i} \quad [i = \cos \pi/2 + i \sin \pi/2 = e^{i\pi/2}] \\ &= \frac{i\pi/2 \log e}{2i} \\ R_1 &= \pi/4 \end{aligned}$$

By Residue Theorem,

$$\int_c f(z) dz = 2\pi i (R_1 + R_2 + \dots)$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} + \int_{L_1} + \int_{\gamma} + \int_{L_2} = 2\pi i (\pi/4) = \frac{\pi^2 i}{2}$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\log z}{1+z^2} dz + \int_{-R}^{-r} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\log z}{1+z^2} dz + \int_r^R \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{i\pi^2}{2} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$



Where  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{\log z}{1+z^2}$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log z}{z} \frac{z^2}{1+z^2}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log z}{z} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^2}{1+z^2}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log z}{z} \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^2}{z^2(1+1/z^2)}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log z}{z} \frac{1}{0+1}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/z}{1}$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) = 0$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\log z}{1+z^2} dz = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\log z}{1+z^2} dz &= ik(\beta - \alpha) \\ &= ik(\pi - 0) = ik\pi \quad \dots\dots\dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \text{Res}_{z=0} f(z)$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (z - 0)f(z)$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} z \cdot \frac{\log z}{1+z^2}$$

put  $z = 1/t$ , as  $z \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow t \rightarrow \infty$

$$k = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{\log(1/t)}{1+1/t^2}$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \frac{\log 1 - \log t}{\left(\frac{t^2+1}{t^2}\right)}$$

$$= -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \frac{\log t}{t^2+1}$$

$$= -\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \cdot \frac{\log t}{t^2+1}$$

$$k = -0 \text{ (as in (A))}$$

$$\text{Sub in (3)} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\log z}{1+z^2} dz = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

Taking  $\lim R \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow 0$  in (1) and using (2) and (4)



$$0 + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx - 0 + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{i\pi^2}{2}$$

put  $x = -t \Rightarrow dx = -dt$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(-t)}{1+t^2} (-dt) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{i\pi^2}{2}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(-1) + \log t}{1+t^2} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{i\pi^2}{2}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log e^{i\pi}}{1+t^2} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log t}{1+t^2} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{i\pi^2}{2}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{i\pi}{1+t^2} dt + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = \frac{i\pi^2}{2}$$

$$R \cdot P \therefore 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = 0$$

$$\therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log x}{1+x^2} dx = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

$$I.P \therefore \pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^2} = \pi^2/2$$

$$\therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dx}{1+x^2} = \pi/2$$

**6. Evaluate**  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx$

**Proof:**

consider  $f(z) = \frac{z^{1/3}}{1+z^2} = \frac{P(z)}{Q(z)}$

poles are given by,

$$1 + z^2 = 0$$

$$z^2 = -1$$

$z = \pm i$  are simple poles

$z^{1/3}$  is a inane valued function and  $z = 0$  is a branch point.

$$C = \Gamma \cup L_1 \cup \gamma \cup L_2$$

The simple pole  $z = i$  alone lies inside  $C$



$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &= \text{Res}_{z=i} f(z) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{z^{1/3}}{1+z^2} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow i} \frac{z^{1/3}}{2z} = \frac{p(z)}{2'(z)} \\
 &= \frac{i^{1/3}}{2i} \\
 &= \frac{(e^{i\pi/2})^{1/3}}{2i} \\
 R_1 &= \frac{e^{i\pi/6}}{2i}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Residue Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_C f(z) dz &= 2\pi i \left( \frac{e^{i\pi/6}}{2i} \right) \\
 &= \pi e^{i\pi/6} \dots \dots \dots (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{z^{1/3}}{1+z^2} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^{4/3}}{z^2(1+\frac{1}{z^2})} \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z^{4/3}}{z^{2/3}(1+\frac{1}{z^2})} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1+z^2} \frac{z^{1/3}}{z} dz = 0 \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} f(z) dz &= ik(\beta - \alpha) \\
 &= ik(\pi - 0) = ik\pi \dots \dots \dots (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $k = \text{Res}_{z=0} f(z)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (z-0) \frac{z^{1/3}}{1+z^2} \\
 &= \frac{0}{1+0} = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Sub in (3), } \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^{1/3}}{1+z^2} dz = 0 \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

Taking  $\lim R \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow 0$  in (1) and using (2) & (4), we get,



$$0 + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx - 0 + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

Put  $x = -t \Rightarrow dx = -dt$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{(-t)^{1/3}}{1+(-t)^2} (-dt) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{1/3} t^{1/3}}{1+t^2} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} dx = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{1/3}}{1+x^2} [(-1)^{1/3} + 1] dx = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$I[(e^{i\pi})^{1/3} + 1] = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$I[e^{i\pi/3} + 1] = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$I[\cos \pi/3 + i \sin \pi/3] = \pi e^{i\pi/6}$$

$$I[(1 + \cos \pi/3) + i \sin \pi/3] = \pi[\cos \pi/6 + i \sin \pi/6]$$

$$R \cdot P: I[1 + 1/2] = \frac{\pi\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

$$\therefore I = \pi/\sqrt{3}$$

$$I \cdot P: I\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right] = \pi \cdot 1/2$$

$$I = \pi/\sqrt{3}$$

**7. Evaluate**  $\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx, 0 < \alpha < 1$

**Solution:**

Consider  $f(z) = \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}}$

Singularities of  $f(z)$  are given by,

$$z^{1+\alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow z = 0$$

Which lies on red axis.

$$C = \Gamma \cup L_1 \cup \gamma \cup L_2$$

$f(z)$  is analytic inside and on  $C$ .

By Cauchy Theorem,





$$\int_c f(z) dz = 0$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} + \int_{L_1} + \int_2 + \int_{L_2} = 0.$$

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}} dz + \int_{-R}^{-\gamma} \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx - \int_{-\gamma}^{\gamma} \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}} dz + \int_{\gamma}^R \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx = 0$$

..... (1)

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log[z^2(\frac{1}{z^2} + 1)]}{z^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log[z^2] + \log(1/z^2 + 1)}{z^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \log z + \log(1/z^2 + 1)}{z^{\alpha}}$$

$$= 2 \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/z}{\alpha z^{\alpha-1}} + \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{z^{\alpha}} \log(1/z^2 + 1)$$

$$= 2(0) + 0 = 0$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}} dz = ik(\beta - \alpha)$$

$$= ik(\pi - 0)$$

$$= ik\pi \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$k = \text{Res}_{z=0} f(z)$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} (z - 0) \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{(z^2) - (z^2)^2/2 + (z^2)^3/3}{z^{\alpha}}$$

$$= 0, (\because 0 < \alpha < 1)$$



$$\text{Sub in (3), } \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\log(1+z^2)}{z^{1+\alpha}} dz = 0$$

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty, r \rightarrow 0}$  in (1) and using (2) & (4) we get,

$$0 + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx - 0 + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx = 0$$

put  $x = -t \Rightarrow dx = -dt$

$$\int_{\infty}^0 \frac{\log(1+(-t)^2)}{(-t)^{1+\alpha}} (-dt) + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx = 0$$

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+t^2)}{(-1)^{1+\alpha} t^{1+\alpha}} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+x^2)}{x^{1+\alpha}} dx = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{(-1)(-1)^{\alpha}} I + I = 0 \left[ \because \frac{-1}{(e^{i\pi})^{\alpha}} + 1 \neq 0, (e^{i\pi})^{\alpha} \neq 1, 0 < \alpha < 1 \right]$$

$$I \left[ \frac{-1}{(e^{i\pi})^{\alpha}} + 1 \right] = 0 \Rightarrow I = 0$$

## Type- II

1. Evaluate  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{(x^2+a^2)^3} dx$ ,  $a$  real

**Solution:**

Consider the contour  $C = \Gamma UL$ .

Where  $\Gamma: |z| = R$  (upper Semi-circle)

$$L = [-R, R]$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C=\Gamma \cup L} \frac{z^2}{(z^2+a^2)^3} dz &= \int_{\Gamma} + \int_L \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^2}{(z^2+a^2)^3} dz + \int_{-R}^R \frac{x^2}{(x^2+a^2)^3} dx \quad \dots\dots\dots (1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} z f(z) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \cdot \frac{z^2}{(z^2+a^2)^3} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) dz = 0$$

Sub in (1).

Taking  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_C \frac{z^2}{(z^2+a^2)^3} dz &= 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{(x^2+a^2)^3} dx \\ &= 2\pi i (R_1 + R_2 + \dots) \quad \dots\dots\dots (2) \end{aligned}$$



poles are given by,

$$\begin{aligned}(z^2 + a^2)^3 &= 0 \\ (z^2 + a^2) &= 0 \text{ thrice,} \\ z^2 &= -a^2 \text{ thrice,} \\ z &= \pm ai \text{ thrice.}\end{aligned}$$

Among these poles,

$Z = ia$  alone lies inside  $c$  and it is a pole of order 3. [ take  $a > 0$  ]

$$R_1 = \text{Res}_{z=ai} f(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} \frac{1}{2} D^{3-1} (z - ia)^3 \frac{z^2}{(z + ia)^3 (z - ia)^3} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow ai} D^2 (z^2) (z + ia)^{-3} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow ia} D [2z(z + ia)^{-3} + z^2(-3)(z + ia)^{-4}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{z \rightarrow ia} 2[1(z + ia)^{-3} + z(-3)(z + ia)^{-4}] - 3[2z(z + ia)^{-4} + z^2(-4)(z + ia)^{-5}] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2ia)^3} - \frac{3ia}{(2ia)^4} - \frac{3}{2} \left[ \frac{2ia}{(2ia)^4} - \frac{4(ia)^2}{(2ia)^5} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{-8ia^3} - \frac{3}{16(-ia)^3} - \frac{3}{16} \left[ \frac{1}{(-ia)^3} \right] + \frac{6}{32(-ia)^3} \\ &= \frac{4 - 6 - 6 + 6}{-32ia^3} = \frac{-2}{-32ia^3} \\ &\Rightarrow R_1 = \frac{1}{16ia^3}\end{aligned}$$

Sub in (2),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{(x^2 + a^2)^3} dx &= 2\pi i \left[ \frac{1}{16ia^3} \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi}{8a^3}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbf{2. Evaluate :} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^2 dx}{(x^2+9)(x^2+4)^2} = \frac{\pi}{200}$$

**Solution:**

Let  $c = \Gamma UL$

Consider,

$$\int_c \frac{z^2 dz}{(z^2 + 9)(z^2 + 4)^2} = \int_{\Gamma} + \int_L$$



Taking  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_C \frac{z^2 dz}{(z^2 + 9)(z^2 + 4)^2} = 0 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2 dx}{(x^2 + 9)(x^2 + 4)^2}$$

$$= 2\pi i(R_1 + R_2 + \dots) \dots \dots (1)$$

Poles are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} (z^2 + 9)(z^2 + 4)^2 &= 0 \\ z^2 &= -9, z^2 = -4 \text{ twice} \\ z &= \pm 3i, z = \pm 2i \text{ twice} \end{aligned}$$

Among these poles.

$z = 3i$  (of order 1) and

$z = 2i$  (of order 2) lies inside  $C$ .

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \text{Res}_{z=3i} f(z) \\ &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 3i} (z - 3i) \frac{z^2}{(z + 3i)(z - 3i)(z^2 + 4)^2} \\ &= \frac{(3i)^2}{(6i)(-9 + 4)^2} \\ &= \frac{-9}{6i(25)} \\ R_1 &= \frac{-3}{50i} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 R_2 &= \text{Res}_{z=2i} f(z) \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 2i} \frac{1}{2!} D^{2-1} \left[ (z-2i)^2 \frac{z^2}{(z^2+9)(2+2i)(z-2i)^2} \right] \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 2i} \frac{1}{1} D \left[ \frac{z^2}{(z^2+a)(z+2i)^2} \right] \\
 &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 2i} \frac{(z^2+a)(z+2i)^2(2z) - z^2[2z(z+2i)^2 + (z^2+9)2(2+2i)]}{(z^2+a)^2(z+2i)^4} \\
 &= \frac{(-4+9)(-16)(4i) + 4[4i(-16) + (-4+9)2(4i)]}{(-4+a)^2(256)} \\
 &= \frac{-320i - 96i}{25(256)} \\
 &= \frac{-416i}{25 \times 256} \\
 &= \frac{-13i}{200} \\
 R_1 + R_2 &= \frac{-3}{50i} - \frac{13i}{200} \\
 &= \frac{12i - 13i}{200} \\
 &= \frac{-i}{200}
 \end{aligned}$$

Sub in (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2 dx}{(x^2+9)(x^2+4)^2} &= 2\pi i(R_1 + R_2) \\
 &= 2\pi i(-i/200) \\
 &= \frac{2\pi}{200} \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{100} \\
 \therefore \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^2 dx}{(x^2+9)(x^2+4)^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x^2}{(x^2+9)(x^2+4)^2} dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{100} \right) \\
 &= \frac{\pi}{200}
 \end{aligned}$$



## Harmonic Functions:

### 4.2. Definition and Basic Properties:

Any real valued function  $U(z)$  or  $U(x, y)$  defined and single valued in a region  $\Omega$  is said to be harmonic in  $\Omega$  if it is continuous with its partial derivatives of first two orders and satisfies the laplace's equation,

$$\nabla u = \nabla^2 u(x, y) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

$$\nabla u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

A Harmonic function is also called a potential function.

#### Note:

In polar co-ordinates the Laplace equation  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$  takes the form

$$r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r, \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

#### Properties:

(1) Prove that the Sum of two harmonic function is a harmonic function.

#### Proof:

Let  $u_1(x, y)$  and  $u_2(x, y)$  be any two harmonic function in  $\Omega$ .



$$\begin{aligned}\text{Let } u(x, y) &= u_1(x, y) + u_2(x, y) \\ \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}(u_1 + u_2) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}(u_1 + u_2) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_1}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial y^2} \\ &= 0 + 0 \quad [\because u_1 \text{ \& } u_2 \text{ are harmonic}] \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore u = u_1 + u_2$  is harmonic.

(2) Prove that a constant multiple of a harmonic function is also harmonic function.

**Proof:**

Let  $u$  be any harmonic function in  $\Omega$  and Let  $c$  be any constant.

Let  $\phi = cu$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y^2} &= \frac{\partial^2 (cu)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} (cu) \\ &= c \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + c \cdot \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \\ &= c \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \phi = cu$  is harmonic.

(3) Prove that a simplest harmonic function is  $ax+by$

**Proof:**

Let  $u = ax + by$



$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = a, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = b, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 + 0 = 0$$

is called harmonic function.

$\therefore u = ax + by$  is harmonic.

(4) Prove that a simplest harmonic function is  $e^x \sin y$

$$u(x, y) = e^x \sin y$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = e^x \sin y \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = e^x \sin y$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = e^x \cos y \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = -e^x \sin y$$

$$\Delta e^{2x} \sin y - e^x \sin y = 0$$

$$\Delta u = \nabla^2 u = 0.$$

is called harmonic function.

(5) prove that a function  $\log r$  is harmonic where  $r > u$ .

**Proof:**

$$u(r, \theta) = \log r$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{r}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 0, \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} &= r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \cdot \frac{1}{r} \right) + 0 \\ &= r \cdot 0 \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \log r$  is harmonic.

(6) Prove that the function  $a \log r + b$  is harmonic





Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(r, \theta) &= a \log r + b \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} &= \frac{a}{r} \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} &= 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0 \\
 r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} &= r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \cdot \frac{a}{r} \right) + 0 \\
 &= r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (a) \\
 &= r \cdot 0 \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore a \log r + b$  is harmonic.

(7) Prove that the argument  $\theta$  is harmonic function.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(r, \theta) &= \theta \\
 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} &= 0, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 1, \Rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} = 0 \\
 r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \left( r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} &= r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r, 0) + 0 \\
 &= 0. \quad \therefore \theta \text{ is harmonic.}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1:**

If  $u = u(x, y)$  is a harmonic in a region  $\Omega$ . Prove that  $f(z) = U_x - iU_y$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $f(z) = u + iv$

$$f(x) = u_x - iu_y \quad \dots \dots \dots (1) \quad [\because \text{given}]$$

$$u = u_x, v = -u_y \quad \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

clearly,



$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= u_{xx} \\ u_y &= u_{xy} \\ v_x &= -u_{yx} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_x - v_y &= u_{xx} + u_{yy} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$u_x = v_y \quad \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

$$u_y + v_x = u_{xy} - u_{yx} = 0$$

$$\therefore u_y = -v_x \quad \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

from (4) & (5)

$u$  and  $v$  satisfy Cauchy Riemann equation  $\dots \dots \dots (6)$

From (3) & (6)

$f(z) = u + iv = u_x - iu_y$  is analytic in  $\Omega$

**[Result:**

$$\begin{aligned} f(z)dz &= (u_x - iu_y)(dx + idy) \\ &= (u_x dx + u_y dy) + i(-u_y dx + u_x dy) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{R.P of } f(z)dz = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy = du$$

If  $u$  has a conjugate function  $v$ , then the imaginary part of  $f(z)dz$ .

$$\text{(i.e.,) I.P of } f(z)dz = -u_y dx + u_x dy$$

$$= v_x dx + v_y dy \quad [ \because V \text{ is conjugate harmonic of } u ]$$

$$= dv \quad [ \because u_x = v_y, u_y = -v_x ]$$



In general, however there is no single value conjugate function and in the circumstance it is better not to use the notation  $dv$ .

Instead,

$$* du = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dy$$

Called  $* du$  the conjugate differential of  $dv$ .

$$f(z)dz = du + i * du$$

$$\int_{\gamma} * du = \int_{\gamma} -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dy = 0$$

for all cycles  $\gamma$  which are homologous to zero in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z)dz = 0 \quad [\because f \text{ is analytic in } \Omega].$$

$$\int_{\gamma} du + i * du = 0$$

$$\int_{\gamma} du + i \int_{\gamma} * du = 0$$

$$0 + i \int_{\gamma} * du = 0 \quad [\because du = \text{exact diff and } \gamma \text{ is closed }].$$

for all cycles  $\gamma$  which are homologous to zero in  $\Omega$

**Theorem: 2**

If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are harmonic in a region  $\Omega$  then prove that  $\int_{\gamma} u_1 * du_2 - u_2 * du_1 = 0$  for every cycle  $\gamma$  which is homologous to zero in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

Given  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are harmonic in  $\Omega$ .



Let  $* du$ , and  $* du_2$  be the harmonic conjugate differential of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  respectively

$$\therefore * du_1 = dv_1 \text{ and } * du_2 = dv_2 \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 * du_2 - u_2 * du_1 &= u_1 dv_2 - u_2 dv_1 \\ &= u_1 dv_2 + (v_1 du_2 - v_1 du_2 - u_2 dv_1) \\ &= u_1 dv_2 + v_1 du_2 - (v_1 du_2 + u_2 dv_1) \\ u_1 * du_2 - u_2 * du_1 &= u_1 dv_2 + v_1 du_2 - d(u_2 v_1) \quad \dots\dots\dots(2) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f_1(z) = u_1 + iv_1$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(z) &= u_2 + iv_2 \\ \therefore f_2'(z) &= du_2 + idv_2 \\ f_1(z)f_2'(z) &= (u_1 + iv_1)(du_2 + idv_2) \\ &= (u_1 du_2 - v_1 dv_2) + i(u_1 dv_2 + v_1 du_2) \\ \therefore \text{Im}[f_1(z)f_2'(z)] &= u_1 du_2 + v_1 du_2 \end{aligned}$$

Sub in (2), and Integrate over  $\gamma$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} u_1 * du_2 - u_2 * du_1 &= \int_{\gamma} \text{Im } f_1(z) \cdot f_2'(z) dz - \int_{\gamma} d(u_2 v_1) \\ &= 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\int_{\gamma} \text{Im } f_1(z) f_2'(z) = 0$  [by Cauchy's Theorem]

[ $\therefore$  Im part of an analytic function is analytic] and  $\int_{\gamma} d(u_2, v_1) = 0$

[ $\therefore d(u_2, v_1)$  is exact diff].

**Result:**

If  $u$  is harmonic prove that  $\int_{\gamma} * du = 0$

**Proof:**

If  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are harmonic in  $\Omega$  then  $\int_{\gamma} u_1^* du_2 - u_2^* du_1 = 0$  [ $\therefore$  above theorem]

Take  $u_1 = 1$  and  $u_2 = u = \text{harmonic}$

$$\therefore \int_{\gamma} 1 * du - u \times d(1) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{(i.e.,)} \int_{\gamma} * du = 0$$



[Show in detail that the arithmetic mean of harmonic functions over concentric circles  $|z| = r$  is a linear function of  $\log r$  deduce mean Value property of harmonic functions in a disc  $|z| < R$ ]

### 4.3. The Mean-value property:

The arithmetic mean of a harmonic function over concentric circles  $|z| = r$  is a linear function of  $\log r$ ,  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} u d\theta = \alpha \log r + \beta$  and if  $u$  is harmonic in a disc,  $\alpha = 0$  and the arithmetic mean is a constant.

#### Proof:

Take  $\Omega$  as  $0 < |z| < \rho$

Take  $u_1 = \log r$  which is harmonic

Take  $u_2 = u =$  harmonic function in  $\Omega$ .

Take  $\gamma = c_1 - c_2$

Where  $c_1: |z| = r_1$  and  $c_2: |z| = r_2$

where  $r_1 < r_2$

Now,  $\gamma = 0 \pmod{\Omega}$

By the previous theorem,

$$\int_{\gamma} u_1 * du_2 - u_2 * du_1 = 0$$

$$\int_{c_1-c_2} \log r * du - u * d(\log r) = 0 \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

We know that (by result) on circle  $|z| = r$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} * du &= r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta \\ * d(\log r) &= r \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log r d\theta \end{aligned} \right\} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Sub (2) in (1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{c_1-c_2} \log r \cdot r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta - u \cdot r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\log r) d\theta = 0 \\ & \int_{c_1(or)|z|=r_1} \log r \cdot r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta - u \cdot r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\log r) d\theta \\ & = \int_{c_2(or)|z|=r_2} \log r \cdot r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta - ur \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\log r) d\theta \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned} & \log r_1 \int_{c_1} r_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta - \int_{c_1} u \gamma_1 \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_1} d\theta \\ &= \log r_2 \int_{c_2} r_2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta - \int_{c_2} u \cdot \gamma_2 \frac{1}{\gamma_2} d\theta \quad \dots\dots\dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if  $u$  is a harmonic function in the annulus

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &< |z| = r < r_2 \\ r_1 &< r < r_2 \end{aligned}$$

From (3) we get,

$$\int_{|z|=r} u d\theta - \log r \int_{|z|=r} r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta = \text{constant} = \beta' \text{ (say) } \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

Since  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} * du &= 0, \quad \forall \text{ cycle } r \equiv 0 \pmod{\Omega} \quad [\because \text{Result}] \\ \int_{c_1-c_2} r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta &= 0 \\ \int_{c_1} r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta &= \int_{c_2} r \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{|z|=r} r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} d\theta = \text{constant} = \alpha' \text{ (say) } \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

Sub (5) in (4)

$$\int_{|z|=r} u d\theta - \log r \cdot \alpha' = \beta'$$

$$\int_{|z|=r} u d\theta = \log r \cdot \alpha' + \beta'$$

$$\text{divide by } 2\pi, \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} u d\theta = \frac{\alpha'}{2\pi} \log r + \frac{\beta'}{2\pi}$$

$$\text{A. } m \text{ of } u \text{ over } |z| = r = \alpha \log r + \beta \quad \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

= Linear  $f_n$ . of  $\log r$ .

**II part:**

To prove that :  $\alpha = 0$  if  $u$  is harmonic throughout the disc  $|z| \leq r$ .



$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{\alpha'}{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} r \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \cdot d\theta \quad [\because (5)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} * du = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} dv \quad [\because * du = dv] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} (0) \quad [\because dv \text{ is exact diff}] \\ \alpha &= 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots (7) \end{aligned}$$

**III Part:**

If  $u$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$ . Then prove that A.m of  $u$  over  $|z| = r = \text{constant}$ .

**Proof:**

Sub (7) in (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=r} u d\theta &= 0 \cdot \log r + \beta \\ &= \beta \\ &= \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

(i.e.,) Arithmetic mean of  $u$  over  $|z| = r = \beta = \text{constant}$

**Theorem 1:**

**Maximum Principle for Harmonic Function**

A non-constant harmonic function has no maximum modulus in its region of definition consequently the maximum value on a closed bounded Set  $E$  is taken on the boundary of  $E$ .  
(or)

In  $u(z)$  is a non-constant harmonic function in the region  $\Omega$  then prove that  $|u(z)|$  has no maximum value in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

**Part-I**

Let  $u(z)$  be a non-constant harmonic function in the region  $\Omega$ .

To Prove that:  $\max|u(z)|$  is not obtained at any point in  $\Omega$ .

**Proof:**

Suppose the theorem is false. then there exist  $z_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $|u(z_0)|$  is maximum .....(1)

consider  $\gamma: |z - z_0| = r$  lying in  $\Omega$ .

$$\therefore z - z_0 = r e^{i\theta}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

$z = z_0 + r e^{i\theta}$  is any point on  $\gamma$

By mean value property of harmonic function  $u(z)$ .



$$u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

$$|u(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| |d\theta| \quad \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

$$\text{From (1), } |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| \leq |u(z_0)| \quad \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

To prove that  $|u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| = |u(z_0)|$

Suppose the inequality ( $<$ ) in (3) is true for a single value of  $\theta$ .

$\Rightarrow$  By continuity,

The inequality in (3) is true on an arc

$$\text{(i.e.,) } |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| < |u(z_0)| \text{ on an arc of } \gamma \quad \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

From (2)

$$|u(z_0)| \leq \text{A.M of } |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| \text{ on } \gamma \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)$$

From (4)

$$\text{A.M of } |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| < |u(z_0)| \quad \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

Sub (5) in (6)

$$|u(z_0)| < |u(z_0)|$$

This contradiction prove that our assumption

$$|u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| < |u(z_0)| \text{ in } \gamma.$$

This is true for all  $\gamma \geq 0$ .

$\therefore |u(z)|$  is a constant and equal to  $|u(z_0)|$  is a nod of  $z_0$ .

$\therefore u(z)$  is a constant in a neighbourhood of  $z_0$  and hence in  $\Omega$ .

This contradiction proves that  $\max|u(z)|$  is not attained at any point  $z_0$  in  $\Omega$ .

### Part - II

Let  $E$  be a closed bounded set

Since  $U(z)$  is harmonic, it is continuous and hence maximum modulus  $u(z)$  is taken at Some point of  $E$ .

By,  $\text{Max}|u(z)|$  is not attained at any interior point of  $E$

$\therefore \text{Max } |u(z)|$  is attained at some point on the boundary of  $E$  :

### Theorem 2: (Minimum Principle for Harmonic Function)

A non-constant harmonic function has no minimum modulus in its region of definition consequently the minimum on a closed set  $E$  is taken an the boundary of  $E$ .





**Proof:**

Let  $u(z)$  be a harmonic function in a region  $\Omega$  then,

$V(z) = -u(z)$  is harmonic in  $\Omega$

Applying Max. principle to  $v(z) = -u(z)$ .

we get, Minimum principle for  $u(z)$

**4.4. Poisson's Formula:**

**Theorem: 1 (Poisson's Formula for Harmonic Function)**

If (i)  $u(z)$  is harmonic for  $|z| < R$  and

(ii)  $u(z)$  is continuous for  $|z| \leq R$

then,  $u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{R^2 - |a|^2}{|z-a|^2} u(z) d\theta, \quad \forall |a| < R.$

**Proof:**

Let  $u(z)$  be Harmonic for  $|z| \leq R$ .

we know that,

the linear transformation  $Z = S(\zeta) = \frac{R(R\zeta+a)}{R+\bar{a}\zeta} \dots\dots\dots(1)$

Maps  $|\zeta| \leq 1$  onto  $|z| \leq R$

when  $\zeta = 0$ ,

$$z = \frac{R(0 + a)}{R} = \frac{Ra}{R} = a \Rightarrow z = a$$

$\zeta = 0$  corresponds to  $z = a \dots\dots\dots(2)$

Since  $u(z)$  is harmonic for  $|z| \leq R$ .

$u(S(\zeta))$  is harmonic in  $|\zeta| \leq 1$  [ $\because$  (1)]

We know that,

$$u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

$$u(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z) d\theta \quad [\because z_0 = re^{i\theta}, z_0 = 0 \Rightarrow r = 0]$$

$$u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=1} u(s(\zeta)) d(\arg(\zeta)) \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

From (1),



$$\begin{aligned}
 z(R + \bar{a}\zeta) &= R(R\zeta + a) \\
 zR - Ra &= R^2\zeta - z\bar{a}\zeta \\
 R(z - a) &= \zeta(R^2 - \bar{a}z) \\
 \zeta &= \frac{R(z - a)}{(R^2 - \bar{a}z)} \dots\dots\dots(5)
 \end{aligned}$$

in the inverse transformation of (1)

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\zeta| &= 1 \Rightarrow \zeta = 1 \cdot e^{i\phi} \\
 \Rightarrow \log \zeta &= i\phi \log e = i\phi \cdot 1
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking differentials

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\zeta} d\zeta &= id\phi \\
 d\phi &= \frac{1}{i} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} \\
 d(\arg \phi) = d\phi &= -i \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} \dots\dots\dots(6)
 \end{aligned}$$

taking log in (5),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \zeta &= \log(R(z - a)) - \log(R^2 - \bar{a}z) \\
 &= \log R + \log(z - a) - \log(R^2 - \bar{a}z)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking differentials,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\zeta} d\zeta &= 0 + \frac{1}{z-a} dz - \frac{1}{R^2 - \bar{a}z} (-\bar{a}) dz \\
 \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} &= \left( \frac{1}{z-a} + \frac{\bar{a}}{R^2 - \bar{a}z} \right) dz \dots\dots\dots(7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $|z| = R \Rightarrow z = Re^{i\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 dz &= Re^{i\theta} id\theta \\
 dz &= zid\theta \dots\dots\dots(8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Sub (8) in (7)

$$\frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} = \left( \frac{1}{z-a} + \frac{\bar{a}}{R^2 - \bar{a}z} \right) izd\theta \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

Sub (9) in (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\arg \zeta) &= -i \left( \frac{1}{z-a} + \frac{\bar{a}}{R^2 - \bar{a}z} \right) izd\theta \\
 &= \left( \frac{z}{z-a} + \frac{z\bar{a}}{R^2 - \bar{a}z} \right) d\theta \\
 &= \left( \frac{z}{z-a} + \frac{z\bar{a}}{z\bar{z} - \bar{a}z} \right) d\theta \quad [\because R^2 = |z|^2 = z\bar{z}]
 \end{aligned}$$



$$= \left( \frac{z}{z-a} + \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{z}-\bar{a}} \right) d\theta \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

$$\text{Sub (10) in (4)} \quad u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=1} u(S(\zeta)) \frac{R^2-|a|^2}{|z-a|^2} d\theta \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

**Corollary:1 (Another form of Poisson Formula)**

Prove that  $u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=R} \text{Re} \left( \frac{z+a}{z-a} \right) u(z) d\theta$ .

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re} \left( \frac{z+a}{z-a} \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{z+a}{z-a} + \overline{\left( \frac{z+a}{z-a} \right)} \right] \quad \left[ \because \text{Re } z = \frac{z+\bar{z}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{z+a}{z-a} + \frac{\bar{z}+\bar{a}}{\bar{z}-\bar{a}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(z+a)(\bar{z}-\bar{a}) + (z-a)(\bar{z}+\bar{a})}{(z-a)(\bar{z}-\bar{a})} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{z\bar{z} - z\bar{a} + a\bar{z} - a\bar{a} + z\bar{z} + z\bar{a} - a\bar{z} - a\bar{a}}{(z-a)(\bar{z}-\bar{a})} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{|z|^2 - |a|^2 + |z|^2 - |a|^2}{|z-a|^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ 2 \frac{R^2 - |a|^2}{|z-a|^2} \right] \dots\dots\dots (12) \end{aligned}$$

Sub (12) in (11)

$$u(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=R} \text{Re} \left( \frac{z+a}{z-a} \right) u(z) d\theta \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

**Corollary:2**

Poisson Formula for Harmonic function in Polar Co-ordinates

Prove that  $u(re^{i\phi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{R^2-r^2}{R^2-2rR\cos(\theta-\phi)+r^2} u(Re^{i\theta}) d\theta$

**Proof:**

In equation (11) [previous Theorem]

circle  $|z| = R \Rightarrow z = Re^{i\theta}, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$

Let  $a = re^{i\phi} \Rightarrow |a| = r$ .



$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R^2 - |a|^2}{|z - a|^2} &= \frac{R^2 - r^2}{(z - a)(\bar{z} - \bar{a})} \quad [\because |a|^2 = r^2] \\ &= \frac{R^2 - r^2}{(Re^{i\theta} - re^{i\phi})(Re^{i\theta} - re^{-i\phi})} \\ &= \frac{R^2 - Re^{i\theta}re^{-i\phi} - re^{i\phi}Re^{-i\theta} + r^2}{R^2 - r^2} \\ &= \frac{R^2 - rR[e^{i(\theta-\phi)} + e^{-i(\theta-\phi)}] + r^2}{R^2 - rR[2 \cos(\theta-\phi)] + r^2} \quad \dots\dots\dots (14) \end{aligned}$$

Sub (14) and  $z = Re^{i\theta}$  in (11)

$$u(r, e^{i\phi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{R^2 - r^2}{R^2 - 2rR \cos(\theta - \phi) + r^2} u(Re^{i\theta}) d\theta. \quad \dots\dots\dots(15)$$

**Note:**

(11), (13), (15) are three different forms of Poisson formula for Harmonic functions

**Corollary:3 (Derive Schwarz's Formula)**

We know that, the another form of Poisson formula.

$$\begin{aligned} u(a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=R} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z+a}{z-a} \right) u(z) d\theta \\ u(a) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z|=R} \left( \frac{z+a}{z-a} \right) u(z) d\theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $|\zeta| = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= e^{i\theta} \\ d\zeta &= e^{i\theta} \cdot i d\theta \\ \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta i} &= d\theta \end{aligned}$$

Changing a by z and z by  $\zeta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|\zeta|=R} \left( \frac{\zeta+z}{\zeta-z} \right) u(\zeta) d\theta \right) \\ u(z) &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=R} \left( \frac{\zeta+z}{\zeta-z} \right) u(\zeta) d\theta \right) \end{aligned}$$

The expression in the bracket is an analytic function of z.

It follows that  $u(z)$  is the real part of  $f(z)$ ,



Where  $u(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta|=R} \left( \frac{\zeta+z}{\zeta-z} \right) u(\zeta) d\theta \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta} + ic$

[ Note that  $u(z) = 0$ , and  $u(z)$  is harmonic then if possible to find  $v(z)$  harmonic function.

Such that  $f(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$  is analytic]

Where  $C$  is an arbitrary real constant.

**Theorem 2: (Maximum Principle on Harmonic Function)**

A non-constant harmonic function has no maximum modulus in the region of definition

Consequently the maximum value on a closed bounded set  $E$  is taken on boundary of  $E$ .

**Proof:**

**Part - I**

Let  $u(z)$  is non-constant harmonic function in the region  $\Omega$

To prove that  $\text{Max } |u(z)|$  is not obtained at any in  $\Omega$

Since  $u(z)$  in continuous,  $|u(z)|$  is also continuous in the given closed and bounded. region  $\Omega$  and hence attains its bounds.

(i.e.,)  $|u(z)| \leq M$ , for all  $z \in \Omega$  and  $M > 0$  .....(1)

If possible,

Let  $|u(z)|$  attain its maximum value  $M$  at some interior point,  $z_0 \in \Omega$ .

(i.e.,)  $|u(z_0)| = m$  .....(2)

Construct a circular disk  $|z - z_0| \leq r$  contained in  $\Omega$

By Mean value property,

we have,

$$u(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta$$

$$|u(z_0)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(z_0 + re^{i\theta}) d\theta \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

$$\therefore |u(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

From (1) and (2),

we have  $|u(z)| \leq |u(z_0)|$  on the circular disc  $|z - z_0| = r$ .

we have  $z = z_0 + re^{i\theta}$



$$|u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| \leq |u(z_0)| \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)$$

from (3) & (4), we get

$$|u(z_0)| = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } 2\pi|u(z_0)| = \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0)| d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| d\theta$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } \int_0^{2\pi} [|u(z_0)| - |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})|] d\theta = 0$$

Since the integral is continuous and non-negative,

$$\text{we obtain, } |u(z_0)| - |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| = 0$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } |u(z_0 + re^{i\theta})| = |u(z_0)|$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } |u(z)| = |u(z_0)| \text{ for } z \text{ on the circular disc } |z - z_0| = r$$

$\therefore$  By continuity,

$$|u(z)| = \text{constant}$$

$$\text{(i.e.) } u(z) = \text{constant.}$$

This is contradiction.

$\therefore |u(z)|$  cannot attain its maximum in the interior of  $\Omega$

(i.e.)  $|u(z)|$  attains its maximum value only on the boundary.



## UNIT – V

### Harmonic Functions and Power Series Expansions:

Schwarz Theorem - The reflection principle Weierstrass's Theorem – The Taylor's Series – The Laurent series.

### Chapter 5: Section 5.1 - 5.5

#### 5.1. Schwarz's Theorem

Theorem serves to express a given harmonic function through its values in a circle.

But the R.H.S of formula.

$$u(a) = 1/2\pi \int_{|z|=R} \frac{R^2 - |a|^2}{|z - a|^2} u(z) d\theta$$

has a meaning as soon as  $u$  is defined in  $|z| = R$ .

The equation is, does it have the boundary value  $u(z)$  on  $|z| = R$

Now choosing  $R = 1 \ni u \rightarrow P_u$  in  $a + vc$

Now we define Poisson integral of  $u[P_u(z)]$ .

Poisson Integral of  $U(P_u(z))$

Let  $U(\theta)$  be piecewise continuous function in  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, |z| = R = 1$ , we define

$$P_U(z) = 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) U(\theta) d\theta \text{ is called Poisson integral of } U ]$$

#### Note:

(1) we observe that  $P_U(z)$  is not only a function of  $z$  but also a function of the function  $U$ ;

$\therefore P_u(z)$  is called functional

(2) The functional is linear functional,

$$\text{For } P_u + v = P_u + P_v$$

$$P_{cU} = cP_U, \text{ for constant } C_i.$$

(3) Moreover  $u \geq 0 \Rightarrow P_u(z) \geq 0$ ;

Because of this property  $P_U$  is said to be positive linear functional]

(4) Now  $P_U = c$  where  $c$  is constant from this property

together with the linear and positive character of the functional, it follows that any inequality

$$m \leq U \leq M \Rightarrow m \leq P_U \leq M$$



**Theorem: 1** (Schwarz Theorem)

The function  $P_U(z)$  is harmonic for  $|z| < 1$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}} P_U(z) = U(\theta_0)$  provided that  $U$  is continuous at  $\theta_0$

**Proof:**

First, we have to

Prove that  $P_U(z)$  is harmonic

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_U(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) U(\theta) d\theta \\
 &= \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) U(\theta) d\theta
 \end{aligned}$$

The expression in the product in Analytic function for  $|z| < 1$

We know that,

The real and imaginary part of the analytic function is harmonic.

It follows that,  $P_U(z)$  is a real part of the Analytic function.

$\therefore P_U(z)$  is harmonic for  $|z| < 1$

Now we have to prove,

$\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}} P_U(z) = U(\theta_0)$  without loss of generality.

We may assume that

$$U(\theta_0) = 0$$

Now Prove that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}} P_U(z) = 0$

(i.e.,) we have prove  $|P_U(z)| < \varepsilon$ , whenever  $|z - e^{i\theta_0}| < \delta$

For this,

Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be 2 complementary arcs of the unit circle  $|z| = 1$

Let us choose  $|u(\theta)| < \varepsilon/2 \dots \dots \dots (1)$  if  $e^{i\theta} \in C_2$

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_1(\theta) &= \begin{cases} U(\theta) & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \in c_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \in c_2 \end{cases} \\
 U_2(\theta) &= \begin{cases} U(\theta) & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \in c_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } e^{i\theta} \in c_1 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $u(\theta) = u_1(\theta) + u_2(\theta), \forall \theta,$





$$P_u = P_{u_1} + P_{u_2} = Pu_1 + u_2$$

$$\text{Thus } P_u = P_{u_1} + P_{u_2} \dots \dots \dots (2).$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{(e^{i\theta} + z)(e^{-i\theta} - \bar{z})}{(e^{i\theta} - z)(e^{-i\theta} - \bar{z})} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{1 - z\bar{e}^{i\theta} + ze^{-i\theta} - z\bar{z}}{(e^{i\theta} - z)^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|e^{i\theta} - z|^2} \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes  $|z| = 1$  and  $e^{i\theta} \neq z$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{U_1}(z) &= 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \right) U_1(\theta) d\theta \\ \therefore P_{U_1}(z) &= 0 \text{ if } z = e^{i\theta} \in C_2 \end{aligned}$$

(i.e.,) It is continuous.

$$P_{U_1}(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \in c_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i.e.,)} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}} P_{u_1}(z) &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow |P_{u_1}(z)| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for } |z - e^{i\theta}| < \delta \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

By (1),

$$|u(\theta)| < \varepsilon/2, \text{ if } e^{i\theta} \in C_2$$

$$\therefore |U_2(\theta)| < \varepsilon/2, \text{ if } e^{i\theta} \in c_2 \text{ and } |z| < 1.$$

$$\therefore \text{Since, } |P_{u_2}(z)| < \varepsilon/2 \text{ if } |z| < 1 \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

From (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_u(z) &= P_{u_1}(z) + P_{u_2}(z) \\ |P_u(z)| &\leq |P_{u_1}(z)| + |P_{u_2}(z)| \quad [\because (3) \& (4)] \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon, \text{ for } |z - e^{i\theta}| < \delta \quad |z| < 1 \\ \Rightarrow |P_u(z)| &< \varepsilon \text{ whenever } |z - e^{i\theta_0}| < \delta \quad |z| = 1 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}} P_u(z) = 0. \end{aligned}$$



## 5.2. The Reflection principle:

### Theorem 1:

Let  $\Omega^+$  be the part in the upper half plane of a symmetric region  $\Omega$  and let  $\sigma$  be the part of the real axis in  $\Omega$ . Suppose that  $V(x)$  is continuous in  $\Omega^+ \cup \sigma$ , harmonic in  $\Omega^+$  and zero on  $\sigma$ . then  $v$  has a harmonic extension to  $\Omega$ . which satisfies the symmetry relation  $v(\bar{z}) = -v(z)$

In the same situation, if  $v$  is the imaginary part of an analytic fun  $f(z)$  in  $\Omega^+$  then  $f(z)$  has an analytic extension which satisfies  $f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$

### Proof:

Let us construct the fun  $V(z)$  which is equal to  $v(z)$  in  $\Omega^+$ . o on  $\sigma$ , and equal to  $-v(\bar{z})$  in the minor image of  $\Omega^+$ .

we have to show that  $v$  is harmonic on  $\sigma$  for a point  $x_0 \in \sigma$  consider a disc with center  $x_0$  contained in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $P_v$  denote the poisson integral with respect to this disc formed with the boundary values  $V$ .

The difference  $V - P_v$  is harmonic in the upper half of the disc. It vanishes on the half circle (by Schwarz theorem) and also on the diameter, because  $V$  tends to zero by deft and vanishes by symmetry.

The maximum and minimum principle implies  $V = P_v$  in the up on half disc and the same proof can be repeated for the lower half.

we conclude that  $v$  is harmonic in the whole disc, and is particular at  $x_0$ .

For the remaining part of the theorem,

Let us consider once again a disc with center on  $\sigma$ .

We have already extended  $v$  to the whole disc, and  $v$  has a conjugate harmonic Function  $-u_0$  in the same disc which we may Aarmolize.

So that  $u_0 = \text{Re } f(z)$  in the upper half consider,

$$v_0(z) = u_0(z) - u_0(\bar{z})$$

$$\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ on the real diameter.}$$

$$\text{Also } \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial y} = 2 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial y} = -2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

$\therefore$  The analytic function  $\frac{\partial v_0}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial y}$  vanishes on the real axis and hence identically



$\therefore u_0$  is a constant and this constant is clearly zero we have proved that  $u_0(z) = u_0(\bar{z})$ .

The construction can be repeated for arbitrary disc  $u_0$  conceded in the over lapping discs

The definition can be extended to all of  $\Omega$  and the the follows.

**Example 1:**

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in the whole plane and real axis, purely imaginary on the imaginary axis, show that  $f(z)$  is odd.

**Solution:**

By hypothesis.

$\Omega$  is symmetrical about the real and imaginary axis.

$$\therefore f(z) = f(\bar{z}) \text{ and } f(z) = -\overline{f(-\bar{z})}$$

$$\therefore \overline{f(\bar{z})} = -\overline{f(-z)}$$

$$f(\bar{z}) = -f(-\bar{z}) \quad \forall \bar{z}$$

(i.e.,)  $f(z) = -f(-z)$

$\therefore f(z)$  is odd.

**Example 2:**

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $|z| \leq 1$  and satisfies  $|f| = 1$  on  $|z| = 1$ , show that  $f(z)$  is rational.

**Solution:**

By the maximum principle  $|f(z)| < 1$  for  $|z| < 1$

The inverse of  $|z| < 1$  is  $|z| > 1$  & hence by reflection principle  $f(z)$  has an analytic continuation to the whole extended plane

Show that if  $z$  and  $z^*$  are conjugate with respect to  $|z| = 1$ , then so are  $f(z)$  and  $f(z^*)$

Now  $f(z)$  can have only a finite no of zeros in  $|z| \leq 1$

Moreover if can have no zero in  $|z| > 1$

For if  $f(z) = 0$  in  $|z| > 1$  then  $f(z^*) = \infty$  &  $|z^*| = 1$  while  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $|z| < 1$ .

Also if  $|z_0| > 1$  and  $z_0$  is a pole of  $f(z)$ , then  $f(z_0) = \infty$ , which implies  $f(z_0^*) = 0$ .

(i.e.,)  $z_0^*$  is a zero of  $f(z)$  &  $|z^*| < 1$

which means that  $f(z)$  can have only a finite number of poles in  $|z| < 1$ .

Thus  $f(z)$  has only a finite no. of poles in the extended plane.

Hence  $f(z)$  is rational.



## Power Series Expansions:

### 5.3. Weierstrass's Theorem:

#### Theorem 1:

Suppose that  $f_n(z)$  is analytic in the region  $\Omega_n$ , and that the seq  $\{f_n(z)\}$  converges to a limit fun  $f(z)$  in a region  $\Omega$ , uniformly on every Compact subset of  $\Omega$ . Then  $f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$ . Moreover  $f'_n(z)$ , converges uniformly to  $f'(z)$  on every compact Subset of  $\Omega$ .

#### Proof:

Let  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \dots$

Then proof based on integral formula.

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f_n(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)} d\zeta$$

where  $c$  is a circle  $|\zeta - a| = r$

&  $|z - a| < r$  ( $|z - a| = \rho$ )

Taking limit on both sides,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)}$$

Since  $f_n(z)$  is uniformly cogs on every compact subset  $\Omega$  of  $f(z)$ .

$\therefore$  we have

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)}$$

Since  $\zeta$  is a arbitrary point.

$\therefore$  By apply the cauchy Integral formula,  $\therefore f(z)$  is analytic in  $\Omega$

To prove :  $f'_n(z)$  convergent to  $f'(z)$  on every compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

Now we consider,

$$f'_n(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f_n(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^2}$$

Also,

$$f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^2}$$

$$\therefore f'_n(z) - f'(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{[f_n(\zeta) - f(\zeta)]}{(\zeta - z)^2} d\zeta$$

Taking modulus on both sides



$$|f'_n(z) - f'(z)| < \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_C \frac{|f_n(\zeta) - f(\zeta)|}{|\zeta - z|^2} |d\zeta| \quad \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Since  $f_n(z)$  converges uniformly to  $f(z)$  on every compact sub set of  $\Omega$  we have to,

Every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist a positive integer  $n_0$

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon, \quad n > 0, \quad \forall z \in C$$

Now,  $|\zeta - z| = |\zeta - a + a - z|$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq |\zeta - a| - |z - a| \\ &\geq \gamma - \rho \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|} \leq \frac{1}{r - \rho} \text{ and } \frac{1}{|\zeta - z|^2} \leq \frac{1}{(\gamma - \rho)^2}$$

$$\therefore (1) \Rightarrow$$

$$|f'_n(z) - f'(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{(r - \rho)^2} \int_C |d\zeta|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon}{(r - \rho)^2} 2\pi r$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{r^2 (1 - \rho/r)^2} r$$

$$\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma (1 - \rho/r)^2}$$

$$\rightarrow \sigma \text{ as } \gamma \rightarrow \infty$$

$\therefore f'_n(z)$  converges uniformly to  $f'(z)$  where

$$|z - a| < \rho \leq r.$$

Since any compact subset of  $\Omega$  can be covered by a finite no. of closed disc

Convergence uniformly on every compact subset.

#### 5.4. Taylor's Series:

##### Theorem 1:

If  $f(z)$  is analytic in a region  $\Omega$  containing  $z_0$  then the representation.

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \frac{f'(z_0)(z - z_0)}{1!} + \dots + \frac{f^n(z_0)(z - z_0)^n}{n!}$$

is valid in the largest open disc of center  $z_0$  contained in  $\Omega$ .

##### Proof:

Let  $c$  be the circle  $|z - z_0| = \rho$

S.T the closed disk  $|z - z_0| \leq \rho$  contained in  $\Omega$  Since  $f(z)$  is analytic in the region  $\Omega$  containing  $z$ . We can write,



By Taylor's theorem,

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \frac{f'(z)(z-z_0)}{1!} + \frac{f''(z_0)(z-z_0)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{f^n(z_0)(z-z_0)^n}{n!} + f_{n+1}(z)(z-z_0)^{n+1} \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$f_{n+1}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(t)dt}{(t-z_0)^{n+1}(t-z)} \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

Where 'c' is the circle

$$|t - z_0| = \rho \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

There exist the closed disk  $|z - z_0| \leq P$  contained in  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\max|f(z)| = \mu$  on  $c$ . (or)

$$\max |f(t)| = \mu \text{ on } c \dots \dots \dots (4)$$

from (2)

$$|f_{n+1}(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(t)dt}{(t-z)(t-z_0)^{n+1}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{|f(t)||dt|}{|t-z||t-z_0|^{n+1}}$$

Let  $\mu$  denote the max on  $|f(t)|$  on  $c$

$$|f_{n+1}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{\mu}{\rho^{n+1}|t-z|} |dt| \dots \dots \dots (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [|t-z| &= |t-z_0+z_0-z| \\ &\geq |t-z_0| - |z-z_0| \\ &\geq \rho - |z-z_0| \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \left[ \frac{1}{|t-z|} \leq \frac{1}{\rho - |z-z_0|} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n+1}(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_c \frac{\mu}{\rho^{n+1}(\rho - |z-z_0|)} |dt| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mu}{\rho^{n+1}(\rho - |z-z_0|)} \int_c |dt| \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \frac{\mu}{\rho^{n+1}(\rho - |z - z_0|)} \cdot 2\pi\rho$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\mu}{\rho^{n+1}} \frac{1}{\rho \left(1 - \frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho}\right)} 2\pi\rho$$

$$|f_{n+1}(z)| \leq \frac{\mu}{\rho^{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho}\right)}$$

multiply by  $\Rightarrow (z - z_0)^{n+1}$

$$\Rightarrow |(z - z_0)^{n+1} f_{n+1}(z)| \leq \frac{|z - z_0|^{n+1}}{\rho^{n+1}} \cdot \frac{\mu}{\left(1 - \frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho}\right)}$$

$$|(z - z_0)^{n+1} f_{n+1}(z)| \leq \left[\frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho}\right]^{n+1} \frac{\mu}{\left(1 - \frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho}\right)}$$

[Now consider,

open disk a disk  $|z - z_0| \leq r \leq \rho$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho} < 1 \Rightarrow \left[\frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho}\right]^{n+1} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(z)(z - z_0)^{n+1}| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Since  $|z - z_0| < \rho \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$0 \leq \frac{|z - z_0|}{\rho} < 1$$

$\therefore$  Sub in (1) we get,

$$f(z) = f(z_0) + \frac{f'(z_0)(z - z_0)}{1!} + \frac{f''(z_0)(z - z_0)^2}{2!} + \frac{f^n(z_0)(z - z_0)^n}{n!} + \dots \dots \dots$$

This is known as Taylor's Series.

## 5.5. Laurent Series:

### Theorem 1:

If  $f(z)$  is defined analytic in the annulus region  $R_1 < |z - a| < R_2$ . Then  $f(z)$  can be written

in the form  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} A_n(z - a)^n$

$$\text{Where } A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta - a| = \gamma} \frac{f(\zeta) d\zeta}{(\zeta - a)^{n+1}}$$

### Proof:

Consider the circle having the center at  $z = a$  and radius  $\gamma$  their exist  $R_1 < \gamma < R_2$

Define  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  as follows,



$$f_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C \text{ (or) } |\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta-z} d\zeta \dots\dots\dots(1) \text{ for } |z-a| < r < R_2$$

$$f_2(z) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{\zeta-z} \dots\dots\dots(2) \text{ for } R_1 < r < |z-a| \text{ clearly, } R_1 < r < R_2$$

By Cauchy Theorem,

The value of the integral (1) and (2) do not change w. r. to ' r '

$\therefore f_1(z)$  &  $f_2(z)$  are uniquely define they represent analytic in  $|z-a| < R_2$  and  $|z-a| > R_1$  respectively.

By Cauchy integral Theorem,

$$f(z) = f_1(z) + f_2(z)$$

Which is analytic in the annulus  $R_1 < |z-a| < R_2$

Now we consider, the Taylor is development of  $F_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\zeta-z} &= \frac{1}{\zeta-a+a-z} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\zeta-a)-(z-a)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\zeta-a) \left[ 1 - \frac{(z-a)}{\zeta-a} \right]} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\zeta-a)} \left( 1 - \frac{(z-a)}{(\zeta-a)} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{(\zeta-a)} \left[ 1 + \frac{z-a}{\zeta-a} + \left( \frac{z-a}{\zeta-a} \right)^2 + \dots \dots\dots \right] \text{ if } \left| \frac{z-a}{\zeta-a} \right| < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{\zeta-z} = \frac{1}{\zeta-a} + \frac{(z-a)}{(\zeta-a)^2} + \frac{(z-a)^2}{(\zeta-a)^3} + \dots\dots\dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f_1(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} f(\zeta) \left[ \frac{1}{(\zeta-a)} + \frac{(z-a)}{(\zeta-a)^2} + \dots \right] d\zeta \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-a)} + \left( \frac{z-a}{2\pi i} \right) \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-a)^2} + \dots$$





$$f_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(z-a)^n$$

$$\text{where } A_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=\gamma} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta-a)^{n+1}}$$

**To find the development of  $f(z)$ :**

we consider the transformation  $z = a + \frac{1}{z'}, \zeta = a + 1/\zeta'$

This transformation carries  $|z-a| = r$  into  $|\zeta'| = 1/r$  with negative orientation.

This transformation can be applying the circle with negative orientation

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(z) &= f_2(a + 1/z') \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/\gamma} \frac{f(a + 1/\zeta')(-1/(\zeta')^2)d\zeta'}{(1/\zeta' - 1/z')} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/\gamma} \frac{f(a + 1/\zeta')1/\zeta'^2 d\zeta'}{(z' - \zeta')} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/\gamma} \frac{z' f(a + 1/\zeta') d\zeta' (\zeta' - z')}{\zeta'} \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c' - z'} &= \frac{1}{\zeta'(1 - z'/\zeta')} \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta'} \left(1 - \frac{z'}{\zeta'}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta'} \left[1 + \frac{z'}{\zeta'} + \left(\frac{z'}{\zeta'}\right)^2 + \dots\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{\zeta' - z'} = \frac{1}{\zeta'} + \frac{z'}{(\zeta')^2} + \frac{(z')^2}{(\zeta')^3} + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f_2(z) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/r} \frac{z'}{\zeta'} f(a + 1/\zeta') \left[ \frac{1}{\zeta'} + \frac{z'}{(\zeta')^2} + \frac{(z')^2}{(\zeta')^3} + \dots \right] d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/r} f(a + 1/\zeta') \left[ \frac{z'}{(\zeta')^2} + \frac{(z')^2}{(\zeta')^3} + \dots \right] d\zeta' \\ &= \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/\gamma} f(a + 1/\zeta') \frac{z'}{\zeta'^2} d\zeta' + \left(\frac{-1}{2\pi i}\right) \int_{|\zeta'|=1/r} f(a + 1/\zeta') \frac{z'^2}{(\zeta')^3} d\zeta' + \dots \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n(z')^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Where } B_n = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta'|=1/\gamma} \frac{f(a+1/\zeta')}{(\zeta')^{n+1}} d\zeta'$$



$$\zeta = a + 1/\zeta' \Rightarrow \zeta - a = 1/\zeta' \Rightarrow \zeta' = \frac{1}{\zeta - a}$$

$$d\zeta = \frac{-1}{(\zeta')^2} d\zeta' \Rightarrow d\zeta' = -(\zeta')^2 d\zeta$$

$$d\zeta' = \frac{-1}{(\zeta - a)^2} d\zeta$$

$$B_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)(\zeta - a)^{n+1}}{(\zeta - a)^2} d\zeta$$

$$B_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\zeta-a|=r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - a)^{-n+1}} d\zeta$$

$$\therefore B_n = -A_n$$

$$\therefore f_2(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{-n} \left(\frac{1}{z-a}\right)^n$$

$$= \sum_{n=+1}^{\infty} A_{-n} (z-a)^{-n}$$

$$= \sum_{n=+1}^{-\infty} A_n (z-a)^n$$

$$f(z) = \sum_{\infty}^{-\infty} A_n (z-a)^n$$

### Exercises:

1. Prove that the Laurent development is unique.

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n (z - z_0)^n$  is analytic in the annulus region, where  $r_2 < |z - z_0| < r_1$  be the expansion of  $f(z)$ . Obtain in any manner than the series is identical with Laurent series for  $f(z)$ .

### Proof :

$$\text{Let } f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} P_n (z - z_0)^n \quad \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

be the L - S of  $f(z)$  about  $z_0$  in  $r_2 < |z - z_0| < r_1$

$$\text{Then } a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(t)}{(t - z_0)^{n+1}} dt$$

where  $c: |t - z_0| = r, r_2 < r < r_1$

$$\text{Given expansion of } f(z) \text{ is } f(z) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m (z - z_0)^m \quad \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

To prove that  $P_n = a_n$



$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{f(t)dt}{(t - z_0)^{n+1}} \\
 \therefore a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_c \frac{1}{(t - z_0)^{n+1}} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m (t - z_0)^m dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m \int_c \frac{(t-z_0)^m}{(t-z_0)^{n+1}} dt \quad \dots\dots\dots (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

[Integrating term by term.

$\therefore$  the Series is uniformly convergent]

$$\begin{aligned}
 C: |t - z_0| &= r \\
 t - z_0 &= r e^{i\theta}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi \\
 dt &= r e^{i\theta} i d\theta
 \end{aligned}$$

Now sub in (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r e^{i\theta})^m}{(r e^{i\theta})^{n+1}} r e^{i\theta} i d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(r e^{i\theta})^m}{(r e^{i\theta})^n} d\theta \\
 a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m \int_0^{2\pi} (r e^{i\theta})^{m-n} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m \int_0^{2\pi} r^{m-n} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m r^{m-n} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta \quad \dots\dots\dots (4)
 \end{aligned}$$

case (i)

when  $m \neq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \therefore \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta &= \left[ \frac{e^{i(m-n)\theta}}{i(m-n)} \right]_0^{2\pi} \\
 &= \frac{1}{i(m-n)} [e^{i(m-n)2\pi} - e^0] \\
 &= \frac{1}{i(m-n)} [1 - 1] \\
 &= 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

case (ii)

When  $m = n$



$$\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta = 2\pi$$

Sub (5) & (6) in (4),

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} P_m r^{m-n} (2\pi) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} [0 + 0 + \dots + P_n r^0 (2\pi) + 0 + 0 \dots] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} P_n 2\pi \\ a_n &= P_n \\ [\because r^0 &= 1] \end{aligned}$$

Sub in (2) The given series (2) becomes Laurents series,

Hence the Laurents series of  $f(z)$  is unique.

2. Show that the Laurent development of  $(e^z - 1)^{-1}$  at the origin is of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_1^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_k}{(2k)!} z^{2k-1}$$

Where the numbers  $B_k$  are known as the Bernoulli numbers calculate  $B_1, B_2, B_3$

**Proof:**

Consider the fun  $f(z) = \frac{1}{e^z - 1}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{\left(1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots\right) - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{z \left(1 + \frac{z}{2!} + \frac{z^2}{3!} + \dots\right)} \\ \therefore f(z) &= \frac{1}{z(g(z))} \end{aligned}$$

The singularities of  $f(z)$  is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore e^z - 1 = 0 &\Rightarrow e^z = 1 \\ z &= 0, i2n\pi, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \dots \\ &= 0, i2\pi, i4\pi, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Consider the analus region is  $0 < |z| < 2\pi$

Now consider the fun  $\frac{1}{g(z)}$  which is defined an analytic in  $0 < |z| < 2\pi$



$$\frac{1}{g(z)} = c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = g(z)[c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots]$$

$$1 = \left(1 + \frac{z}{2!} + \frac{z^3}{3!} + \dots\right)(c_0 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots)$$

Comparing the co-efficient on z

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \frac{c_0}{2!} + c_1$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 = \frac{-c_0}{2!}$$

$$c_1 = \frac{-1}{2!}$$

$$\text{co-eff of } z^2 \Rightarrow 0 = \frac{c_1}{2!} + c_2 + \frac{c_0}{3!}$$

$$0 = \frac{-1}{4} + c_2 + \frac{1}{6}$$

$$0 = c_2 - \frac{1}{12}$$

$$1/12 = c_2$$

$$\text{co - eff of } z^3 \Rightarrow 0 = c_3 + \frac{c_2}{2!} + \frac{c_1}{3!} + \frac{c_0}{4!}$$

$$= c_3 + 1/24 - 1/12 + 1/24$$

$$0 = c_3$$

$$\text{co-eff of } z^4 \Rightarrow 0 = c_4 + \frac{c_3}{2!} + \frac{c_2}{3!} + \frac{c_1}{4!} + \frac{c_0}{5!}$$

$$= c_4 + 0 + \frac{1}{72} - \frac{1}{48} + \frac{1}{20}$$

$$0 = c_4 + 1/720$$

$$\Rightarrow c_4 = -1/720$$

Similarly,

$$\text{co-eff of } z^5 \Rightarrow 0 = c_5 + \frac{c_4}{2!} + \frac{c_3}{3!} + \frac{c_2}{4!} + \frac{c_1}{5!} + \frac{c_0}{6!}$$

$$0 = c_5$$



$$\therefore \frac{1}{g(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}z + \frac{1}{12}z^2 - \frac{1}{720}z^4 + \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{zg(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{12}z - \frac{1}{720}z^3 + \dots \\ f(z) &= \frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_k}{2^{k!}} z^{2k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Comparing L.H.S = R.H.S

$$k = 1, \frac{B_1}{2!} = \frac{1}{12} \Rightarrow B_1 = \frac{1}{6}$$

$$k = 2, \frac{B_2}{4!} = \frac{1}{720} \Rightarrow B_2 = 1/30$$

$$k = 3, \frac{B_3}{6!} = 0 \Rightarrow B_3 = 0$$

3. Expand the Laurents Series:

Then function is  $\frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)}$  is valid in

(i)  $|z| < 1$

(ii)  $1 < |z| < 2$

(iii)  $|z| > 2$  (iv)  $0 < |z - 1| < 1$

**Proof:**

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

Case (i) :-  $|z| < 1$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{-2(1-z/2)} - \frac{1}{-(1-z)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{1}{2(1-z/2)}$$

$$f(z) = (1-z)^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}(1-z/2)^{-1}$$

$$= (1+z+z^2+\dots) - \frac{1}{2}(1+z/2+(z/2)^2+\dots)$$

$$f(z) = 1/2 + z(1-1/2^2) + z^2(1-1/2^3) + \dots$$

The series is valid when  $|z/2| < 1, |z| < 1$



$$|z| < 2, |z| < 1$$

Case(ii):  $1 < |z| < 2$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{-2(1-z/2)} - \frac{1}{z(1-1/z)} \\ &= \frac{-1}{2}(1-z/2)^{-1} - \frac{1}{z}(1-1/z)^{-1} \\ &= -1/2(1+z/2+(z/2)^2+(z/2)^3+\dots) \\ &\quad -1/z(1+1/z+(1/z)^2+\dots) \end{aligned}$$

This expansion is valid

when  $|z/2| < 1, |1/z| < 1$

$$|z| < 2, |z| > 1$$

$$\therefore 1 < |z| < 2$$

Case( iii):  $|z| > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{(z-2)} - \frac{1}{(z-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{z(1-2/z)} - \frac{1}{z(1-1/z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{z}(1-2/z)^{-1} - \frac{1}{z}(1-1/z)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{z}(1+2/z+(2/z)^2+(2/z)^3+\dots) \\ \therefore f(z) &= \frac{1}{z^2}(z-1) + \frac{1}{z^3}(1+1/z+(1/z)^2+\dots) + \frac{1}{z^4}(8-1) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

This expansion is valid

when  $|2/z| < 1 \Rightarrow 2 < |z|$

$$\therefore |z| > 2$$

Case(iv)::  $0 < |z-1| < 1$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z-2} - \frac{1}{z-1}$$

Let  $t = z - 1 \Rightarrow t + 1 = 2, 0 < |t| < 1.$



$$\begin{aligned} \therefore f(z) &= \frac{1}{(z-1)(z-2)} = \frac{1}{t(t-1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{-t(1-t)} \\ &= -1/t(1+t+t^2+\dots) \\ &= \frac{-1}{z-1} (1+(z-1)+(z-1)^2+\dots) \end{aligned}$$

This expansion is valid. when  $|t| < 1, t \neq 0$

$$\therefore z-1 \neq 0 \text{ and } 0 < |z-1| < 1$$

4. What is the co-eff of  $z^7$  in the Taylor's development of  $\tan Z$ .

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \tan z \\ \tan z &= c_1z + c_2z^3 + c_3z^5 + \dots \\ \frac{\sin z}{\cos z} &= c_1z + c_2z^3 + c_3z^5 + \dots \\ \frac{z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots}{1 + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots} &= c_1z + c_2z^3 + c_3z^5 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$z - \frac{z^3}{3!} + \frac{z^5}{5!} + \dots = \left(1 + \frac{z^2}{2!} + \frac{z^4}{4!} + \dots\right) (c_1z + c_2z^3 + c_3z^5 + \dots)$$

$$\text{co-eff of } z \Rightarrow c_1 = 1$$

$$\text{co - eff of } z^2 \Rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{co - eff of } z^3 \Rightarrow -1/6 = c_2 + \frac{c_1}{2!}$$

$$c_2 = -2/3$$

$$\text{co - eff of } z^5 \Rightarrow 1/120 = c_3 + \frac{c_2}{2!}$$

$$c_3 = \frac{21}{120}$$

$$\text{co - eff of } z^7 \Rightarrow 1/7! = c_4 + \frac{c_2}{4!}$$

$$\frac{1}{1540} = c_4 - \frac{2}{72}$$

$$c_4 = \frac{141}{5040}$$





---

**Study Learning Material Prepared by**

**Dr. S. KALAISELVI M.SC., M.Phil., B.Ed., Ph.D.,**

**ASSISTANT PROFESSOR,**

**DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,**

**SARAH TUCKER COLLEGE (AUTONOMOUS),**

**TIRUNELVELI-627007.**

**TAMIL NADU, INDIA.**